

ON SOME SPECIAL (,)-METRICS

著者	HASHIGUCHI Masao, ICHIJO Yoshihiro
journal or publication title	鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学
volume	8
page range	39-46
別言語のタイトル	ある特殊な(,)-計量について
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00010026

ON SOME SPECIAL (α, β) -METRICS

By

Masao HASHIGUCHI and Yoshihiro ICHIJO

(Received September 30, 1975)

An affinely connected space defined by L. Berwald [1, 2] is also called a *Berwald space*, which is defined as the Finsler space such that Berwald's connection coefficients depend on position alone. If we obey the Cartan connection [3], such a space is also the one in which Cartan's connection coefficients $\Gamma^*_{j^i_k}$ depend on position alone, and is characterized by the well-known condition $C_{ijk|l} = 0$. V. Wagner [16] has generalized the notion of a Berwald space, and has called a Finsler space as a *generalized Berwald space* if it is possible to introduce a *generalized Cartan connection*, with torsion ($*\Gamma^*_{j^i_k} - *\Gamma^*_{k^i_j} \neq 0$), in such a way that the connection coefficients $*\Gamma^*_{j^i_k}$ depend on position alone. And in a two-dimensional case he has shown that a Finsler space is a generalized Berwald space if and only if $\partial A / \partial \theta$ is a function of A , where A and θ are the *main scalar* and the *Landsberg angle* respectively (Berwald [2]). It would seem that an aim of his study is to search the geometrical class to which the two-dimensional Finsler space with the interesting metric $ds = (\alpha_{ij}(x) dx^i dx^j dx^k)^{1/3}$ belongs. In fact, for this metric it holds $\partial A / \partial \theta = -3A^2 - 3/2$, and so a generalized Berwald space is thought to be an important model of a Finsler space.

In his recent paper [6], M. Hashiguchi, one of the authors, has investigated in detail various axioms imposed on a Finsler connection, to clarify a meaning of the generalized Cartan connection used by Wagner, and to characterize Wagner's generalized Berwald spaces of general dimensions. For the purpose of these considerations, a generalized Berwald space is defined in a broader sense than Wagner's, while Wagner's generalized Berwald space is called a *Wagner space*. The generalized Cartan connection used by Wagner is thought to be a semi-symmetric metrical connection without deflection, which is called a *Wagner connection*. And it is shown that a Finsler space is a Wagner space if and only if it is possible to introduce a Wagner connection satisfying the condition $C_{ijk|l} = 0$ formally similar to the one for a Berwald space.

The purpose of the present paper is to give examples of a Berwald space, a generalized Berwald space and a Wagner space (§3). Y. Ichijō, the other author, has given an effective method as shown in §2 to obtain such spaces, which comes from the study [8] about *Finsler spaces modeled on a Minkowski space*. Our examples rise naturally from his theory by recalling the (α, β) -metric introduced by M. Matsumoto [13].

On the other hand, the conditions that a Finsler space becomes a generalized Berwald space in the broader sense have already been given in [6]. It was pointed out by Y. Ichijō that one complicated condition (3.5) in [6] may be replaced by a trivial condition (4.6) in the present paper. As additional remarks we shall provide §4 to improve some results obtained in [6].

Throughout the present paper we shall use the terminologies and notations described in M. Matsumoto [12] and M. Hashiguchi [6]. For convenience' sake we shall devote §1 to sketching the materials necessary for our discussions.

The authors wish to express here their sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. M. Matsumoto for the invaluable suggestions and encouragement.

§1. Preliminaries.

1.1. Given a differentiable manifold M , we denote by $L(M)$ and $T(M)$ the linear frame bundle and the tangent bundle respectively. The standard fibre V is assumed that a base $\{e_a\}$ is fixed. The *Finsler bundle* $F(M)$ is defined as the induced bundle $\tau^{-1}L(M) = \{(y, z) \in T(M) \times L(M) \mid \tau(y) = \pi(z)\}$, where τ and π are the projections of $T(M)$ and $L(M)$ respectively.

