

# Relative Contribution of Endocrine-disrupting Chemicals to the Estrogenic Potency of Marine Sediments of Osaka Bay, Japan

著者	KOYAMA Jiro, KITOH Asami, NAKAI Makoto, KOHNO Kumiko, TANAKA Hiroyuki, UNO Seiichi
journal or publication title	Water, Air, & Soil Pollution
volume	224
number	5
page range	1570
ファイル(説明)	Table 2 Table 1 Fig. 5 Fig. 4 Fig. 3 Fig. 2 Fig. 1 Figure Captions
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10232/21218">http://hdl.handle.net/10232/21218</a>

1 **Relative Contribution of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals to the Estrogenic**  
2 **Potency of Marine Sediments of Osaka Bay, Japan**

3

4 Jiro Koyama<sup>1</sup>, Asami Kitoh<sup>2</sup>, Makoto Nakai<sup>3</sup>, Kumiko Kohno<sup>4</sup>, Hiroyuki Tanaka<sup>4</sup> and Seiichi  
5 Uno<sup>1</sup>

6

7 1: Faculty of Fisheries, Kagoshima University, 4-50-20 Shimoarata, Kagoshima 890-0056,  
8 Japan

9 2: Graduate School of Fisheries, Kagoshima University, 4-50-20 Shimoarata, Kagoshima  
10 890-0056, Japan

11 3: Chemical Evaluation and Research Institute, 1600 Shimotakano, Sugito-machi, Saitama  
12 345-0043, Japan

13 4: Environment Conservation Division, National Research Institute of Fisheries and  
14 Environment of Inland Sea, 2-17-5 Maruishi, Hatsukaichi, Hiroshima 739-0452, Japan

15

16

17 Correspondence to: Dr. Jiro Koyama ([koyama@fish.kagoshima-u.ac.jp](mailto:koyama@fish.kagoshima-u.ac.jp))

18 Tel: +81-99-286-4743

19 Fax: +81-99-286-4296

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27           Although concentrations of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in seawaters of  
28 Japan are relatively low, vitellogenin and ovo-testis inductions are still being observed in  
29 some males of mullet and flounder collected in coastal areas. These fish species are benthic  
30 and could be affected by EDCs in marine sediments. Therefore, the concentrations of EDCs  
31 in marine sediments of Osaka Bay were determined by LCMS/MS. In addition, the estrogen  
32 receptor binding potencies as the estrogenic potencies of these sediments were assessed by  
33 the medaka estrogen receptor- $\alpha$  binding assay. Results show that estrogenic potencies were  
34 higher in sediments of the inner part of the bay especially at station 13 (off Sakai City) where  
35 quite strong estrogenic potency was detected. Through calculation of total E2 equivalent  
36 concentration in sediments, it was established that approximately 50% of estrogenic potency  
37 was due to nonylphenol, estrone and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol suggesting that these compounds play  
38 important roles as endocrine disruptors in coastal environments of Osaka Bay.

39

40

41

42 **Keywords:** estrogen disrupting chemicals, E2 equivalent concentration, marine sediments,

43 **Osaka Bay**

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

## 51 **1. Introduction**

52 Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) are widely known to act like hormones in  
53 animals and sometimes adversely affecting their health. For instance, several reports show  
54 that many EDCs affect fish reproduction including the induction of vitellogenin in males  
55 rainbow trout (Jobling et al., 1996), and grey mullet (Aoki et al., 2010) and Japanese common  
56 goby in Osaka Bay (Ohkubo et al., 2003). Most studies focus on EDCs in water but the  
57 detected concentrations are too low to cause adverse effects on fish reproduction except  
58 perhaps for waters impacted by sewage effluents (Desbrow et al., 1998; Routledge et al.,  
59 1998; Vajda et al., 2008; Japanese Ministry of Environment, 2002). The relative hydrophobic  
60 characteristics of representative EDCs such as natural hormones, however, suggest potential  
61 abundance of EDCs in sediments. Even the ovo-testis inductions in some marine benthic  
62 fishes (Wanami et al., 2003) support speculations that marine sediments are reservoirs of  
63 EDCs. As such, some researchers have already reported detecting higher concentration of  
64 EDCs in freshwater and marine sediments (Khim et al., 1999; Isobe et al., 2001; Braga et al.,  
65 2005; Isobe et al., 2006). It has been suggested also that EDCs in sediments could be  
66 accumulated by fish or other aquatic organisms through the benthic food chain (Mayer et al.,  
67 2007; Vigano et al., 2006; Kono et al., 2008; Kono et al., 2010).

