REGIONAL FEATURES IN THE UPPER MANTLE, BASED ON THE RELATION BETWEEN APPARENT POISSON'S RATIO AND THE MECHANICAL STRUCTURE

著者	KAKUTA Toshiki, Institute of Earth Sciences
	Faculty of Science
journal or	鹿児島大学理学部紀要・地学・生物学
publication title	
volume	2
page range	103-125
別言語のタイトル	みかけポアソン比と力学的構造の関係にもとづく上
	部マントルの地域的特徴
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00001691

REGIONAL FEATURES IN THE UPPER MANTLE, BASED ON THE RELATION BETWEEN APPARENT POISSON'S RATIO AND THE MECHANICAL STRUCTURE

By

Toshiki KAKUTA

Institute of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University

Abstract

It is generally believed that the upper mantle and the crust are heterogeneous and laterally anisotropic. What pattern the heterogeneity or anisotropy in the upper mantle or the crust shows has never been established though many studies have been made.

Spatial distributions of apparent Poisson's ratios near and in Japan are showing rather systematic patterns, which are in good agreement with the patterns of the mechanical structures of the crust obtained by Mogi (1963 b). This is reasonably expected because apparent Poisson's ratio may also be concerned with the state of media.

Although the data are not enough, it can be provisionally said that apparent Poisson's ratio decreases with the increasing of the degree of fracturing. This relation seems to be interpreted physically. So-called Fuji and Kirishima volcanic zones, where anomalously high Poisson's ratios have frequently been stated in connection with the existence of magma chamber, have not relatively high apparent Poisson's ratios. This may show that effects of fracturing on seismic waves are more predominant than those of the existence of magma chamber in these zones.

1. Introduction

It is known that the formula

$$t_s - t_p = \alpha(t_p - t_0)$$

can approximate the observed relation between the arrival time of P wave, t_p , and the one of S wave, t_s , in an arbitrary range of epicentral distance, where t_0 is the time at which P and S waves have been emitted simultaneously at the focus. α is a constant and can be related to the ratio between apparent velocities of P wave, \overline{v}_p , and S wave, \overline{v}_s , in the relation $\overline{v}_p/\overline{v}_s=1+\alpha$. Hence, apparent Poisson's ratio can be defined as

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 - 2}{2(1+\alpha)^2 - 2}$$
(2)

103

(1)

T. KAKUTA

for the media through which seismic waves have passed.

Strictly speaking, the formula (1) holds only when the media have the same value of Poisson's ratio, while it may be a reasonable expectation that Poisson's ratio varies with the locality and depth in the earth. In order to deal with Poisson's ratio, it may be necessary to determine the velocity distributions of P and S waves, but it is very laborious to do this iteslf. Apparent Poisson's ratio obtained from observations is thought to be concerned with Poisson's ratio and to express conditions in media in spite of containing the effects of the difference in wave path between P and S waves. In contrast to determination of velocity distributions, it is a far simpler work to deal with apparent Poisson's ratio, of which accuracy depends only on the one of phase determination.

Yoshiyama (1957) has determined apparent velocity ratios, \bar{v}_p/\bar{v}_s , for the earthquakes occurred in Kinki and Shikoku districts, using the data from the network of the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA). He has said that Poisson's ratio in the crust in the districts is nearly equal to that in America in spite of different wave velocities and inferred from the increasings of apparent velocity ratios for deep earthquakes that Poisson's ratio in the mantle must be higher than that in the crust.

Kamitsuki (1959) and Nishimura *et al.* (1960) have found that crustal Poisson's ratio in the Kyushu district is anomalously high and thought that this may be due to the existence of magma chamber in the crust. They have also used the data from a broad network.

Watanabe and Kuroiso (1967) have compiled the data obtained from the cooperative observations by the Research Group for Ultramicroearthquakes, of which stations spread over the Wakayama district. They have obtained the mean value of \bar{v}_p/\bar{v}_s , 1.716±0.021, for 268 earthquakes. The values of \bar{v}_p/\bar{v}_s , however, extend over a fairly broad range. And they thought this is not due to observational errors. The network of the Research Group is considerably smaller than that of JMA. Hence, the data from the former network tend to be strongly influenced by local features and concern mainly the crust, while the data from the latter have connection with features of a rather wide scale and, mainly, of the upper mantle.