Since a point of $F(M)$ is a pair of a tangent vector y and a linear frame $z = (z_a)$ at a point x of the base manifold M , a coordinate system (x^i) in M induces a coordinate system (x^i, y^i, z_a^i) in $F(M)$ by $y = y^i(\partial/\partial x^i)_x$ and $z_a = z_a^i(\partial/\partial x^i)_x$. As a coordinate system in $F(M)$ we shall use such a one in the following.

1.2. The *Finsler connection* of M is by the third definition of M. Matsumoto a triad (Γ_V, N, Γ^v) of a V -connection Γ_V in $L(M)$, a *non-linear connection* N in $T(M)$ and a *vertical connection* Γ^v in $F(M)$.

If a Finsler connection is given, the h - and v -basic vector fields $B^h(v)$ and $B^v(v)$ ($v \in V$) are defined in $F(M)$. They are expressed by

$$(1.1) \quad B^h(v) = v^a z_a^k (\partial/\partial x^k - N^i_k \partial/\partial y^i - z_b^j F_j^i{}_k \partial/\partial z_b^i)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad B^v(v) = v^a z_a^k (\partial/\partial y^k - z_b^j C_j^i{}_k \partial/\partial z_b^i)$$

respectively, where $v = v^a e_a$, and $F_j^i{}_k$, N^i_k and $C_j^i{}_k$ are called the *coefficients* of the Finsler connection.

Let K be a Finsler tensor field. The h - and v -covariant derivatives of K are defined by $B^h(v)K$ and $B^v(v)K$ respectively. If K is assumed, for instance, to be of type $(1, 1)$, i.e.,

$$(1.3) \quad K = z'^i{}_a z_b^j K^i{}_j e_a \otimes e^b,$$

where $(z'^i{}_a) = (z_a^i)^{-1}$, and $\{e^b\}$ is the dual base of $\{e_a\}$, their components are expressed as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad K^i{}_{j|k} = \delta K^i{}_j / \partial x^k + K^m{}_j F_m^i{}_k - K^i{}_m F_j^m{}_k,$$

$$(1.5) \quad K^i{}_j|_k = \partial K^i{}_j / \partial y^k + K^m{}_j C_m^i{}_k - K^i{}_m C_j^m{}_k,$$

where $\delta/\partial x^k = \partial/\partial x^k - N^m{}_k \partial/\partial y^m$.

1.3. Now, we shall treat Finsler spaces. Let $L(x, y)$ be the fundamental function, whose metric tensor field is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad g_{ij} = \partial^2(L^2/2) / \partial y^i \partial y^j.$$

As a famous Finsler connection of a Finsler space there exists the Cartan connection, which is uniquely determined by the following four axioms due to M. Matsumoto.

(C1) The Finsler connection is *metrical*, i.e.,

$$(C1h) \quad g_{ij|k} = 0, \quad (C1v) \quad g_{ij|_k} = 0.$$

(C2) The *deflection tensor field* D vanishes identically, i.e.,

$$D^i{}_k = y^j F_j^i{}_k - N^i{}_k = 0, \text{ equivalently } y^i|_k = 0.$$

(C3) The (h)*h-torsion tensor field* T vanishes identically, i.e.,

$$T_j^i{}_k = F_j^i{}_k - F_k^i{}_j = 0.$$

(C4) The $(v)v$ -torsion tensor field S^1 vanishes identically, i.e.,

$$S_j^i{}_k = C_j^i{}_k - C_k^i{}_j = 0.$$

1.4. To investigate Wagner's generalized Berwald space, M. Hashiguchi [6] has tried to replace the axioms (C2) and (C3) by some weaker conditions. To suit our convenience we shall restate the definitions.

DEFINITION 1. A Finsler connection satisfying the axioms (C1) and (C4) is called a *generalized Cartan connection*.

