

QUASI POLYTOPES AND FINITE TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

著者	SHIRAKI Mitsunobu
journal or publication title	鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学
volume	9
page range	7-13
別言語のタイトル	準ポリトープと有限位相空間
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00012460

QUASI POLYTOPES AND FINITE TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

By

Mitsunobu SHIRAKI*
(Received Sep. 28, 1976)

§ 1. Introduction

We have investigated finite topological spaces and those simplicial structures in [1] and [2]. In [2] we have adopted the concept, called eigen values, which was important for the characterization of finite T_0 -spaces. Moreover, in [1] we have showed that there is an equivalent correspondence that associates with each finite T_0 -space a space called a partially polytopes.

In this note we shall consider applications of the conception. We shall state that for a space called a quasi polytope the eigen values also may be defined, and we shall consider to extend each quasi polytope to a partially polytope included it.

§ 2. Quasi polytopes.

In an Euclidean space E^N , a set of properly joined open simplexes is said to be a *quasi simplicial complex* here (see [1]).

The geometric carrier of a star-finite quasi simplicial complex K is called a *quasi polytope* and is denoted by the symbol $|K|$. But, in this note quasi complexes and quasi polytopes shall always be assumed to be finite quasi complexes and finite quase polytopes, respectively.

A topological space X that is homeomorphic to a quasi polytope $|K|$ is called a *triangulated space* and the quasi complex K is a *triangulation* of the space X . Next, let K be a quasi simplicial complex, then a set

$$CU(K) = \{s | \exists \sigma \in K : s < \sigma\}$$

is a simplicial complex, which is said to be *induced* by K .

DEFINITION 1. Let $f: K \rightarrow L$ be a mapping of a quasi simplicial complex K to a quasi simplicial complex L . Then f is *simplicial* if there is simplicial mapping $f_0: CU(K) \rightarrow CU(L)$ such that $f = f_0|K$.

DEFINITION 2. Two quasi simplicial complexes K and L are said to be *isomorphic* each other if there is a bijective simplicial mapping φ of one onto the other such that the inverse mapping φ^{-1} also is simplicial, and in such a case we denote by $K \approx L$.

* Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University Kagoshima, Japan.

Evidently we have

$$K \approx L \Rightarrow |K| \simeq |L| .$$

A triangulation K of a quasi polytope X is said to be *minimal* if the cardinality of K is minimum in the cardinalities of all triangulations of X .

From now on, we shall consider only quasi polytopes satisfying the following property: *if K and L are two minimal triangulations of such a quasi polytope X , then $K \approx L$.*

Now, let X be a quasi polytope, K be a minimal triangulation of X , and K^0 be the set of vertices belonging to $Cl(K)$. Set

$$K^0 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} ,$$

and

$$K = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l\} ,$$

and for K we define a (k, l) matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= 1 \quad \text{if } v_i < \sigma_j, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Such a matrix is said to be a *p-matrix* of K .

DEFINITION 3. Let X be a quasi polytope, K be a minimal triangulation of X , and A be a *p-matrix* of K . Then the characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ of AA' , that is,

$$f(x) = |xE - AA'|$$

is the polynomial of the space X . And the eigen values of AA' is said to be the *eigen values of the space X* .

In a matrix $AA' = [c_{ij}]$, c_{ij} is the number of open simplexes belonging to K which have vertices v_i and v_j as their faces. Because of

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^k a_{ir} a_{jr} ,$$

and

$$a_{ir} a_{jr} = 1 \Leftrightarrow (a_{ir} = 1 \text{ and } a_{jr} = 1) \Leftrightarrow (v_i < \sigma_r \text{ and } v_j < \sigma_r) .$$

The following theorem results easily from the matrix theory.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a quasi polytope and K be a minimal triangulation of X . Then the eigen values of X have the following properties:*

- (1) *the number of the eigen values is equal to the number of vertices of open simplexes belonging to K ;*
- (2) *each eigen value is a non-negative real number;*
- (3) *if there are rational roots, they are non-negative integers.*

PROOF. For (1), let A be a *p-matrix* of K , and suppose that the number of open simplexes belonging to K is n . Then AA' is a (n, n) square matrix. whence (1) implies.

For (2), AA' is a non-negative Hermitian matrix. Hence each eigen value is a

non-negative real number.