Kakuta (1968 b) has calculated apparent Poisson's ratios for 50 earthquakes occurred in southwestern Japan. He has recognized the differences in apparent Poisson's ratio among three regions, that is, the region including the Kinki district and the eastern part of Shikoku, the region corresponding to the so-called "Kirishima volcanic zone" and the region of Hyuga-nada. He has thought that these differences must show the regional differences in the upper mantle among these three regions.

This paper relates the regional features in the upper mantle and the crust, mainly based on spatial distributions of apparent Poisson's ratios and the rela-

tion of Poisson's ratio to the mechanical structure of media.

Heterogeneity or anisotropy in the upper mantle or the crust is generally believed. However, what kind of heterogeneity or anisotropy exists is not necessarily sure because of lack of data. Apparent Poisson's ratio is obtained with a rather equal accuracy for a comparatively broad area and adequate to compare regional features.

Though it is difficult to determine exactly how observed apparent Poisson's ratio reflects Poisson's ratio of the media, the former may be closely concerned with the latter. On the other hand, Poisson's ratio is largely influenced by the mechanical state of media, and, therefore, distributions of apparent Poisson's ratios can be compared with those of the mechanical structures, such as that obtained by Mogi (1963 b).

The mechanical structure in the upper mantle or the crust has an important meaning for the earthquake prediction because it is closely connected with the pattern of earthquake occurrence. Hence, if the relation between apparent Poisson's ratio and the mechanical structure can be established, the study on the distribution of apparent Poisson's ratio must also be useful for the earthquake prediction.

2. Spatial distributions of apparent Poisson's ratios

The earthquakes, of which focal depths are shallower than 160 km and which are observed at numerous observatories located within 1000 km of their epicenters, are selected from the Seismological Bulletin published by JMA, because apparent Poisson's ratio is utilized as an information on the upper mantle and the crust. Earthquakes occurred in Japan and adjacent area from 1961 through 1967 are used.

A linear relation of (1) for each earthquake is assumed and the method of least squares is carried on to determine coefficient α , from which apparent Poisson's ratio $\overline{\sigma}$ is calculated by using (2). Velocity ratios with their probable errors and apparent Poisson's ratios obtained in this way are tabulated in Table 1. Explanations on the regions in the table will be offered later.

Apparent Poisson's ratios in Table 1 are also shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3, classified into 8 groups according to their magnitude and plotted to their respective epicenters. For the earthquakes in Fig. 1 their focal depths are shown in Fig. 2. The earthquakes in Fig. 3 are almost the same used by Kakuta (1968 a). Values of apparent Poisson's ratio in these figures are medians for respective earthquakes and observational errors are not taken into considerations. However, it will be possible to diminish effects of errors by increasing the number of earthquakes.

In Fig. 4, apparent Poisson's ratio as a function of focal depth is shown for three regions in southwestern Japan. Strictly, it will be necessary to determine the velocity distributions of P and S waves in order to investigate its relation

Τ. ΚΑΚUTA

Table 1. Parameters of earthqua	kes, apparent velocity ratios wit	h their
probable errors, and appa	arent Poisson's ratios. N is the	total
number of earthquakes in	a region.	