As shown in [6], given a Finsler (1, 1)-tensor field D and a skew-symmetric Finsler (1,2)-tensor field T in a Finsler space, there exists a unique generalized Cartan connection with the given D and T as the respective deflection and $(h)h$ -torsion tensor fields. So, various generalized Cartan connections are introduced in a Finsler space.

The $C_j^i{}_k$ is uniquely determined by the axioms (C1v) and (C4), and it follows

$$(1.7) \quad C_j^i{}_k = 1/2 g^{ih} \partial g_{jh} / \partial y^k,$$

where $(g^{ih}) = (g_{jh})^{-1}$. Thus, a Finsler connection with the above $C_j^i{}_k$ is a generalized Cartan connection if and only if $g_{j1k} = 0$. Some treatments proceed smoothly even if we use a generalized Cartan connection, because each covariant differentiation commutes with the raising and lowering of indices, and the so-called C_1 -condition $y^j C_j^i{}_k = 0$ is satisfied. However, since the condition $y^i{}_{1k} = 0$ is attractive, a non-linear connection is ordinarily chosen such that the axiom (C2) is satisfied, i.e., $N^i{}_k = y^j F_j^i{}_k$.

On the other hand, as an axiom giving a typical Finsler connection with torsion $T_j^i{}_k$, we know

(C3*) The Finsler connection is *semi-symmetric*, i.e.,

$$T_j^i{}_k = F_j^i{}_k - F_k^i{}_j = \delta_j^i s_k - \delta_k^i s_j$$

for some covariant vector field s_k .

Thus, for some covariant vector field s_k we have a typical generalized Cartan connection used by Wagner as follows.

DEFINITION 2. A Finsler connection satisfying the axioms (C1), (C2), (C3*) and (C4) is called a *Wagner connection*.

1.5. A Finsler space is called a Berwald space if the coefficients $F_j^i{}_k = \Gamma^*{}^i{}_j{}_k$ of the Cartan connection depend on position alone. Corresponding to Definitions 1 and 2 we have the following generalizations of a Berwald space.

DEFINITION 3. A Finsler space is called a *generalized Berwald space* if it is possible to introduce a generalized Cartan connection in such a way that the coefficients $F_j^i{}_k$ depend on position alone.

DEFINITION 4. A Finsler space is called a *Wagner space* if it is possible to introduce a Wagner connection in such a way that the coefficients $F_j^i{}_k$ depend on position alone.

1.6. In the following it is usually assumed that a Finsler connection satisfies the axiom (C2) and its coefficients $F_j^i{}_k$ depend on position alone. With respect to such a Finsler connection it is easily verified that the h -covariant differentiation commutes

with the partial differentiation by the supporting element y . Hence, we have $g_{ij|k} = \partial^2(LL_{|k})/\partial y^i \partial y^j$ from (1.6). On the other hand, it holds $2LL_{|k} = g_{ij|k} y^i y^j$ because of $L^2 = g_{ij} y^i y^j$, and so we have

PROPOSITION 1. *Assumed that a Finsler connection satisfies the axiom (C2) and its coefficients $F_{j|k}^i$ depend on position alone. Then, $g_{ij|k} = 0$ if and only if $L_{|k} = 0$.*

§2. The Finsler connection associated with a linear connection.

2.1. On a Finsler space M we have especially interested in the Finsler connection (Γ_V, N, Γ^v) such that the coefficients $F_{j|k}^i$ depend on position alone, that is, the corresponding V -connection Γ_V falls into a linear connection in the linear frame bundle $L(M)$. Conversely, let a linear connection Γ be given in $L(M)$. Denoting the coefficients by $\Gamma_{j|k}^i$, a Finsler connection $*\Gamma$ of M is introduced by

$$(2.1) \quad F_{j|k}^i = \Gamma_{j|k}^i, \quad N^i_k = y^j F_{j|k}^i, \quad C_{j|k}^i = 1/2 g^{ih} \partial g_{jh} / \partial y^k.$$

We shall call this connection the *Finsler connection associated with the linear connection Γ* .