For (3), the polynomial of X is

$$f(x) = |xE - AA'| = x^n - \dots + (-1)^n |AA'|$$

and if $f(x)=0$ has rational roots, then they are non-negative integers, since $|AA'|$ is a non-negative integer.

Here, we shall show the eigen values or the characteristic polynomials of simple spaces.

(1) The eigen values of the n -closed ball are $n+1$ integers:

$$2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-1}, (n+2)2^{n-1}.$$

In fact, a minimal triangulation of the n -closed ball is the closure K_1 of a n -simplex. Let A_1 be a p -matrix of K_1 , and set $A_1 A'_1 = [c_{ij}]$. Each c_{ij} is the number of open simplex belongiog to K , then

$$c_{ii} = {}_n C_0 + {}_n C_1 + \dots + {}_n C_n = 2^n,$$

and for $i \neq j$

$$c_{ij} = {}_{(n-1)} C_0 + {}_{(n-1)} C_1 + \dots + {}_{(n-1)} C_{(n-1)} = 2^{n-1},$$

where ${}_m C_r$ is the total number of combinations of m elements taken r elements at a time. Hence

$$A_1 A'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2^n & & & \\ & 2^n & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 2^n \\ & & & & 2^{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

that is, the diagonal elements are all 2^n , and the other elements are all 2^{n-1} . So that the eigen values of the space are

$$2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-1}, (n+2)2^{n-1}.$$

(2) The eigen values of n -open ball are $n+1$ integers:

$$0, 0, \dots, n+1.$$

In fact, a minimal triangulation of a n -open ball is a quasi simplicial complex K_2 consisting of one n -open simplex. Let A_2 be a p -matrix, then elements of $A_2 A'_2$ are all 1. Hence the eigen values are $n+1$ elements, $0, 0, \dots, 0, n+1$.

(3) The eigen values of the $(n-1)$ -sphere are $n+1$ integers which are the difference between (1) and (2).

$$2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-1}, (n+2)2^{n-1} - (n+1).$$

In fact, a minimal triangulation of a $(n-1)$ -sphere is clearly $K_3 = K_1 - K_2$. Now, let A_3 be a p -matrix of K_3 and setting $A_3 A'_3 = [c_{ij}]$,

$$c_{ij} = 2^n - 1,$$

$$c_{ij} = 2^{n-1} - 1 \quad (\text{for } i \neq j),$$

so that $A_3A'_3=A_1A'_1-A_2A'_2$. Hence, the eigen values are $n+1$ elements, $2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-1}, (n+2)2^{n-1}-(n+1)$.

(4) The eigen values of the Torus are 7 elements,

$$10, 10, \dots, 10, 31.$$

(5) The eigen values of the Möbius band are 6 elements,

$$5, 5, \dots, 5, 23.$$

(6) The eigen values of the projective plane are 6 elements,

$$8, 8, \dots, 8, 26.$$

§ 3. Regularization of quasi polytopes.

A finite quasi complex K is said to be a n -partially simplicial complex if K satisfies the following conditions:

(1) if $\sigma, \tau \in K$, $\dim(\sigma \cap \tau) = k$, then a set $\{\eta \in K \mid \eta \subset \sigma \cap \tau\}$ has $k+1$ elements,

(2) let K^0 be the set of vertices of open simplexes belonging to K , then K^0 has n elements.

The geometric carrier of a partially simplicial complex is called a *partially polytope*. There exists an equivalent correspondence that assigns to each partially polytope a finite T_0 -space.

Here, for each quasi polytope we shall consider a minimal dimensional partially polytope which will be called a regularization.

Now, let X be a quasi polytope, K be a minimal triangulation of X , and K^0 be a set of vertices belonging to $Cl(K)$. Set

$$K = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l\},$$

and

$$K^0 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}.$$

For $v_p \in K^0$, let

$$V_p = \{\sigma_i \in K \mid \sigma_i \supset v_p\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and put

$$T_1 = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}.$$

Then we define an ordering on T_1 as follows: $V_i < V_j$ if and only if (1) or (2) implies,

(1) $V_i \neq V_j$ and $V_i \subset V_j$; (3.2)

(2) $V_i = V_j$ and $i < j$.