Region I N=111

	Origin time (J. M. T.)	Epicenter	Depth	M	$ar{v}_{p}/ar{v}_{s}$	ō
1961	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm km}\\ {\rm 120}\\ {\rm 80}\\ {\rm 20}\\ {\rm 60}\\ {\rm 60}\\ {\rm 40}\\ {\rm 80}\\ {\rm 60}\\ {\rm 80}\\ {\rm 60}\\ {\rm 120}\\ {\rm 100}\\ {\rm 40}\\ {\rm 100}\\ {\rm 60}\\ {\rm 60}\\ {\rm 80}\\ {\rm 120} \end{array}$	(5.6)(6.3)(6.3)(6.3)(5.3)(6.0)(5.3)(6.6)(5.8)(6.4)(6.4)(5.6)(6.9)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 257\\ 0.\ 246\\ 0.\ 244\\ 0.\ 263\\ 0.\ 237\\ 0.\ 241\\ 0.\ 256\\ 0.\ 261\\ 0.\ 262\\ 0.\ 250\\ 0.\ 258\\ 0.\ 264\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 261\\ 0.\ 262\\ 0.\ 267\\ 0.\ 258\\ \end{array}$
1962	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} 60\\ 80\\ 0\\ 120\\ 60\\ 40\\ 100\\ 60\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0\\ (6.2)\\ 7.0\\ 6.5\\ (6.1)\\ 5.9\\ 5.6\\ (6.2)\\ 6.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1.750 & 0.006 \\ 1.744 & 0.005 \\ 1.771 & 0.004 \\ 1.772 & 0.004 \\ 1.679 & 0.010 \\ 1.753 & 0.004 \\ 1.700 & 0.010 \\ 1.742 & 0.005 \\ 1.782 & 0.005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 257\\ 0.\ 255\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 225\\ 0.\ 259\\ 0.\ 235\\ 0.\ 254\\ 0.\ 270\\ \end{array}$
1963	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{c} 100\\ 120\\ 60\\ 0\\ 40\\ 0\\ 40\\ 60\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	6.0 5.3 6.9 5.9 6.2 5.8	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1.766 & 0.008 \\ 1.784 & 0.005 \\ 1.733 & 0.006 \\ 1.760 & 0.005 \\ 1.733 & 0.010 \\ 1.747 & 0.006 \\ 1.747 & 0.006 \\ 1.768 & 0.006 \\ 1.712 & 0.007 \\ 1.764 & 0.007 \\ 1.713 & 0.023 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 264\\ 0.\ 271\\ 0.\ 250\\ 0.\ 261\\ 0.\ 256\\ 0.\ 265\\ 0.\ 265\\ 0.\ 241\\ 0.\ 263\\ 0.\ 241\\ \end{array}$
1964	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 120\\ 40\\ 40\\ 60\\ 20\\ 160\\ 20\\ 0\\ 40\\ 60\\ 0\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 80\\ 60\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 8$	5.3 6.1 5.7 5.5 5.0 6.0 6.1 5.0 5.6 5.7 6.7 5.0 6.0 6.0 5.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 255\\ 0.\ 272\\ 0.\ 271\\ 0.\ 283\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 274\\ 0.\ 252\\ 0.\ 251\\ 0.\ 280\\ 0.\ 231\\ 0.\ 256\\ 0.\ 261\\ 0.\ 251\\ 0.\ 265\\ 0.\ 265\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 278\\ 0.\ 263\\ 0.\ 264\\ 0.\ 264\\ \end{array}$