68 Anthropogenic EDCs such as nonylphenol (NP), octylphenol (OP) and bisphenol A  
69 (BP) and natural estrogens such as 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2) and estrone (E1) are already detected at  
70 relatively high concentrations in marine sediments (Khim et al., 1999; Isobe et al., 2001;  
71 Braga et al., 2005; Isobe et al., 2006). These chemicals affect fish by competitively binding  
72 with the estrogen receptors. To assess the threat posed by sediments as reservoirs of EDCs,  
73 estrogenic potency of sediment extracts should be evaluated. However, reports of total  
74 estrogenic potency of sediments in relation to EDC residues are rare. To evaluate EDCs in  
75 sediments for marine benthic fish, estrogen receptor of marine fish must be naturally applied,

76 however only medaka estrogen receptor- $\alpha$  can be applied in our laboratory. Therefore, in the  
77 present study, a competitive ligand binding assay using medaka estrogen receptor- $\alpha$  (medaka  
78 ER) was applied to evaluate estrogenic potency of sediments of Osaka Bay. Medaka ER  
79 binding assay, as a mechanism-based assay, is a useful tool in assessing environmental risks  
80 posed by complex samples such as sediments and sediment extracts that sometimes show  
81 cytotoxicity (Khim et al., 1999; Keiter et al., 2006). Concentrations of target EDCs in the  
82 sediments were also determined to evaluate specific contribution of each EDC potency vis a  
83 vis the total estrogenic potency of sediment extracts.

84

## 85 **2. Materials and methods**

### 86 *2.1. Collection and preparation of sediments*

87 Osaka Bay, which covers 1500 km<sup>2</sup>, is one of the biggest inner bays in Japan.  
88 However, with 6 million people living near it and 103 municipal wastewater treatment plants  
89 around the area, it is also one of most polluted bays in the country. Sediments were collected  
90 by Smith- McIntyre grab in 28 sites (shown in **Fig.1**) around the bay in 2000 and 2001  
91 on-board the Shirafuji-maru, a research vessel of the National Research Institute of Fisheries  
92 and Environment of Inland Sea. Upon reaching the deck, sediment samples from 0 to 10 cm  
93 deep were collected by stainless spatula and immediately frozen at -20°C for further analysis.

94 After thawing and sieving of sediments in stainless sieves, particles less than 2 mm  
95 were dried at 60°C for 24 hours and kept in glass bottle until analysis. Dried sediments were  
96 subjected to further sieving and total organic carbon content (TOC) was determined in  
97 particles that pass through a 62.5- $\mu$ m sieve.

98

### 99 *2.2. Determination of EDCs*

100 About 5 g of dry sediment was subjected to ultrasonic extraction twice with 20 mL

101 of dichloromethane-methanol (3:1, v/v) for 20 min. After centrifugation at 200 rpm for 10  
102 min, extract was concentrated to 0.5 mL by rotary evaporator (rotavap) and gentle blow of  
103 nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) gas. Concentrated extracts were added with 4.5 mL of 0.05M sodium acetate  
104 buffer before loading onto 200-mg Strata X-AW cartridges (Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK),  
105 which were previously conditioned with 5 mL of ethyl acetate, 5 mL methanol, and 20 mL  
106 distilled water in sequence. The target chemicals were eluted with 10 mL ethyl acetate after  
107 washing the cartridge with 4 mL 0.05M sodium acetate buffer-methanol (9:1, v/v). The eluate  
108 was dried using N<sub>2</sub> gas and the residue added with 0.5 mL cyclohexane-ethyl acetate. The  
109 solution was loaded to Sep-pak silica cartridge (Waters Co., Massachusetts, USA) previously  
110 conditioned with 4 mL cyclohexane-ethyl acetate (6:4, v/v) and 4 mL cyclohexane. The target  
111 chemicals were eluted again with 10 mL cyclohexane-ethyl acetate (6:4, v/v) after washing  
112 the cartridge with 4 mL of cyclohexane. The 17 $\beta$ -estradiol-16,16,17-d<sub>3</sub>, as internal standard,  
113 was added to the eluate followed by drying under gentle blow of N<sub>2</sub> gas. Exactly 200  $\mu$ L  
114 acetonitrile was added to the dried residue for determination by LCMS/MS.

