

EDGEWORTH EXPANSION FOR TWO-SAMPLE U-STATISTICS

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 著者 | MAESONO Yoshihiko |
| journal or publication title | 鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学 |
| volume | 18 |
| page range | 35-43 |
| 別言語のタイトル | 2標本U-統計量のエッジワース展開 |
| URL | http://hdl.handle.net/10232/6421 |

EDGEWORTH EXPANSION FOR TWO-SAMPLE U-STATISTICS

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 著者 | MAESONO Yoshihiko |
| journal or publication title | 鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学 |
| volume | 18 |
| page range | 35-43 |
| 別言語のタイトル | 2標本U-統計量のエッジワース展開 |
| URL | http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00003986 |

EDGEWORTH EXPANSION FOR TWO-SAMPLE U-STATISTICS*

Yoshihiko MAESONO**

(Received Sep. 10, 1985)

Abstract

Formal Edgeworth expansion with remainder term $o(N^{-1})$ is established for two-sample U-statistics. And the conditions which ensure the validity of the expansion are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be independent random samples from distributions with c. d. f.'s (cumulative distribution functions) $F(x)$ and $G(y)$, respectively. Let $h(x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_s)$ be symmetric in its x_i components and separately symmetric in its y_j components, satisfying $E[h(X_1, \dots, X_r; Y_1, \dots, Y_s)] = 0$ with $r \leq m$ and $s \leq n$. h is called a kernel and (r, s) are called its degree. We shall define a two-sample U-statistic with a kernel of degree (r, s) , h , by

$$U_{m,n} = \binom{m}{r}^{-1} \binom{n}{s}^{-1} \sum_{c_{m,r}} \sum_{c_{n,s}} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_s})$$

where $\sum_{c_{m,r}}$ indicates that the summation is over $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m$.

In this paper, putting $N = m + n$, we shall discuss an asymptotic expansion under the assumption

$$(A) \quad 0 < \lambda = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m/N < 1.$$

This assumption means that $m = o(N)$ and $n = o(N)$.

Callaert, Janssen and Veraverbeke[1] have obtained the asymptotic expansion of one-sample U-statistic with a kernel of degree two. And Maesono[6] has obtained it with a kernel of arbitrary degree.

In Section 2 we state a representation for $U_{m,n}$ in terms of forward martingales and get the bounds of absolute moments of martingales. In Section 3, using the martingale representation of $U_{m,n}$, we obtain formal Edgeworth expansion of $U_{m,n}$ with remainder term $o(N^{-1})$. In Section 4, we discuss the conditions for the valid expansion.

2. Preliminaries

We shall represent $U_{m,n}$ in terms of forward martingales. Hoeffding[4] (cf.

* This research was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Project No. 60740121 from the Ministry of Education.

** Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890, Japan.

Serfling[7] p178) has obtained the similar representation for one-sample U-statistics. Under the assumption $\mathbf{E}|h(X_1, \dots, X_r; Y_1, \dots, Y_s)| < \infty$, let us define the following notations :

for $0 \leq a \leq r$ and $0 \leq b \leq s$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{a,b}(x_1, \dots, x_a; y_1, \dots, y_b) \\ &= \mathbf{E}[h(X_1, \dots, X_r; Y_1, \dots, Y_s) | X_1=x_1, \dots, X_a=x_a, Y_1=y_1, \dots, Y_b=y_b], \\ g_{0,0} &= 0, \quad g_{1,0}(x_1) = w_{1,0}(x_1), \quad g_{0,1}(y_1) = w_{0,1}(y_1), \\ g_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) &= w_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) - \sum_{i=1}^2 g_{1,0}(x_i), \quad g_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = w_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) - g_{1,0}(x_1) - g_{0,1}(y_1), \\ g_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) &= w_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) - \sum_{j=1}^2 g_{0,1}(y_j), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ g_{r,s}(x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_s) &= w_{r,s}(x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_s) \\ &\quad - \sum_{b=0}^{s-1} \sum_{c_s, b} g_{r,b}(x_1, \dots, x_r; y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_b}) - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{c_r, a} g_{a,s}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a}; y_1, \dots, y_s) \\ &\quad - \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{b=0}^{s-1} \sum_{c_r, a} \sum_{c_s, b} g_{a,b}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a}; y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_b}), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq a \leq r$ and $0 \leq b \leq s$

$$A_{a,b} = \sum_{c_m, a} \sum_{c_n, b} g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}).$$