	IX 29 X 5 X 16 XI 3 XI 6 XI 13 XI 28 XII 17 XII 29	$1 \\ 12 \\ 17 \\ 11 \\ 18 \\ 4 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 12$	25 35 18 53 57 50 33 30	$53.6 \\ 8.2 \\ 23.8 \\ 39.3 \\ 22.9 \\ 34.8 \\ 59.3 \\ 21.1 \\ 42.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 141 \ 27 \\ 143 \ 12 \\ 149 \ 58 \\ 140 \ 37 \\ 148 \ 57 \\ 142 \ 43 \\ 141 \ 21 \\ 142 \ 12 \\ 144 \ 01 \end{array}$	34 10 42 02 43 23 34 48 43 45 41 59 35 23 35 28 37 58	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 40 \\ 60 \\ 100 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 40 \\ 60 \\ 80 \end{array}$	5.0 5.3 6.1 5.7 5.1 4.9	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1.696 & 0.011 \\ 1.728 & 0.005 \\ 1.747 & 0.007 \\ 1.758 & 0.005 \\ 1.728 & 0.008 \\ 1.728 & 0.006 \\ 1.742 & 0.006 \\ 1.726 & 0.020 \\ 1.774 & 0.007 \\ 1.741 & 0.009 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.233\\ 0.248\\ 0.256\\ 0.260\\ 0.248\\ 0.254\\ 0.254\\ 0.267\\ 0.254\\ \end{array}$
1965	I 13 I 24 II 2 II 16 III 17 III 29 III 31 IV 5 VI 11 IX 13 IX 1 IX 18 IX 25 IX 25 IX 25 IX 25 X 9 X 26 XII 27	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 21 \\ 19 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 23 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 22 \\ 7 \\ 13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 51\\ 24\\ 46\\ 75\\ 23\\ 6\\ 52\\ 33\\ 6\\ 52\\ 33\\ 42\\ 53\\ 34\\ 7\end{array}$	$53.5 \\ 11.8 \\ 37.7 \\ 6.9 \\ 13.7 \\ 35.7 \\ 32.7 \\ 16.5 \\ 44.2 \\ 10.2 \\ 51.1 \\ 34.1 \\ 10.2 \\ 23.1 \\ 31.4 \\ 39.2 \\ 24.7 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.5 \\ 10.2 \\ 24.7 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.5 \\ 18.5 \\ 10.2 \\ 24.7 \\ 18.5 \\ 10.2 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 141 \ 08 \\ 141 \ 04 \\ 142 \ 22 \\ 142 \ 11 \\ 143 \ 12 \\ 143 \ 09 \\ 143 \ 04 \\ 150 \ 24 \\ 148 \ 48 \\ 143 \ 48 \\ 141 \ 22 \\ 141 \ 31 \\ 143 \ 43 \\ 143 \ 43 \\ 143 \ 30 \\ 141 \ 26 \\ 145 \ 31 \\ 142 \ 33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38 & 43 \\ 36 & 35 \\ 37 & 38 \\ 38 & 52 \\ 40 & 42 \\ 40 & 39 \\ 40 & 41 \\ 43 & 45 \\ 43 & 39 \\ 31 & 35 \\ 37 & 17 \\ 36 & 14 \\ 39 & 30 \\ 39 & 33 \\ 34 & 21 \\ 43 & 44 \\ 36 & 12 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 60\\ 40\\ 40\\ 40\\ 40\\ 60\\ 0\\ 20\\ 60\\ 40\\ 40\\ 40\\ 40\\ 40\\ 160\\ 60\\ 60\\ \end{array}$	$5.2 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 5.7 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.7 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.7 \\ 6.0 \\ 5.7 \\ 6.5 \\ 5.6 \\ 5.5 \\ 5.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.248\\ 0.243\\ 0.275\\ 0.280\\ 0.296\\ 0.317\\ 0.268\\ 0.259\\ 0.266\\ 0.274\\ 0.223\\ 0.286\\ 0.278\\ 0.292\\ 0.260\\ 0.269\\ 0.269\\ 0.260\\ 0.247\\ \end{array}$
1966	II 18 III 14 IV 16 IV 22 VI 5 VIII 19 IX 18 XI 1 XI 12 XI 19 XII 27	$9 \\ 15 \\ 19 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 21 \\ 9 \\ 16 \\ 21 \\ 14 \\ 10$	27 38 45 48 46 35 1 49 19 22	$51.8 \\ 3.8 \\ 20.3 \\ 18.3 \\ 29.8 \\ 20.9 \\ 19.4 \\ 1.0 \\ 40.8 \\ 53.2 \\ 15.8 $	$\begin{array}{c} 140 \ 39 \\ 141 \ 05 \\ 142 \ 04 \\ 142 \ 17 \\ 152 \ 01 \\ 141 \ 58 \\ 140 \ 46 \\ 143 \ 26 \\ 144 \ 26 \\ 141 \ 35 \\ 141 \ 12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36 & 27 \\ 36 & 36 \\ 34 & 48 \\ 35 & 30 \\ 44 & 57 \\ 36 & 17 \\ 37 & 05 \\ 43 & 06 \\ 41 & 37 \\ 37 & 27 \\ 37 & 04 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 120 \\ 40 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ \end{array} $	5.1 5.0 5.2 5.8 6.0 5.7 5.9 5.3 5.5	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.284\\ 0.234\\ 0.291\\ 0.304\\ 0.261\\ 0.273\\ 0.265\\ 0.271\\ 0.270\\ 0.279\\ 0.276\\ \end{array}$
1967	I 6 I 17 I 24 IV 30 V 18 VII 9 VIII 12 VIII 17 IX 15 IX 19 IX 19 XI 2 XI 4 XI 19	9 20 12 7 20 4 13 23 9 12 19 4 22 21	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\59\\22\\18\\30\\31\\28\\56\\17\\26\\6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3.5}\\ \textbf{30.4}\\ \textbf{38.3}\\ \textbf{7.8}\\ \textbf{26.2}\\ \textbf{19.1}\\ \textbf{40.2}\\ \textbf{54.8}\\ \textbf{36.0}\\ \textbf{54.0}\\ \textbf{54.0}\\ \textbf{54.0}\\ \textbf{54.0}\\ \textbf{54.0}\\ \textbf{54.0}\\ \textbf{59.2} \end{array}$	$143\ 29\\142\ 05\\142\ 08\\140\ 56\\145\ 12\\143\ 54\\142\ 03\\142\ 03\\142\ 43\\140\ 55\\141\ 53\\145\ 33\\141\ 34\\141\ 54\\141\ 13$	$\begin{array}{c} 41 \ 48 \\ 38 \ 15 \\ 41 \ 26 \\ 35 \ 50 \\ 41 \ 44 \\ 37 \ 43 \\ 38 \ 17 \\ 39 \ 17 \\ 35 \ 36 \\ 37 \ 19 \\ 42 \ 46 \\ 37 \ 07 \\ 37 \ 17 \\ 36 \ 26 \end{array}$	$50 \\ 30 \\ 50 \\ 30 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 70 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 90 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 5$	5.9 6.3 5.7 5.1 5.4 5.6 5.0 5.0 5.8 6.0	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1.728 & 0.009 \\ 1.814 & 0.006 \\ 1.802 & 0.005 \\ 1.771 & 0.010 \\ 1.773 & 0.009 \\ 1.743 & 0.012 \\ 1.761 & 0.007 \\ 1.773 & 0.007 \\ 1.840 & 0.014 \\ 1.744 & 0.012 \\ 1.822 & 0.005 \\ 1.807 & 0.011 \\ 1.820 & 0.007 \\ 1.812 & 0.006 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 248\\ 0.\ 281\\ 0.\ 277\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 256\\ 0.\ 254\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 290\\ 0.\ 255\\ 0.\ 284\\ 0.\ 279\\ 0.\ 283\\ 0.\ 281\\ \end{array}$