2.2. We shall first notice a feature of the h -covariant differentiation of a tensor field K . If K is assumed, for instance, to be of type (1,1), the h -covariant derivative with respect to the $*\Gamma$ is expressed by

$$(2.2) \quad K^i_{j|k} = \delta K^i_j / \partial x^k + K^m_j \Gamma^i_{m|k} - K^i_m \Gamma^m_{j|k}.$$

If K^i_j depend on position alone, $\delta K^i_j / \partial x^k$ become $\partial K^i_j / \partial x^k$ and it holds

$$(2.3) \quad K^i_{j|k} = \nabla_k K^i_j,$$

where ∇_k denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to Γ , i.e.,

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_k K^i_j = \partial K^i_j / \partial x^k + K^m_j \Gamma^i_{m|k} - K^i_m \Gamma^m_{j|k}.$$

Thus we have

PROPOSITION 2. *Let a linear connection Γ be given. For a tensor field depending on position alone, the h -covariant differentiation with respect to the associated Finsler connection $*\Gamma$ coincides with the covariant differentiation with respect to the given Γ .*

2.3. Next, we shall examine the various axioms stated in the previous section. The axioms (C1v), (C2) and (C4) are always satisfied by the $*\Gamma$. Since $F_{j|k}^i$ depend on position alone, Proposition 1 tells us that the axiom (C1h) is satisfied if and only if $L_{|k} = 0$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that the axiom (C3) is satisfied if and only if Γ is symmetric, i.e., $\Gamma_{j|k}^i - \Gamma_{k|j}^i = 0$, and the axiom (C3*) is satisfied if and only if Γ is semi-symmetric, i.e., $\Gamma_{j|k}^i - \Gamma_{k|j}^i = \delta_j^i s_k - \delta_k^i s_j$ for some covariant vector field $s_k(x)$. Thus we have

THEOREM 1. *Let a linear connection Γ be given in a Finsler space M . The Finsler connection $*\Gamma$ associated with Γ is a generalized Cartan connection of M if and only if $L_{|k} = 0$.*

In this case, if the linear connection Γ is symmetric (resp. semi-symmetric), the Finsler connection Γ is the Cartan connection (resp. a Wagner connection) of M .*

2.4. Since $F_{j|k}^i$ depend on position alone, we have from Theorem 1

THEOREM 2. *Let a linear connection Γ be given in a Finsler space M . If it*

holds that $L_{1k}=0$ with respect to the Finsler connection $*\Gamma$ associated with Γ , the Finsler space M is a generalized Berwald space.

In this case, if the linear connection Γ is symmetric (resp. semi-symmetric), the Finsler space M is a Berwald space (resp. a Wagner space).

§3. Special (α, β) -metrics.

3.1. To obtain an example for the theorems stated in the previous section, we shall treat the Finsler space with an (α, β) -metric, which is defined as follows.

DEFINITION 5. A Finsler space is called to be with an (α, β) -metric when the fundamental function $L=L(\alpha, \beta)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one in

$$\alpha = (a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j)^{1/2} \text{ and } \beta = b_i(x)y^i,$$

where α is a Riemannian metric and b_i is a non-zero covariant vector field.

Well-known examples are the Randers metric $L=\alpha+\beta$ [15] and the Kropina metric $L=\alpha^2/\beta$ [9, 10].

3.2. A Finsler space M with an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$ has two metrics. The one is the Finsler metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$ itself, and the other is the Riemannian metric α . A linear connection Γ of M is called to be *metrical* if it is metrical with respect to the latter, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_k a_{ij} = \partial a_{ij} / \partial x^k - a_{mj} \Gamma_i^m{}_k - a_{im} \Gamma_j^m{}_k = 0.$$

Now, let the vector field b_i be parallel with respect to a metrical linear connection Γ , i.e.,

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_k b_i = \partial b_i / \partial x^k - b_m \Gamma_i^m{}_k = 0.$$