115 LCMS/MS analysis was carried out with an Agilent 1200 LC system (Agilent  
116 Technologies, California, USA) coupled to an API 200 triple stage quadrupole mass  
117 spectrometer equipped with ESI source (Applied Biosystems, California, USA). The  
118 optimized parameters were set as follows: curtain gas (40 psi), turbo gas (80 psi) and  
119 auxiliary gas (80 psi) using nitrogen; CAD gas, 5 psi; ion voltage, -4500V; and turbo  
120 temperature, 400°C. Ionization and fragmentation settings were optimized by direct injection  
121 of the solution containing target chemicals.

122 EDCs were individually separated by the gradient liquid chromatography system  
123 using column of ZORBAX Extend-C18 (2.1 mm i.d.  $\times$  100 mm, 3.5  $\mu$ m of particle size,  
124 Agilent) in 40°C, and the injection volume was 10  $\mu$ L. Gradient elution with a flow of 200  
125  $\mu$ L/min and acetonitrile (solvent A) and 0.1M triethyl amine (v/v, solvent B) was carried out

126 using the following conditions: held 20% A in 2 min from starting, increased to 95% A at 2 to  
127 15 min, and held to 22 min; and decreased 20% A to 22.1 min, and held to 32 min. The  
128 negative ion multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used for the transition of NP  
129 (m/z: 219.0-132.9), E2 (m/z: 271.1-144.9), BP (m/z: 227.1-211.8), OP (m/z: 205-132.9), E1  
130 (m/z: 269.1-145.0) and E2-d<sub>3</sub> (m/z: 274.2-144.9).

131 Detection limits of NP, E1, BP, OP and E2 were 0.4, 0.2, 1.0, 0.4 and 1.0 ng/g dry  
132 weight (d.w.), respectively. On one hand, the recovery rates of NP, OP, BP, E1 and E2 in the  
133 sediment were 98, 76.4, 95.6, 133 and 119%, respectively. Determinations were replicated  
134 more than 3 times.

135

### 136 2.3. *Medaka ER binding assay*

137 A 1 g of dried sediment was subjected to extraction by ultrasonication twice using 10  
138 mL dichloromethane and once using 10 mL methanol. Extracts were combined and  
139 centrifuged at 430 g (4°C for 10 min) before concentration using rotavap and N<sub>2</sub> gas. The  
140 solvent was ultimately replaced with 1 mL dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). The DMSO solutions  
141 were applied to medaka ER- $\alpha$  competitive binding assay (Chemical Evaluation and Research  
142 Institute, Japan) following Nakai (Nakai, 2003; Nakai et al., 2004) with slight modifications.  
143 Briefly, 10  $\mu$ L sample solution and 10  $\mu$ L 5 nM [2,4,6,7,16,17-<sup>3</sup>H] 17 $\beta$ -estradiol were dissolved  
144 in Tris-HCl (pH 7.4, 70  $\mu$ L) containing 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM NaVO<sub>3</sub>, 10% glycerol,  
145 10 mg/mL albumin, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.2 mM leupeptin and 1mM  
146 dithiothreitol. After incubation for 1 hour at 25°C, 100  $\mu$ L dextran-coated charcoal (DCC, 0.2%  
147 activated charcoal and 0.02% dextran in 7.4-pH PBS) was added and incubated again for 10 min  
148 at 4°C to remove the free radioligands. After centrifugation, radioactivity in supernatant was  
149 measured by liquid scintillation counter. The estrogenic potency of sediment extracts were  
150 determined by the inhibition rates of binding of <sup>3</sup>H-labeled 17 $\beta$ -estradiol to medaka ER.

151 Similarly, inhibition rates of binding of <sup>3</sup>H-labeled 17β-estradiol to medaka ER due to  
152 competitive binding by target EDCs (i.e., NP, OP, BP, E1 and E2) were examined to find the  
153 median inhibition concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>). All analyses were conducted with more than 2  
154 replicates.