Then $U_{m,n}$ can be rewritten as

$$U_{m,n} = \binom{m}{r}^{-1} \binom{n}{s}^{-1} \sum_{a=0}^r \sum_{b=0}^s \binom{m-a}{r-a} \binom{n-b}{s-b} A_{a,b}.$$

It is shown in the proof of Lemma 2.3 that $A_{a,b}$ is a forward martingale for each a and b ($a=0, 1, \dots, r; b=0, 1, \dots, s$).

By the definition of $g_{a,b}$, Lemma 2.1 follows.

LEMMA 2.1. Assume that $\mathbf{E}|h(X_1, \dots, X_r; Y_1, \dots, Y_s)| < \infty$. If one of $\{i_1, \dots, i_a\}$ is not contained in $\{p_1, \dots, p_c\}$, or one of $\{j_1, \dots, j_b\}$ is not contained in $\{q_1, \dots, q_d\}$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{E}[g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}) | X_{p_1}, \dots, X_{p_c}; Y_{q_1}, \dots, Y_{q_d}] = 0.$$

Proof. By double induction on a and b we can prove (2.1) directly.

Using Lemma 2.1, we can prove the useful two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. Assume the assumptions in Lemma 2.1. Then for any function f which satisfies $\mathbf{E}|fg_{a,b}| < \infty$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{E}[f(X_{p_1}, \dots, X_{p_c}; Y_{q_1}, \dots, Y_{q_d}) g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b})] = 0.$$

Proof. Taking the conditional expectation, we have the desired result from (2.1).

Before describing the next lemma, we prepare notations. For $1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_a \leq m$, $1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_b \leq n$, $0 \leq a \leq r$ and $0 \leq b \leq s$, let us define

$$B_{a,b}(m_1, \dots, m_a; n_1, \dots, n_b) \\ = \sum_{i_1=1}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{i_a=i_{a-1}+1}^{m_a} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{j_b=j_{b-1}+1}^{n_b} g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}).$$

Then we have the upper bound of the p th absolute moment of $B_{a,b}$.

LEMMA 2.3. Given the existence of the p th ($p \geq 2$) absolute moment of kernel h , there exist a positive constant C such that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{E}|B_{a,b}(m_1, \dots, m_a; n_1, \dots, n_b)|^p \leq C \left(\prod_{i=1}^a m_i \prod_{j=1}^b n_j \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

If the second moment of kernel h is finite, the inequality (2.3) also holds with $p=1$.

Proof. The latter part of the lemma immediately follows from the former. Therefore we consider the case $p \geq 2$. By double induction on c and d , we shall prove the following inequality: for $0 \leq c \leq a$, $0 \leq d \leq b$, $1 \leq u_1 < \dots < u_c < i_{c+1}, \dots, i_a$, and $1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_d < j_{d+1}, \dots, j_b$,

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i_1=1}^{u_1} \dots \sum_{i_c=i_{c-1}+1}^{u_c} \sum_{j_1=1}^{q_1} \dots \sum_{j_d=j_{d-1}+1}^{q_d} g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_c}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_d}, \dots, Y_{j_b}) \right|^p \\ \leq (C_p)^{c+d} \mathbf{E} |g_{a,b}(X_1, \dots, X_a; Y_1, \dots, Y_b)|^p \left(\prod_{i=1}^c u_i \prod_{j=1}^d q_j \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

where $C_p = \{8(p-1)\max(1, 2^{p-3})\}^p$.

When $c=1$ and $d=0$, let $Z_k = \sum_{i_1=1}^k g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b})$ for $k=1, 2, \dots, u_1$. Then we have $Z_k - Z_{k-1} = g_{a,b}(X_k, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b})$ and $k < i_2, \dots, i_a$, where $Z_0=0$. Since Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1} are functions of $X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_a}$ and Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b} , we find from lemma 2.1 that

$$\mathbf{E}(Z_k - Z_{k-1} | Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}\{g_{a,b}(X_k, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}) \\ | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_a}, Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}\} | Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1}) = 0.$$

Therefore $\{Z_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq u_1}$ is a forward martingale. Applying an upper bound for moments of martingale obtained by Dharmadhikari, Fabian and Jogdeo[2], we have the inequality (2.4), when $c=1$ and $d=0$.