With respect to the Finsler connection $*\Gamma$ associated with Γ , we have $\alpha_{1k}=0, \beta_{1k}=0$, because it holds $\alpha_{ij1k}=\nabla_k a_{ij}=0, b_{i1k}=\nabla_k b_i=0$ from Proposition 2, and $y^i{}_{1k}=0$ from (C2). Thus, we have $L_{1k}=(\partial L/\partial \alpha)\alpha_{1k}+(\partial L/\partial \beta)\beta_{1k}=0$, and from Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain

EXAMPLE 1. Let a Finsler space M be with an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\beta=b_i y^i$. If the covariant vector field b_i is parallel with respect to some metrical linear connection Γ of M , a generalized Cartan connection is introduced by

$$(3.3) \quad F_j^i{}_k = \Gamma_j^i{}_k, \quad N^i{}_k = y^j F_j^i{}_k, \quad C_j^i{}_k = 1/2 g^{ih} \partial g_{jh} / \partial y^k,$$

where $\Gamma_j^i{}_k$ denote the coefficients of Γ , and the space M becomes a generalized Berwald space.

3.3. Especially, if the metrical linear connection Γ is symmetric, that is, Γ is the Riemannian connection, we obtain

EXAMPLE 2. Let a Finsler space M be with an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\beta=b_i y^i$. If the covariant vector field b_i is parallel with respect to the Riemannian connection determined by the Riemannian metric α , the Cartan connection is given by

$$(3.4) \quad F_j^i{}_k = \{j^i{}_k\}, \quad N^i{}_k = y^j F_j^i{}_k, \quad C_j^i{}_k = 1/2 g^{ih} \partial g_{jh} / \partial y^k,$$

where $\{j^i{}_k\}$ denote the Christoffel symbols formed with respect to α , and the space M is a Berwald space.

It should be remarked that the Cartan connection in the above example is

obtained from the geometrical axioms without any artificial techniques. If we calculate F_j^i from the fundamental function $L(\alpha, \beta)$ directly, we might be at once lost in a maze.

3.4. We shall lastly give examples for a Wagner space. We may easily conclude that the semi-symmetric linear connection Γ , with $\Gamma_j^i - \Gamma_k^i = \delta_j^i s_k - \delta_k^i s_j$, is metrical if and only if Γ_j^i has a form

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma_j^i = \{j^i\} + a_{jk} s^i - s_j \delta_k^i,$$

where $(a^{im}) = (a_{mj})^{-1}$ and $s^i = a^{im} s_m$. With respect to such a linear connection Γ , b_i is parallel if and only if

$$(3.6) \quad b_{i,k} = a_{ik} b_m s^m - s_i b_k,$$

where we use the comma to denote the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection, i.e.,

$$(3.7) \quad b_{i,k} = \partial b_i / \partial x^k - b_m \{i^m\}_k.$$

We shall call a covariant vector field $b_i(x)$ satisfying (3.6) for some $s_i(x)$ to be *semi-parallel*. If a semi-parallel field b_i is given, the linear connection Γ defined by (3.5) is semi-symmetric and metrical, and b_i becomes parallel with respect to Γ . Thus we obtain

EXAMPLE 3. Let a Finsler space M be with an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha = (a_{ij} y^i y^j)^{1/2}$, $\beta = b_i y^i$. If the covariant vector field b_i is semi-parallel by satisfying (3.6) for some $s_i(x)$, a Wagner connection of M is introduced by

$$(3.8) \quad F_j^i = \{j^i\} + a_{jk} s^i - s_j \delta_k^i, \quad N^i_k = y^j F_j^i, \quad C_j^i_k = 1/2 g^{ih} \partial g_{jh} / \partial y^k,$$

and the space M becomes a Wagner space.