155

#### 156 2.4. Estimation of estrogenic potency

157 After the medaka ER binding assay, IC<sub>50</sub>s of the target EDCs (NP, OP, BP, E1 and  
158 E2) were determined by probit analysis using SPSS (Windows Ver. 14.0J, SPSS Inc., USA).  
159 The *relative E2 potency* (REP) of each EDC was calculated by the ratio of IC<sub>50</sub>s of E2 and of  
160 a particular EDC. On the other hand, *E2 equivalent concentration* (EEQ) of each EDC in the  
161 sediment extract was estimated by multiplying the detected concentration of a particular EDC  
162 in the sediment and its REP. EEQ of all EDCs were summed up to get the *total EEQ*. Using  
163 the total EEQ, estimates of probable inhibition rate due to EDCs were calculated. Finally, the  
164 percentage contribution of EDCs to the estrogenic potency of sediment extracts was assessed  
165 by comparing the measured and estimated inhibition rates.

166

#### 167 2.5. Spatial distributions

168 Spatial distributions of estrogenic potency and target EDC concentrations all over  
169 Osaka Bay were simulated by GIS software (Marine Explorer, Environmental Simulation  
170 Laboratory Co. Ltd., Japan).

171

### 172 3. Results and discussion

#### 173 3.1. Concentrations of target EDCs

174 The ranges of NP, OP, BP, E1 and E2 in the sediments were ND (<0.1 ng/g d.w.)-119,  
175 ND-15.6, ND-6.8, ND-0.9 and ND-1.57 ng/g d.w., respectively. E2 was detected only at



176 stations 8, 13 and 21 with the highest concentration (1.57 ng/g d.w.) detected at St.13. Spatial  
177 distributions of EDCs except for E2 in the sediments of Osaka Bay are shown in **Fig.2**. The  
178 ranges of EDC concentrations in sediments in the present study were similar with the ranges  
179 of them in Osaka Bay reported in other papers and also similar with detected concentrations  
180 in other areas as shown in **Table 1**. Higher concentrations of EDCs were observed in the  
181 inner bay and eastern side suggesting that EDCs were released from sewage effluents and  
182 introduced into coastal areas. As observed in Tokyo Bay (Isobe et al., 2001; Isobe et al.,  
183 2006), the concentrations of EDCs in the sediments of Osaka Bay appeared to decrease  
184 towards the open sea.

185

### 186 *3.2. Measured inhibition rates*

187 Some sediment extracts caused 100% inhibition rates even after 10 times dilution.  
188 Only after dilution by 300 times that less than 100% inhibition rates were observed in these  
189 extracts. Hence, sediment extracts were diluted 300 times before being subjected to the  
190 medaka ER binding assay. With this, the inhibition rates obtained ranged from 3 (station 1) to  
191 99.7% (station 13). Simulation of the spatial distribution of inhibition rates (**Fig.3**) show that  
192 relatively higher values are observed in inner part of the bay especially in station 13 (off  
193 Sakai City) where almost 100% inhibition rate was detected. Meanwhile, less than 30%  
194 inhibition rates were observed in the southern part of the bay. In the assumption that  
195 inhibition rate is directly related to the estrogen receptor binding potencies as estrogenic  
196 potency, this implies that the estrogenic potency of sediments in the inner bay are  
197 consequently higher. In addition, this result coincides with the spatial distribution of EDCs in  
198 Osaka Bay and agrees with the assertion of Richard et al. (2004) that sediments with higher  
199 concentrations of EDCs also show higher estrogenic potency.

200

201 *3.3. Estimated inhibition rates*

202 The REP of each EDC was as follows:  $4.69 \times 10^{-4}$  for NP,  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  for OP,  $3.29 \times 10^{-6}$   
203 for BP, 0.14 for E1 and 1.0 for E2. Total EEQs of sediments were calculated for stations  
204 (particularly 6, 13, 14, 17, 18, 22 and 24) with high measured inhibition rates. The calculated  
205 EEQs ranged from 0.004 (station 6) to 1.358 ng EEQ/g d.w. (station 13) as shown in **Table 2**.  
206 Based on these total EEQs, the inhibition rates were estimated and it ranged from 27.5  
207 (station 6) to 48.8% (station 13). These results suggest that 49-66% of estrogenic potency of  
208 sediment extracts could be attributed to the 5 target EDCs. Based on Clemons et al. (1998),  
209 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are also estrogenic chemicals and PAH concentrations in  
210 sediments of Osaka Bay ranged from 6 to 7800 ng/g dry weight (not published our data)  
211 suggesting that PAHs are also main sources of estrogenic chemicals in the sediments.