Region II N=21

	Origin time (J. M. T.)				Epicen	ter	Depth	M	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1963	II 21 V 25 VI 3	h. 11 11 16	m. 33 37 35	s. 34.5 37.3 50.2	139°38′E 138 44 138 46	33°21'N 33 48 34 03	km 160 40 40	5.1 5.9	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.752 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.701 & 0.012 \\ 1.754 & 0.010 \end{array}$	0.258 0.236 0.259
1964	IV 23 IX 20 XI 3 XII 9	$10 \\ 4 \\ 20 \\ 2 \\ 2$	51 7 9 49	8.4 29.3 32.6 40.0	138 27 138 37 138 48 139 18	32 14 33 34 34 38 34 35	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 40\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{array}$	$5.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.8 $	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.729 & 0.015 \\ 1.643 & 0.014 \\ 1.745 & 0.006 \\ 1.717 & 0.010 \end{array}$	0.248 0.206 0.255 0.243

108						Т. КАКU	JTA			
1965	I 6 I 27 IV 13 VIII 3	5 8 0 17	45 47 50 30	13.5 36.1 37.9 38.4	139 17 139 46 139 55 139 18	34 38 36 01 35 59 34 16	$20 \\ 80 \\ 40 \\ 0$	5.1 5.1 5.0	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.775 & 0.010 \\ 1.754 & 0.007 \\ 1.720 & 0.013 \\ 1.766 & 0.016 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 267 \\ 0.\ 259 \\ 0.\ 244 \\ 0.\ 264 \end{array}$
1966	V 15 V 15 VII 14 VIII 29 X 28	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 15 \\ 5 \\ 22 \end{array} $	59 3 18 3 20	47.7 53.1 40.7 30.7 28.1	$\begin{array}{c} 139 \ 00 \\ 139 \ 00 \\ 140 \ 23 \\ 139 \ 54 \\ 140 \ 13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34 & 05 \\ 34 & 04 \\ 35 & 13 \\ 35 & 25 \\ 35 & 45 \end{array}$	20 20 40 80 60	5.4 5.5 5.1 5.0	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1.751 & 0.008 \\ 1.712 & 0.010 \\ 1.771 & 0.009 \\ 1.738 & 0.012 \\ 1.701 & 0.007 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 258\\ 0.\ 241\\ 0.\ 266\\ 0.\ 252\\ 0.\ 236 \end{array}$
1967	III 19 III 21 IV 6 IV 6 IV 7	2 6 15 17 8	49 54 17 49 28	48.3 49.0 26.9 36.2 49.9	140 04 139 50 139 09 139 10 139 09	$\begin{array}{c} 36 \ 16 \\ 36 \ 09 \\ 34 \ 13 \\ 34 \ 19 \\ 34 \ 15 \end{array}$		5.1 5.3 5.2 5.2	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1.780 & 0.007 \\ 1.756 & 0.017 \\ 1.809 & 0.010 \\ 1.786 & 0.007 \\ 1.809 & 0.010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 269 \\ 0.\ 260 \\ 0.\ 280 \\ 0.\ 271 \\ 0.\ 280 \end{array}$