Putting $s_i = \lambda b_i$ for some scalar field $\lambda(x)$, (3.6) becomes

$$(3.9) \quad b_{i,k} = \lambda (a_{ik} b_m b^m - b_i b_k),$$

where $b^m = a^{im} b_i$, and we have an example of a semi-parallel field. In this case b_i has a constant length $b = (a_{ij} b^i b^j)^{1/2}$ and the unit vector field $u_i = b_i / b$ satisfies

$$(3.10) \quad u_{i,k} = \rho (a_{ik} - u_i u_k),$$

where $\rho = \lambda b$, and so such a field b_i is nothing but the *torse-forming* one due to K. Yano [17], which was also treated by C.M. Fulton [4] and M. Hashiguchi [5] to characterize Riemannian spaces of negative constant curvature. Thus we obtain

EXAMPLE 4. Let a Finsler space M be with an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha = (a_{ij} y^i y^j)^{1/2}$, $\beta = b_i y^i$. If the covariant vector field b_i is *torse-forming* by satisfying (3.9) for some $\lambda(x)$, a Wagner connection is introduced by

$$(3.11) \quad F_j^i = \{j^i\} + \lambda (a_{jk} b^i - b_j \delta_k^i), \quad N^i_k = y^j F_j^i, \quad C_j^i_k = 1/2 g^{ih} \partial g_{jh} / \partial y^k,$$

and the space M becomes a Wagner space.

3.5. The (α, β) -metrics offer good examples of a Berwald space, a generalized Berwald space and a Wagner space. It is a pair of a Riemannian metric and a covariant vector field, and so it might contribute to the unified treatments of a Riemannian metric α and a covariant vector field $b_i(x)$ satisfying some properties with respect to α . Therefore, we should discuss the converse problem, that is, for a Finsler space with a special (α, β) -metric, what properties the β enjoys with respect

to the α .

As to the Randers space with $L=\alpha+\beta$, it is known by M. Matsumoto [14] that the space is a Landsberg space if and only if b_i is parallel with respect to α , and such a space becomes a Berwald space. A *Landsberg space* [11] means here a Finsler space satisfying the condition $C_{ijk|l}y^l=0$ with respect to the Cartan connection, where $C_{ijk}=1/2\partial g_{ij}/\partial y^k$. Since a Berwald space is characterized by $C_{ijkl}=0$, a Landsberg space is also a generalization of a Berwald space. Thus, the converse of Example 2 holds in the case of the Randers space.

M. Hashiguchi, S. Hōjō and M. Matsumoto [7] gives the conditions that a two-dimensional Finsler space with an (α, β) -metric becomes a Landsberg space, and finds the concrete form of the fundamental function L for each of the Landsberg spaces with the Randers metric, the Kropina metric and the *generalized Kropina metric* $L=\alpha^{m+1}/\beta^m$ ($m \neq 0, -1$).

§4. Additional remarks.

4.1. Returning to general Finsler spaces, we shall give some remarks for the conditions found in [6] that a Finsler space becomes a generalized Berwald space. It has been a matter of regret that the conditions contain the very troublesome tensor field A_{ijkl} defined by

$$(4.1) \quad 2A_{ijkl} = (T_{ijk} - T_{jik} + T_{ikj})|_l + (T_{ijm} - T_{jim} + T_{jmi})C_k^m{}_l + \mathfrak{S}_{ij}\{(T_{kim} + T_{ikm} + T_{kmi})C_j^m{}_l\},$$

where $T_{ijk}=g_{jm}T_i^m{}_k$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{ij}\{\dots\}$ denotes, for instance, $\mathfrak{S}_{ij}\{A_{ij}\}=A_{ij}-A_{ji}$. This A_{ijkl} is, however, easily rewritten in the form

$$(4.2) \quad 2A_{ijkl} = g_{jm}(\partial T_i^m{}_k/\partial y^l) - g_{im}(\partial T_j^m{}_k/\partial y^l) - g_{km}(\partial T_j^m{}_i/\partial y^l),$$

from which we notice that $A_{ijkl}=0$ is equivalent to $\partial T_j^i{}_k/\partial y^l=0$, because $A_{ijkl}=0$ is represented by

$$(4.3) \quad g_{jm}(\partial T_i^m{}_k/\partial y^l) = g_{im}(\partial T_j^m{}_k/\partial y^l) + g_{km}(\partial T_j^m{}_i/\partial y^l),$$

whose left- and right-hand members are skew-symmetric and symmetric with respect to the indices i and k respectively. Thus, it is better to define the A_{ijkl} by (4.2) and to restate the first theorem in [6] as follows.