212 Furthermore, according to the probit analysis of E2 concentration and inhibition rate  
213 in the medaka ER- $\alpha$  binding assay, most reliable estimated rate, a 50% inhibition rate (IC<sub>50</sub>)  
214 corresponded to 6.2 pg EEQ/g sediment extract. Since the extracts were diluted by 300 times,  
215 an E2 equivalent estrogenic potency of 50% inhibition rate is, therefore, equivalent to 1.86 ng  
216 EEQ/g sediment extract. Based on reports of EEQ of sediment extracts from other coastal  
217 areas, which ranged from 0.0057 to 24.6 ng EEQ/g d.w. (Khim et al., 1999; Motegi et al.,  
218 2007; Tashiro et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2004; Hashimoto et al., 2005; Petrovic et al.,  
219 2002), the estrogenic potency of sediment extracts of Osaka Bay was not very different. On  
220 the other hand, EEQ of riverine water, seawater and sewage effluent ranged from 0.001 to 31  
221 ng EEQ/L (Motegi et al., 2007; Peck et al., 2004; Tashiro et al., 2003; Beck et al., 2006;  
222 Vajda et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2004) showing that estrogenic potency of sediments are  
223 more than 1,000 times higher compared to water.

224

225 *3.4. Contribution of each EDC to estrogenic potency*

226 As shown in **Fig.4**, NP was a major contributor to the estrogenic potency ranging  
227 from 60 to 100% of these 5 EDCs estrogenic potency in stations 6, 14, 17, 18, 22 and 24.  
228 Moreover, share of E1 to the estrogenic potency ranged from 0 to 37 % in the same stations.  
229 Interestingly, E2 was the most important contributor to estrogenic potency in station 13 at  
230 94 %. Grund et al. (2011) similarly found a sizeable contribution of NP to the estrogenic  
231 potency of sediment extracts from rivers with only 6% of the estrogenic potency attributed to  
232 BP and E1.

233 To explain variations in EDC contributions to estrogenic potency, relationship of  
234 EDC concentration to TOC of sediments was examined as shown in Fig.5. While estrogenic  
235 potencies of extracts did not show significant relationship with TOC, NP and OP  
236 concentrations in the sediments showed significant relationship ( $p < 0.05$ ) with TOC. NP, one  
237 of the main EDCs, with a high  $\log K_{ow}$  (at 4.48) is possibly adsorbed strongly on organic  
238 particles. This could be the reason behind the higher contribution of NP to the estrogenic  
239 potency of sediments of Osaka Bay compared to the other potent EDCs.

240

### 241 *3.5. Conclusions and recommendations*

242 Since some EDCs are possibly transferred through the food chain, there is a great  
243 chance that EDCs detected in the sediments of Osaka Bay could also be accumulated by  
244 benthic fishes and could disrupt their endocrine systems. Hence, there is a need for  
245 management intervention to reduce the risks posed by relatively high concentrations of NP,  
246 E1 and E2 in the sediments of Osaka Bay.