Using equation (2.1), the rest of the proof is similarly obtained.

3. Formal Edgeworth expansion

Let us define the following notations:

$$\sigma_{m,n}^2 = \text{Var}(U_{m,n}),$$

for $0 \leq a \leq r$ and $0 \leq b \leq s$

$$k_{a,b}(m,n) = \binom{m}{r}^{-1} \binom{n}{s}^{-1} \sigma_{m,n}^{-1} \binom{m-a}{r-a} \binom{n-b}{s-b},$$

$$\xi_{a,b}^2 = \mathbf{E}(g_{a,b}(X_1, \dots, X_a; Y_1, \dots, Y_b))^2,$$

$$\tau_{m,n}^2 = \frac{r^2}{m} \xi_{1,0}^2 + \frac{s^2}{n} \xi_{0,1}^2,$$

$$\eta(t) = \mathbf{E}[\exp\{itg_{1,0}(X_1)\}], \quad \nu(t) = \mathbf{E}[\exp\{itg_{0,1}(Y_1)\}].$$

Note that from the equation (2.2) in Lemma 2.2,

$$\sigma_{m,n}^2 = \binom{m}{r}^{-2} \binom{n}{s}^{-2} \sum_{a=0}^r \sum_{b=0}^s \binom{m-a}{r-a}^2 \binom{n-b}{s-b}^2 \binom{m}{a} \binom{n}{b} \xi_{a,b}^2.$$

In this section we assume the following condition

$$(C1) \quad \mathbf{E}|h(X_1, \dots, X_r; Y_1, \dots, Y_s)|^5 < \infty.$$

Before we obtain the expansion, we prepare the useful lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *If (C1) is satisfied, then there exist positive constants ε and δ such that for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and fixed integers u and v ,*

$$(3.1) \quad \left| I_{u,v} - \eta^{m-u} \left(\frac{rt}{m\sigma_{m,n}} \right) \nu^{n-v} \left(\frac{st}{n\sigma_{m,n}} \right) \right| \leq o(N^{-1}) P_1(t) e^{-\sigma t^2},$$

where $P_1(t)$ is a polynomial in t and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{u,v} = & e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(it)^2}{2\tau_{m,n}^2} \left[\frac{r^2 s^2}{mn} \xi_{1,1}^2 + \frac{[r(r-1)]^2}{2m^2} \xi_{2,0}^2 + \frac{[s(s-1)]^2}{2n^2} \xi_{0,2}^2 \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{ur^2}{m^2} \xi_{1,0}^2 + \left. \frac{vs^2}{n^2} \xi_{0,1}^2 \right\} + \frac{(it)^3}{6\tau_{m,n}^3} \left\{ \frac{r^3}{m^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^3(X_1)] + \frac{s^3}{n^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^3(Y_1)] \right\} \\ & + \frac{(it)^4}{24\tau_{m,n}^4} \left\{ \frac{r^4}{m^3} (\mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^4(X_1)] - 3\xi_{1,0}^4) + \frac{s^4}{n^3} (\mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^4(Y_1)] - 3\xi_{0,1}^4) \right\} \\ & + \frac{(it)^6}{72\tau_{m,n}^6} \left\{ \frac{r^3}{m^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^3(X_1)] + \frac{s^3}{n^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^3(Y_1)] \right\}^2 \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the same way of Lemma 2 as Callaert et al.[1], we have the desired result easily.

In order to obtain a formal Edgeworth expansion, we shall find the function $\tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)$ which satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{N^{\frac{1}{4}}/\log N} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - \tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)| dt = o(N^{-1})$$

where $\chi_{m,n}(t) = \mathbf{E}[\exp\{it\sigma_{m,n}^{-1}U_{m,n}\}]$. (See Callaert et al. [1] and Maesono[6].)