Next, for $\sigma_j \in K$ let

$$W_j = \cap \{V_r \in T_1 \mid V_r \ni \sigma_j\}, \quad (3.3)$$

where, if there is more than two elements of (3.1) which are equal to W_j , then W_j is defined to be the smallest element of them with respect to the ordering (3.2). Here, putting

$$T = T_1 \cup \{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_l\},$$

we define an ordering on T as follows: for $U_1, U_2 \in T$ such that $U_1 \neq U_2$, $U_1 < U_2$ if and only if (1) or (2) or (3) below, implies

- (1) $U_1, U_2 \in T_1$ and $U_1 < U_2$ with respected to (3.2);
- (2) $U_1, U_2 \notin T_1$ and $U_1 \subset U_2$;
- (3) one is in T_1 , say $U_1 \in T_1$ and the other is not, say $U_2 \notin T_1$, and $U_1 \subset U_2$ under the comments of (3.3).

Then (T, \leq) is a partially ordered set, so that a finite T_0 -space is defined on X . If $L(T)$ is the simplicial presentation of T (see [1]), then $\tilde{X} = |L(T)|$ is said to be a *regularization* of X .

Moreover, if to each $U_p \in T$ we assign an simplex

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \langle \{U \in T \mid U > U_p\} \rangle$$

and consider the collection \tilde{K} of such simplexes, then we have

$$\tilde{K} \approx L(T)$$

(see [1]). Especially for $\sigma_i \in K$ let

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i = \langle \{U \in T \mid U > W_i\} \rangle.$$

Given any quasi simplicial complex M , we may define an ordering \triangleleft on M by

$$\sigma \triangleleft \tau \Leftrightarrow \sigma > \tau,$$

then (M, \triangleleft) is a partially ordered set. So the finite T_0 -space is defined on M and is denoted by $F(M)$. Then we define $\phi: |M| \rightarrow F(M)$ as follows: if $x \in |M|$, then there is a unique simplex $\sigma \in M$ such that $x \in \sigma$. So put

$$\phi(x) = \sigma.$$

Then ϕ is a weak homotopy equivalence (see [1]).

Now a mapping $f: Cl(K) \rightarrow Cl(\tilde{K})$ is defined as follows: if $\tau \in Cl(K)$, $\tau = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m \rangle$, then let $f(\tau)$ be an open simplex constructed by vertices

$$\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\} \cup \{U \in T \mid \exists \sigma \in K: \sigma < \tau, U < \tilde{\sigma}\}.$$

Then we have

- (a) $f(v_i) = V_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$);
- (b) $f(\sigma_j) = \tilde{\sigma}_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$);
- (c) f is injective;
- (d) $\sigma < \tau \Leftrightarrow f(\sigma) < f(\tau)$.

In fact, (a) and (b) follow immediately from the definition.

For (c), if $\sigma, \tau \in Cl(K)$, $\sigma \neq \tau$, then we may assume that there is $v_i \in K^0$ such that $v_i < \tau$ and $v_i \not< \sigma$. Clearly $U_i < f(\sigma)$, and while, if $\rho \in K$, $\rho < \sigma$, then $v_i \not< \rho$, so that $U_i \not< \tilde{\rho}$ by the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ ($\rho \in K$). Hence $U_i \not< f(\sigma)$, thus $f(\tau) \neq f(\sigma)$.

(3.4)

For (d), $\sigma < \tau \Rightarrow f(\sigma) < f(\tau)$ follows from the definition of f . The converse follows from the proof of (c).

Next, define $h: K \rightarrow \bar{K}$ by $h = f|k$, then $\sigma < \tau \Rightarrow h(\sigma) < h(\tau)$, hence h is a quasi simplicial mapping.

Let $Cl(K_1)$ and $Cl(\bar{K}_1)$ be first subdivisions of $Cl(K)$ and $Cl(\bar{K})$, respectively. And $f_1: Cl(K_1) \rightarrow Cl(\bar{K}_1)$ is defined as follows: for $\langle b(\tau_1)b(\tau_2)\cdots b(\tau_m) \rangle \in Cl(K_1)$ (where $b(\tau_j)$ is the barycenter of τ_j), let

$$f(\langle b(\tau_1)b(\tau_2)\cdots b(\tau_m) \rangle) = \langle b(\tau_1)b(\tau_2)\cdots b(\tau_m) \rangle$$

where $\tau_i = f(\tau_i)$. Then from (3.4) f_1 is bijective and f_1, f_1^{-1} are simplicial, so that

$$Cl(K_1) \approx f_1(Cl(K)).$$

Next, the first barycentric subdivision M_1 of a quasi simplicial complex M is defined by

$$K_1 = \{ \langle b(\tau_1)\cdots b(\tau_j) \rangle \in Cl(K_1) \mid \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_j, \tau_j \in K \},$$