247

### 248 **Acknowledgements**

249 The present study was partly funded by EXTEND 2010 Program, Ministry of  
250 Environment, Japan.

251

252 **References**

- 253 Aoki, J., Nagae, M., Takao, Y., Hara, A., Lee, Y.-D., Yeo, I.-K., Lim, B.-S., Park, C.-B. and  
254 Soyano, K. (2010). Survey of contamination of estrogenic chemicals in Japanese and  
255 Korean coastal waters using the wild grey mullet (*Mugil cephalus*). *Science of the Total*  
256 *Environment*, 408, 660-665.
- 257 Beck, I.-C., Bruhn, R., Gandrass, J. (2006). Analysis of estrogenic activity in coastal surface  
258 waters of the Baltic Sea using the yeast estrogen screen. *Chemosphere*, 63, 1870-1878.
- 259 Braga, O., Smythe, G.A., Schäfer, A.I., Feitz, A.J. (2005). Steroid estrogens in ocean  
260 sediments. *Chemosphere*, 61, 827-833.
- 261 Clemons, J. H., Allan, L. M., Marvin, C. H., Wu, Z., Mccarry, B. E., Bryant, D. W. and  
262 Zacharewski, T. R. (1998). Evidence of estrogen- and TCDD-like activities in crude and  
263 fractionated extracts of PM10 and particulate materials using in vitro gene expression  
264 assays. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 32, 1853–1860.
- 265 Desbrow, C., Routledge, E. J., Brighty, G. C., Sumpter, J. P., Waldock, M. (1998).  
266 Identification of estrogenic chemicals in STW Effluent. 1. Chemical fractionation and in  
267 vitro biological Screening. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 32, 1549–1558.
- 268 Grund, S., Higley, E., Schonenberger, R., Suter, M.J-F., Giesy, J.P., Braunbeck, T., Hecker, M.,  
269 Hollert, H. (2011). The endocrine disrupting potential of sediments from the Upper Danube  
270 River (Germany) as revealed by in vitro bioassays and chemical analysis. *Environmental*  
271 *Science and Pollution Research*, 18, 446-460.
- 272 Hashimoto, S., Akatsuka, Y., Kurihara, R., Matsuoka, S., Nakatsukuri, M., Kurokawa, Y.,  
273 Tani, Y. and Kawai, S. (2005). Evaluation of the Ishikawa cell line bioassay for the  
274 detection of estrogenic substances from sediment extracts. *Environmental Toxicology and*  
275 *Chemistry*, 24, 1587-1593.

276 Isobe, T., Nishiyama, H., Nakashima, A., Takada, H. (2001). Distribution and behavior of  
277 nonylphenol, octylphenol, and nonylphenol monoethoxylate in Tokyo metropolitan area:  
278 Their association with aquatic particles and sedimentary distributions. *Environmental*  
279 *Science and Technology*, 35, 1041-1049.

280 Isobe, T., Serizawa, S., Horiguchi, T., Shibata, Y., Managaki, S., Takada, H., Morita, M.,  
281 Shiraishi, (2006). H. Horizontal distribution of steroid estrogens in surface sediments in  
282 Tokyo Bay. *Environmental Pollution*, 144, 632-638.

283 Japanese Ministry of Environment. (2005). The report of endocrine disrupting chemicals in  
284 the water environment. 35 p.

285 Jobling, S., Sheahan, D., Osborne, J.A., Matthiessen, P.; Sumpter, J.P. (1996). Inhibition of  
286 testicular growth in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) exposed to estrogenic  
287 alkylphenolic chemicals. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 15, 194–202.

288 Keiter, S., Rastall, A., Kosmehl, T., Wurm, K., Erdinger, L., Braunbeck, T., Hollert, H. (2006).  
289 Ecotoxicological assessment of sediment, suspended matter and water samples in the upper  
290 Danube River. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 13, 308-319.

291 Khim, J.S., Villeneuve, D.L., Kanna, K., Lee, K.T., Synder, S.A., Koh, C.-H., Giesy, J.P.  
292 (1999). Alkylphenols, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and organochlorines in sediment  
293 from Lake Shiwa, Korea: Instrumental and bioanalytical characterization. *Environmental*  
294 *Toxicology and Chemistry*, 18, 2424-2432.

295 Kono, K., Minami, T., Yamada, H., Tanaka, H., Koyama, J. (2008). Bioaccumulation of  
296 tributyltin and triphenyltin compounds through the food web in deep offshore water.  
297 *Coastal Marine Science*, 32, 102-107.

298 Kono, K., Tanaka, H., Koyama, J. (2010). Dioxin transfer from sediment to the infaunal  
299 surface deposit-feeding polychaete *Perinereis nuntia* in a laboratory-rearing experiment.  
300 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 29, 1512-1519.

301 Matsuoka, S., Sakakura, R., Takiishi, M., Kurokawa, Y., Kawai, S. and Miyazaki, N. (2005).  
302 Determination of natural estrogens in the sediment of coastal area in Japan. *Coastal*  
303 *Marine Science*, 29, 141-146.