Note that

$$\sigma_{m,n}^{-1}U_{m,n} = \sum_{a=0}^r \sum_{b=0}^s k_{a,b}(m,n) A_{a,b}.$$

Let

$${}_1\chi_{m,n}(t) = \mathbf{E}[\exp\{it \sum_{1 \leq a+b \leq 3} k_{a,b}(m,n) A_{a,b}\}].$$

Then from Lemma 2.3 and the fact $k_{a,b}(m,n) = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-(a+b)})$, we have

$$\int_0^{N^{\frac{1}{4}}/\log N} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - \chi_N(t)| dt = o(N^{-1}).$$

Furthermore let us define

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_N^*(t) = & \mathbf{E}[\exp \{ it(k_{1,0}A_{1,0} + k_{0,1}A_{0,1}) \}] + itk_{1,1} \mathbf{E}[A_{1,1} \exp \{ it(k_{1,0}A_{1,0} + k_{0,1}A_{0,1}) \}] \\ & + \mathbf{E}[\exp \{ it(k_{1,0}A_{1,0} + k_{0,1}A_{0,1}) \}] \{ itk_{2,0}A_{2,0} + itk_{0,2}A_{0,2} + (it)^2 k_{1,1}A_{1,1}k_{2,0}A_{2,0} \\ & + (it)^2 k_{1,1}A_{1,1}k_{0,2}A_{0,2} + (it)^2 k_{2,0}A_{2,0}k_{0,2}A_{0,2} \\ & + \frac{(it)^2}{2} k_{1,1}^2 A_{1,1}^2 + \frac{(it)^2}{2} k_{2,0}^2 A_{2,0}^2 + \frac{(it)^2}{2} k_{0,2}^2 A_{0,2}^2 \\ & + itk_{2,1}A_{2,1} + itk_{1,2}A_{1,2} + itk_{3,0}A_{3,0} + itk_{0,3}A_{0,3} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_{a,b}$ is an abbreviation of $k_{a,b}(m, n)$. Then by the similar way of Callaert et al. [1] and Maesono[6], we have

$$\int_0^{N^{\frac{1}{4}}/\log N} t^{-1} |\chi_N(t) - \chi_N^*(t)| dt = o(N^{-1}).$$

Since $k_{1,0}(m, n) = r/(m\sigma_{m,n})$ and $k_{0,1}(m, n) = s/(n\sigma_{m,n})$, from Lemma 3.1 the approximation of the first term of (3.3) is $I_{0,0}$. And the second term of (3.3) is approximated as follows. From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & itk_{1,1} \mathbf{E}[A_{1,1} \exp \{ it(k_{1,0}A_{1,0} + k_{0,1}A_{0,1}) \}] \\ & = itk_{1,1} mn\eta^{m-1} \left(\frac{rt}{m\sigma_{m,n}} \right) \nu^{n-1} \left(\frac{st}{n\sigma_{m,n}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{E}[g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1) \exp \{ it(k_{1,0}g_{1,0}(X_1) + k_{0,1}g_{0,1}(Y_1)) \}] \end{aligned}$$

which will be denoted by $itk_{1,1}mnI_{1,1}^*E_1^*$. From Lemma 3.1, $I_{1,1}^*$ is approximated by $I_{1,1}$. Taking the first few terms of the Taylor series for approximating E_1^* and using Lemma 2.2, we have an approximation E_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 = & \frac{(it)^2 rs}{mn\sigma_{m,n}^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \\ & + \frac{(it)^3}{6\sigma_{m,n}^3} \left\{ \frac{3r^2s}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^2(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] + \frac{3rs^2}{mn^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}^2(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_{m,n}^2 = \tau_{m,n}^2(1 + O(N^{-1}))$ and $k_{1,1}(m, n) = rs/(mn\tau_{m,n})(1 + O(N^{-1}))$, we can obtain an approximation $\rho(t)$ of the second term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) = & e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} \left(\frac{r^2s^2(it)^3}{mn\tau_{m,n}^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \right. \\ & + \frac{(it)^4}{6\tau_{m,n}^4} \left\{ \frac{3r^3s^2}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^2(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] + \frac{3r^2s^3}{mn^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}^2(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \right\} \\ & \left. + \frac{r^2s^2(it)^6}{6mn\tau_{m,n}^6} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \left\{ \frac{r^3}{m^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^3(X_1)] + \frac{s^3}{n^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^3(Y_1)] \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the condition (C1) and $\sigma_{m,n}^2 = O(N^{-1})$, we have

$$|E_1^* - E_1| \leq P_2(t)O(N^{-2}),$$

where $P_2(t)$ is a Polynomial in t . Then from (3.1) and above inequality, we have

$$\int_0^{N^{\frac{1}{4}}/\log N} t^{-1} |itk_{1,1}mnI_{1,1}^*E_{1,1}^* - \rho(t)| dt = o(N^{-1}).$$