Now, define $h_1: K_1 \rightarrow Cl(K_1)$ by $h_1 = f_1|K_1$, then $h_1(K_1) \subset \bar{K}_1$. Because, if $\langle b(\tau_1)\cdots b(\tau_j) \rangle \in K_1$ then $\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_j$ and $\tau_j \in K$. So from (3.4), $\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_j$ and $\tau_j \in \bar{K}$, hence $\langle b(\tau_1)\cdots b(\tau_j) \rangle \in \bar{K}_1$ thus $h_1(K_1) \subset \bar{K}_1$. From (3.4)

$$K_1 \approx h_1(K_1).$$

Therefore $h_1: |K_1| \rightarrow |\bar{K}_1|$ is an embedding.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a quasi polytope, \bar{X} be a regularization of X , and K, \bar{K} be minimal triangulation X, \bar{X} , respectively, and let $h: K \rightarrow \bar{K}$ be a canonical quasi simplicial mapping defined by $h = f|k$. Then h induces the simplicial mapping $h_1: K_1 \rightarrow \bar{K}_1$ which satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $h_1: X = |K_1| \rightarrow \bar{X} = |\bar{K}_1|$ is an embedding;
- (2) $h: F(K) \rightarrow F(\bar{K})$ is an embedding such that $h(F(K))$ is dense in $F(\bar{K})$;
- (3) let $\phi: |K| \rightarrow F(K)$ and $\bar{\phi}: |\bar{K}| \rightarrow F(\bar{K})$ be two weak homotopy equivalence defined as the above, then $h \circ \phi = \bar{\phi} \circ h$.

PROOF. (1) has been already proved.

For (2), of $h: K \rightarrow \bar{K}$,

$$\sigma_i < \sigma_j \Leftrightarrow h(\sigma_i) < h(\sigma_j).$$

Hence, of $h: F(K) \rightarrow F(\bar{K})$.

$$\sigma_i \triangleright \sigma_j \Leftrightarrow h(\sigma_i) \triangleright h(\sigma_j).$$

Thus h is a homeomorphism of $F(K)$ to $f(F(K))$. On the other hand, using the previous symbols, if $\tau \in F(\bar{K}) - h(F(K))$, then from the definition of \bar{K} there is $V_i \in T_1$ such that $\tau = \langle \{U \in T \mid U > V_i\} \rangle$. Now, taking σ_j such that $\sigma_j \in V_i$,

$$\bar{\sigma}_j = \langle \{U \in T \mid U > W_j\} \rangle$$

where $W_j = \cap \{V \in T_1 \mid V > \sigma_j\}$. So $V_i \supset W_j$, that is $V_i > W_j$, hence, $\bar{\sigma}_j > \tau$, whence

$\tilde{\sigma}_j \triangleleft \tau$. So, in the space $F(\tilde{K})$ the minimal basic neighborhood of τ contains $\tilde{\sigma}_j$, thus $h(F(K))$ is a dense subspace in $F(\tilde{K})$.

For (3), if $x \in |K| = |K_1|$, there is a unique simplex $\sigma_i \in K$ containing x . Then

$$h(\phi(x)) = h(\sigma_i) = \tilde{\sigma}_i.$$

While, there is a unique simplex $\langle b(\sigma_1) \cdots b(\sigma_i) \rangle \in K_1$ containing x . Then

$$h(x) \in \langle b(\tilde{\sigma}_1) \cdots b(\tilde{\sigma}_i) \rangle \subset |h(K_1)| \subset |\tilde{K}_1|.$$

So that

$$\tilde{\phi}(h(x)) = \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\sigma}_i) = \tilde{\sigma}_i.$$

Therefore

$$h \circ \phi = \tilde{\phi} \circ h_1.$$

References

- [1] M. SHIRAKI: Finite T_0 -spaces and simplicial structures. This Journal, Vol. 2, (1969), 17-28.
- [2] M. Shiraki: On finite topological spaces II. This Journal, Vol. 2, (1969), 1-15.