304 Mayer, T., Bennie, D., Rosa, F., Rekas, G., Palabrica, V., Schachtschneider, J. (2007).  
305 Occurrence of alkylphenolic substances in Great Lakes coastal marsh, Cootes Paradise,  
306 ON, Canada. *Environmental Pollution*, 147, 683-690.

307 Motegi, M., Nojiri, K., Hosono, S. and Kawamura, K. (2007). Determination and Evaluation  
308 of estrogenic contamination in an urban river basin. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry*,  
309 17, 421-431 (In Japanese with English abstract).

310 Nakai, M. (2003). Receptor binding assay and reporter gene assay of medaka. in  
311 “Development of Test Methods and Suitability of Medaka as Test Organism for Detection  
312 of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals” (<http://www.env.go.jp/chemi/end/medaka.html>),  
313 20-26.

314 Nakai, M., Yokota, H., Urushitani, H., Asai, D., Katsu, Y., Eto, C., Yakabe, Y., Iguchi, T.,  
315 Shimohigashi, Y. (2004). Estrogenic receptor binding assay for evaluation of  
316 endocrine-disrupting chemicals on marine fish. *Marine Biotechnology*, 6, S137-S141.

317 Ohkubo, N., Mochida, K., Adachi, S., Hara, A., Hotta, K., Nakamura, Y., Natsubara, T. (2003).  
318 Estrogenic activity in coastal area around Japan evaluated by measuring male serum  
319 vitellogenins in Japanese common goby *Acanthogobius flavimanus*. *Fisheries Science*,  
320 69, 1135-1145.

321 Peck, M., Gibson, R.B., Kortenkamp, A. and Hill, E.M. (2004). Sediments are major sinks of  
322 steroidal estrogens in two United Kingdom rivers. *Environmental Toxicology and*  
323 *Chemistry*, 23, 945-952.

324 Petrovic, M., Sole, M., Lopez, A. and Barcelo, D. (2002). Endocrine disruptors in sewage  
325 treatment plants, receiving river waters, and sediment: integration of chemicals analysis

326 and biological effects on feral carp. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 21,  
327 2146-2156.

328 Richards, M.P, Gibson, W., Kortenkamp, A., Hill, E.M. (2004). Sediments are major sinks of  
329 estradiol estrogens in two United Kingdom rivers. *Environmental Toxicology and*  
330 *Chemistry*, 23, 945-952.

331 Routledge, E.J., Sheahan, D., Desbrow, C., Brighty, G.C., Waldock, M., Sumpter J.P. (1998).  
332 Identification of estrogenic chemicals in STW effluent. 2. In vivo responses in trout and  
333 roach. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 32, 1559–1565.

334 Tashiro, Y., Takemura, A., Fujii, H., Takahira, K. and Nakanishi, Y. (2003). Livestock wastes  
335 as a source of estrogens and their effects on wildlife of Manko tidal flat, Okinawa. *Marine*  
336 *Pollution Bulletin*, 47, 143-147.

337 Thomas, K.V., Balaam, J., Hurst, M., Nedyalkova, Z. and Mekenyan, O. (2004). Potency and  
338 characterization of estrogen-receptor agonists in United Kingdom estuarine sediments.  
339 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 23, 471-479.

340 Vajda, A.M., Barber, L.B., Gray, J.L., Lopez, E.M., Woodling, J.D., Norris D.O. (2008).  
341 Reproductive disruption in fish downstream from an estrogenic wastewater effluent.  
342 *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42, 3407–3414.

343 Vigano, L., Mandich, A., Benfenati, E., Bertolotti, R., Bottero, S., Porazzi, E., Agradi, E.  
344 (2006). Investigation the estrogenic risk along the river PO and its intermediate section.  
345 *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 51, 641-651.

346 Wanami, K., Shimazu, T., Miyashita, T., Ohara, T. (2003). Study on Endocrine Disrupters in  
347 metropolitan rivers and Tokyo Bay (1): Gonad abnormalities and Plasma Vitellogenin in  
348 wild fish from Tokyo Bay. *Bulletin of Tokyo Metropolitan Research Institute of*  
349 *Environmental Protection*, 55-62 (In Japanese with English abstract).