THEOREM 3. *In a generalized Cartan connection the coefficients $F_j^i{}_k$ depend on position alone if and only if it holds that*

$$(4.4) \quad C_{ijk}D^i{}_l = 0,$$

$$(4.5) \quad C_{ijk|l} = C_{ijm|_k}D^m{}_l,$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \partial T_j^i{}_k/\partial y^l = 0.$$

4.2. The condition (4.6) means that $T_j^i{}_k$ depend on position alone. From Theorem 3 we have

THEOREM 4. *A Finsler space is a generalized Berwald space if and only if it is possible to introduce a generalized Cartan connection with torsion $T_j^i{}_k(x)$ in such a way that it satisfies the conditions (4.4) and (4.5).*

Especially, a Finsler space is a generalized Berwald space if it is possible to introduce a generalized Cartan connection without deflection and with torsion $T_j^i(x)$ in such a way that it satisfies the condition

$$(4.7) \quad C_{ijkl} = 0.$$

If we consider a Wagner connection as a typical generalized Cartan connection, we have

THEOREM 5. *A Finsler space is a Wagner space if and only if it is possible to introduce a Wagner connection with respect to $s_i(x)$ in such a way that it satisfies the condition (4.7).*

Kagoshima University and Tokushima University

References

- [1] Berwald, L.: *Untersuchung der Krümmung allgemeiner metrischer Räume auf Grund des in ihnen herrschenden Parallelismus*, Math. Z. **25** (1926), 40–73.
- [2] Berwald, L.: *Über zweidimensionale allgemeine metrische Räume, I, II*, J. reine angew. Math. **156** (1927), 191–210, 211–222.
- [3] Cartan, E.: *Les espaces de Finsler*, Actualités **79**, Paris, 1934.
- [4] Fulton, C.M.: *Parallel vector fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 136–137.
- [5] Hashiguchi, M.: *Semi-symmetric connections and spaces of negative constant curvature*, Sci. Rep. Kagoshima Univ. **15** (1966), 7–11.
- [6] Hashiguchi, M.: *On Wagner's generalized Berwald space*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **12** (1975), 51–61.
- [7] Hashiguchi, M., S. Hōjō and M. Matsumoto: *On Landsberg spaces of two dimensions with (α, β) -metric*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **10** (1973), 17–26.
- [8] Ichijō, Y.: *Finsler manifolds modeled on a Minkowski space*, to appear in J. Math. Kyoto Univ.
- [9] Kropina, V.K.: *On projective Finsler spaces with a certain special form*, Naučn. Doklady vysš. Skoly, fiz.-mat. Nauki **1959** (1960), 38–42 (Russian).
- [10] Kropina, V.K.: *Projective two-dimensional Finsler spaces with special metric*, Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal. **11** (1961), 277–292 (Russian).
- [11] Landsberg, G.: *Über die Krümmung in der Variationsrechnung*, Math. Ann. **65** (1908), 313–349.
- [12] Matsumoto, M.: *The theory of Finsler connections*, Publ. of the Study Group of Geometry, vol. **5**, 1970.
- [13] Matsumoto, M.: *On C-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensor, N.S. **24** (1972), 29–37.
- [14] Matsumoto, M.: *On Finsler spaces with Randers' metric and special forms of important tensors*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 477–498.
- [15] Randers, G.: *On an asymmetrical metric in the four-space of general relativity*, Phys. Rev. (2) **59** (1941), 195–199.
- [16] Wagner, V.: *On generalized Berwald spaces*, C.R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.) **39** (1943), 3–5.
- [17] Yano, K.: *On the torse-forming directions in riemannian spaces*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 340–345.