Similarly we can obtain the approximations of the rest terms of (3.3). Hence we have an approximation of $\chi_n^*(t)$ as follows :

$$\tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{K_3}{6}(it)^3 + \frac{K_4}{24}(it)^4 + \frac{K_3^2}{72}(it)^6 \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 = & \frac{1}{\tau_{m,n}^3} \left\{ \frac{r^3}{m^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^3(X_1)] + \frac{s^3}{n^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^3(Y_1)] + \frac{6r^2s^2}{mn} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \right. \\ & + \frac{3r^3(r-1)}{m^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{1,0}(X_2)g_{2,0}(X_1, X_2)] \\ & \left. + \frac{3s^3(s-1)}{n^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{0,2}(Y_1, Y_2)] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_4 = & \frac{1}{\tau_{m,n}^4} \left\{ \frac{r^4}{m^3} \left(\mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^4(X_1)] - 3\xi_{1,0}^4 \right) + \frac{s^4}{n^3} \left(\mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^4(Y_1)] - 3\xi_{0,1}^4 \right) \right. \\ & + \frac{12r^3s^2}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^2(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] + \frac{12r^2s^3}{mn^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}^2(Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] \\ & + \frac{12r^4(r-1)}{m^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}^2(X_1)g_{1,0}(X_2)g_{2,0}(X_1, X_2)] + \frac{12s^4(s-1)}{n^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}^2(Y_1)g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{0,2}(Y_1, Y_2)] \\ & + \frac{12r^3(r-1)s^2}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{1,0}(X_2)g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{2,1}(X_1, X_2; Y_1)] + \frac{12r^2s^3(s-1)}{mn^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_1) \\ & \times g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{1,2}(X_1; Y_1, Y_2)] + \frac{4r^4(r-1)(r-2)}{m^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{1,0}(X_2)g_{1,0}(X_3)g_{3,0}(X_1, X_2, X_3)] \\ & + \frac{4s^4(s-1)(s-2)}{n^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{0,1}(Y_3)g_{0,3}(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)] + \frac{24r^3(r-1)s^2}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_2)g_{0,1}(Y_1) \\ & \times g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)g_{2,0}(X_1, X_2)] + \frac{24r^2s^3(s-1)}{mn^2} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)g_{0,2}(Y_1, Y_2)] \\ & + \frac{12r^2s^4}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}(Y_1)g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_2)] + \frac{12r^4s^2}{m^2n} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_1)g_{1,0}(X_2) \\ & \times g_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)g_{1,1}(X_2; Y_1)] + \frac{12r^4(r-1)^2}{m^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{1,0}(X_2)g_{1,0}(X_3)g_{2,0}(X_1, X_2)g_{2,0}(X_1, X_3)] \\ & \left. + \frac{12s^4(s-1)^2}{n^3} \mathbf{E}[g_{0,1}(Y_2)g_{0,1}(Y_3)g_{0,2}(Y_1, Y_2)g_{0,2}(Y_1, Y_3)] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This $\tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)$ satisfies the equation (3.2). Inverting $\tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)$, we have a formal Edgeworth expansion $Q_{m,n}(x)$ such that

$$Q_{m,n}(x) = \Phi(x) - \phi(x) \left[\frac{K_3}{6}(x^2 - 1) + \frac{K_4}{24}(x^3 - 3x) + \frac{K_3^2}{72}(x^5 - 10x^3 + 15x) \right]$$

where $\Phi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ denote the distribution function and density of the standard normal

distribution. Note that $K_3 = O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and $K_4 = O(N^{-1})$. Then $Q_{m,n}(x)$ is the expansion with remainder term $o(N^{-1})$.

