7

## ON LEBESQUE'S BOUNDED CONVERGENCE THEOREM

## By TAKUMA KINOSHITA Kagoshima University

§ 1. Introduction. One knows Lebesque's bounded convergence theorem ([1] 26.D). John W. Pratt has shown that a convergent sequence of integrable functions permits exchange of lim and  $\int$  if it is bracketed by two sequences which permit this exchange [2]. In this paper we treat these theorems. It is assumed throughout this note that the underlying space X is a measure space  $(X, S, \mu)$ .

## § 2. Theorems.

THEOREM 1. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of intergrable functions which converges in measure to f[or else converges to f a.e.], and if, g and h are integrable functions such that  $g(x) \leq f_n(x) \leq h(x)$  a.e.,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, then f$  is integrable and the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f in the mean.

COROLLARY. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of integrable functions which converges in measure to f [or else converges to f a.e.], and if g is an integrable function such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$  a.e.,  $n=1,2,\dots$ , then f is integrable and the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f in the mean. ([1] 26.D Lebesque's bounded convergence theorem).

To prove this theorem we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. The indefinite integral of an integrable function is absolutely continuous. ([1] 23.H)

LEMMA 2. A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of integrable functions converges in the mean to the integrable function f if and only if  $\{f_n\}$  converges in measure to f and the indefinite integrals of  $|f_n|$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$ , are uniformly absolutely continuous and equicontinuous from about at 0. ([1] 26.C)

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** (1) In the case of convergence in measure,

(a) it is assumed that  $\{f_n\}$  converges in measure to f,

(b) uniformly absolutely continuity,

by assumption, g and h are integrable, then |g| and |h| are integrable also. Therefore, from lemma 1, for every positive number  $\in$  there exist a positive number  $\delta$  such that

 $\int_{E} |g| d\mu < \in \text{ and } \int_{E} |h| d\mu < \in, \text{ for every measurable set } E \text{ for which } \mu(E) < \delta, \text{ then we have}$ 

$$\int_{E} |f_{n}| d\mu \leq \max \left( \int_{E} |g| d\mu, \int_{E} |h| d\mu \right) < \in$$
  
for every positive integer  $n$ ,

(c) equicontinuity,

if  $\{E_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence of measurable sets with an empty intersection, then there exists a positive integer  $m_0$  such that,  $m > m_0$ ,

Recieved Octoder 1, 1963.

$$|\int_{E_m} f_n d\mu| \leq \max \left( \int_{E_m} |g| d\mu, \int_{E_m} |h| d\mu \right) \ll (n=1,2,\dots),$$

the desired result follows from lemma 2.

(2) The case of convergence a.e.,

since  $|f_n-f| \ll a.e.$ ,

 $|f_{\pi}| \leq \max (|g|, |h|) \text{ and } |f| \leq \max (|g|, |h|) \text{ a.e.},$ 

hence, if we assume, as we may without any loss of generality, that

 $|f_n(x)| \leq \max \{|g(x)|, |h(x)|\}$  and  $|f(x)| \leq \max \{|g(x)|, |h(x)|\}$  for every x in X, then we have, for every fixed positive number  $\in$ ,

$$E_n = \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x: |f_i(x) - f(x)| \ge \in\} \subset \{x: \max(|g|, |h|) \ge \frac{1}{2} \in\},\$$

(because  $|f_i(x) - f(x)| \leq |f_i(x)| + |f(x)| \leq 2 \max (|g|, |h|)),$ 

and therefore  $\mu(E_n) < \infty, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since the assumption of convergence a.e. implies that

 $\mu$   $(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = 0$ , it follows that

 $\limsup_{n} \mu(\{x: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \in) \le \lim_{n} \mu(E_n) = \mu(\lim_{n} E_n) = 0,$ hence  $\mu(\{x: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \in\}) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty),$ 

the desired result follows from (1).

PROOF OF COROLLARY.

Applying Theorem 1 to |g(x)| in place of max (|g(x)|, |h(x)|), the desired result follows.

THEOREM 2. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of integrable functions which converges in measure to f [or also converges to f a.e.] and if,  $\{g_n\}$  and  $\{h_n\}$  are mean fundamental sequence of integrable functions such that

 $g_n(x) \leq f_n(x) \leq h_n(x)$  a.e.  $n=1,2,\dots,$  then f is integrable and the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f in the mean.

To prove this theorem we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a mean fundamental sequence of integrable functions, then there exists an integrable function f such that  $\rho(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$  (and consequently  $\int f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ ) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . ([1] 26.B)

LEMMA 2. A mean fundamental sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of integrable functions is fundamental in measure. ([1] 24.A)

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By lemma 1 and lemma 2, for  $\in > 0$ , there exists an integer N such that n > N implies  $|f_n - f| < \in$ , that is  $f - \in < f_n < f + \in (n = N + 1, N + 2, \dots)$ , if we write  $g = \min(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N, f - \in, f + \in)$ 

and  $h = \max (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N, f - \in, f + \in),$ 

it is clear that g and h are integrable.

Hence the desired result follows from Theorem 1.1

Moreover, if we use the following lemma, we see that this Theorem 2 is equal to Pratt's Theorem. Namely, Theorem 2 is another form of Pratt's Theorem and the proof of Theorem 2 is another proof of Pratt's Theorem.

LEMMA. A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of integrable functions converges to f in the mean if and only

if  $\int_{A} f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_{A} f d\mu$  uniformly for  $A \in \mathbf{S}$ . ([3] Appendix).

## REFERENCES

[1] Paul R. Halmds, Measure Theory, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.

[2] John W. Pratt, "On interchanging limit and integrals,"

Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 31, No.1(1960), 74-77.

[3] Lehmann, E.L. (1959) Testing Statistical Hypotheses. Wiley, New York.