4. Conditions for the valid expansion

In order to prove the validity of the formal Edgeworth expansion $Q_{m,n}(x)$, we shall apply Esseen's smoothing lemma[3]. From smoothing lemma, we have

$$\sup_x |P(\sigma_{m,n}^{-1} U_{m,n} \leq x) - Q_{m,n}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-M \log N}^{M \log N} |t|^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - \tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)| dt + o(N^{-1})$$

where $Q_{m,n}(x)$, $\chi_{m,n}(t)$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)$ are defined in the previous section. Since the proof for the negative part of t is similar to that for positive one, we shall find the conditions which ensure

$$\int_0^{M \log N} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - \tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)| dt = o(N^{-1}).$$

Let us define

$${}_2\chi_N(t) = E[\exp\{it \sum_{1 \leq a+b \leq 4} k_{a,b}(m,n) A_{a,b}\}]$$

and

$${}_3\chi_N(t) = E[\exp\{it \sum_{1 \leq a+b \leq 5} k_{a,b}(m,n) A_{a,b}\}].$$

Then from Lemma 2.3 and $k_{a,b}(m,n) = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-(a+b)})$, we have

$$\int_{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}^{\frac{3}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - {}_2\chi_N(t)| dt = o(N^{-1})$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{3}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}^{M \log N} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - {}_3\chi_N(t)| dt = o(N^{-1}).$$

Then putting

$$(I) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - \tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)| dt,$$

$$(II) = \int_{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}^{\frac{3}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}} t^{-1} |{}_2\chi_N(t)| dt, \quad (III) = \int_{\frac{3}{N^{\frac{1}{4} \log N}}^{M \log N} t^{-1} |{}_3\chi_N(t)| dt$$

and

$$(IV) = \int_{\log N}^{\infty} t^{-1} |\tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)| dt,$$

we have

$$\int_0^{M \log N} t^{-1} |\chi_{m,n}(t) - \tilde{\chi}_{m,n}(t)| dt \leq (I) + (II) + (III) + (IV) + o(N^{-1}).$$

In Section 2, we have proved that (I) is $o(N^{-1})$ under the condition (C1). It immediately follows that (IV) = $o(N^{-1})$ under the same condition (C1).

To obtain an order of (II), we shall consider following decomposition. For $0 \leq u \leq m - a + 1$ and $0 \leq v \leq n - b + 1$, let us define

$$D_{a,b}(u, v) = B_{a,b}(u, m-a+2, \dots, m; v, n-b+2, \dots, n),$$

$$H_{a,b}(u, v) = \sum_{i_1=1}^u \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{m-a+2} \dots \sum_{i_a=i_{a-1}+1}^m \sum_{j_1=v+1}^{n-b+1} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{n-b+2} \dots \sum_{j_b=j_{b-1}+1}^n g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}),$$

$$L_{a,b}(u, v) = \sum_{i_1=u+1}^{m-a+1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{m-a+2} \dots \sum_{i_a=i_{a-1}+1}^m \sum_{j_1=1}^v \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{n-b+2} \dots \sum_{j_b=j_{b-1}+1}^n g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b})$$

and

$$R_{a,b}(u, v) = \sum_{i_1=u+1}^{m-a+1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{m-a+2} \dots \sum_{i_a=i_{a-1}+1}^m \sum_{j_1=v+1}^{n-b+1} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{n-b+2} \dots \sum_{j_b=j_{b-1}+1}^n g_{a,b}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_a}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_b}).$$

Then $A_{a,b} = D_{a,b}(u, v) + H_{a,b}(u, v) + L_{a,b}(u, v) + R_{a,b}(u, v)$. Here $H_{a,b}(u, v)$ and $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_v\}$ are independent. Similarly $L_{a,b}(u, v)$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_u\}$ are independent.

Furthermore $R_{a,b}(u, v)$ and $\{X_1, \dots, X_u, Y_1, \dots, Y_v\}$ are also independent. From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathbf{E} |D_{a,b}(u, v)|^p \leq 0((uv)^{\frac{p}{2}} N^{\frac{p}{2}(a+b-2)}).$$

And we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |H_{a,b}(u, v)|^p &\leq \mathbf{E} |H_{a,b}(u, v) + D_{a,b}(u, v) - D_{a,b}(u, v)|^p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \{ \mathbf{E} |H_{a,b}(u, v) + D_{a,b}(u, v)|^p + \mathbf{E} |D_{a,b}(u, v)|^p \} \\ &= 0(u^{\frac{p}{2}} N^{\frac{p}{2}(a+b-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\mathbf{E} |L_{a,b}(u, v)|^p \leq 0(v^{\frac{p}{2}} N^{\frac{p}{2}(a+b-1)}).$$

Hence we can obtain an appropriate upper bound for ${}_2\chi_M(t)$ by the similar way of Lemma 4 as Callaert et al. [1] and Lemma 3 as Maesono[6]. The bound and the proof of it are rather complicated, and will be omitted here.

In addition to (C1), we assume that

$$(C2) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |\eta(t)| < 1 \text{ and } \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |\nu(t)| < 1.$$

Then by the same arguments which have been described in Callaert et al. [1] pp308-309, we can prove that (II) is $o(N^{-1})$ under the conditions (C1) and (C2).

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x_1, x_2) &= w_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) - \{(r-2)/(r-1)\} [w_{1,0}(x_1) + w_{1,0}(x_2)] \\ \mu(y_1, y_2) &= w_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) - \{(s-2)/(s-1)\} [w_{0,1}(y_1) + w_{0,1}(y_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then if we assume the following complicated condition, it will be possible to show that (III) is $o(N^{-1})$.

(C3) There exist positive constants $c_1 < 1$ and $c_2 < 1$ such that for $u = [m^\alpha]$ and $v = [n^\beta]$, where $0 < \alpha < 1/8$ and $0 < \beta < 1/8$,

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\left| \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ it \left(k_{2,0} \sum_{j=u+1}^m \zeta(X_1, X_j) \right) \right\} \right] \right| \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + k_{1,1} \sum_{j=v+1}^n g_{1,1}(X_j; Y_j) \big| X_{u+1}, \dots, X_m, Y_{v+1}, \dots, Y_n \big| \leq c_1, \\
\text{or, } & \left| \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left\{ it \left(k_{0,2} \sum_{j=v+1}^n \mu(Y_1, Y_j) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. + k_{1,1} \sum_{j=u+1}^m g_{1,1}(X_j; Y_1) \right) \right\} \big| X_{u+1}, \dots, X_m, Y_{v+1}, \dots, Y_n \right] \right| \leq c_2 \\
& \geq 1 - o\left(\frac{1}{N \log N}\right) \\
& \text{uniformly for all } t \in [N^{\frac{3}{4}}/\log N, N \log N].
\end{aligned}$$

This is an extension of the conditions which are given in Callaert et al. [1] and Maesono[6]. It may be hard to check the validity of (C3) in most of the examples encountered in statistics. Then it is desirable to obtain simple condition which ensures (III) = $o(N^{-1})$.

From the discussion above, we have

THEOREM. If the conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3) are satisfied, then

$$\sup_x |P(\sigma_{m,n}^{-1} U_{m,n} \leq x) - Q_{m,n}(x)| = o(N^{-1}).$$

REMARK. Instead of condition (C1), the asymptotic expansion may be valid under the existence of a fourth moment of kernel h . Lin[5] has proved it in the case of one-sample U-statistics with kernel of degree two.

References

- [1] H. Callaert, P. Janssen and N. Veraverbeke, An Edgeworth expansion for U-statistics, *Ann. Statist.*, **8** (1980), 299-312.
- [2] S. W. Dharmadhikari, V. Fabian and K. Jogdeo, Bounds of moments of martingales, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **39** (1968), 1719-1723.
- [3] C. F. Esseen, Fourier analysis of distribution functions: A mathematical study of the Laplace-Gaussian law, *Acta Math.*, **77** (1945), 1-125.
- [4] W. Hoeffding, The strong law of large numbers for U-statistics, Univ. of North Carolina Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series, No. 302, (1961).
- [5] Lin Zhengyan, A note on the asymptotic expansion for U-statistics (in Chinese), *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **27** (1984), 595-598.
- [6] Y. Maesono, Edgeworth expansion for one-sample U-statistics, submitted.
- [7] R. J. Serfling, *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics*, Wiley, New York, 1980.