Region III N=16

	Origin ti	Origin time (J. M. T.)				nter	Depth	M	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1961	V 7 IX 1 X 15	h. 21 17 0	m. 19 20 8	s. 58.5 53.5 8.8	134°30 'E 135 01 134 29	35°02 'N 53 51 35 02	km 20 0 40	5.2 4.5 4.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1.711 \pm 0.016 \\ 1.716 & 0.020 \\ 1.720 & 0.007 \end{array}$	$0.240 \\ 0.242 \\ 0.245$
1962	XII 7	9	5	12.1	135 21	33 3 9	20	4.7	1.721 0.016	0.245
1963	I 9 VII 30	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 17 \end{array}$	$\frac{14}{27}$	17.6 50.7	$\begin{array}{c} 134 \ 43 \\ 134 \ 59 \end{array}$	$33 \ 34 \\ 33 \ 50$	20 20	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1.733 & 0.020 \\ 2.117 & 0.020 \end{array}$	$0.250 \\ 0.356$
1964	II 4 IV 19 VIII 2	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ 4\\ 20\end{array}$	4 48 20	$6.9 \\ 16.2 \\ 44.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 136 \ 34 \\ 134 \ 40 \\ 135 \ 13 \end{array}$	34 10 34 22 33 54	60 20 0	$5.3 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.4$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.724 & 0.008 \\ 1.690 & 0.015 \\ 1.670 & 0.008 \end{array}$	0.246 0.230 0.220
1965	I 21 VII 20	11 13	$41 \\ 4$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4 \\ 4.7 \end{array}$	136 19 135 07	$\begin{array}{c} 33 \ 44 \\ 34 \ 38 \end{array}$	20 20	5.0 4.5	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.715 & 0.009 \\ 1.674 & 0.013 \end{array}$	$0.242 \\ 0.222$
1966	I 11 I 11 III 10 VI 29 X 4	23 23 12 21 0	6 16 48 21 35	$15.0 \\ 27.4 \\ 40.8 \\ 56.8 \\ 51.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 137 \ 14 \\ 137 \ 17 \\ 135 \ 44 \\ 135 \ 24 \\ 134 \ 03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33 \ 44 \\ 33 \ 36 \\ 35 \ 10 \\ 34 \ 47 \\ 33 \ 56 \end{array}$	20 20 20 0 0	$5.4 \\ 5.9 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.6$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1.666 & 0.009 \\ 1.730 & 0.009 \\ 1.732 & 0.027 \\ 1.730 & 0.015 \\ 1.680 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.218 \\ 0.249 \\ 0.250 \\ 0.249 \\ 0.225 \end{array}$

Region IV N=3

	Origin time (J. M. T.)					Epicer	nter	Depth	М	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}$	Ūs	σ
1964	XI	9	h. 2	m. 56	s. 25. 9	133°22 ′E	34°0 7′N	km 20	5.0	1.834 <u>+</u>	0.026	0.288
1967	I I I	1 11	$\frac{3}{2}$	3 49	$10.4 \\ 32.1$	$\begin{array}{c}133 \ 19\\133 \ 48\end{array}$	33 30 33 52	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7\\ 4.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.904 \\ 1.767 \end{array}$	0.027 0.019	$0.309 \\ 0.264$

Region V N=7

	Origin ti	me	(J. 1	Л. Т.)	Epicen	ter	Depth	М	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1961	II 27 VIII 11 VIII 11 VIII 15 XI 27	h. 3 13 15 7 14	m. 10 27 8 4 57	s. 48.1 15.0 13.6 55.5 10.7	131°51′E 132 07 132 11 131 50 131 33	31°36'N 31 40 31 41 31 27 31 18	km 40 0 40 0 40	7.0 5.2 5.4 5.2 6.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.806 \pm 0.015 \\ 1.779 & 0.036 \\ 1.791 & 0.014 \\ 1.769 & 0.026 \\ 1.833 & 0.016 \end{array}$	0.279 0.269 0.273 0.265 0.288
1962	X 15	0	8	57. 2	131 46	31 41	0	5.4	1.814 0.038	0.281
1965	IX 22	21	49	41.7	131 58	31 52	0	5.2	1.772 0.008	0.266

```
Region VI N=3
```

	Origin time (J. M. T.)					Epicen	iter	Depth	M	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ	
1961	VII VII	19 20	h. 15 18	m. 33 2	s. 20.2 42.1	131°50 ′E 131 49	29°44'N 29 50	km 60 60		${}^{1.711\pm 0.017}_{1.708 \ 0.007}$	0.240 0.239	
1964	XII	24	4	47	5 9. 2	131 14	30 14	40	5.1	1.715 0.015	0.242	

Region VII N=11

	Origin ti	me ((J. M	1. T.)	Epicen	iter	Depth	М	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1961	III 16 III 18	h. 7 15	m. 16 22	s. 32.2 39.8	130°42 ′E 130 44	32°00'N 31 59	km 0 0	5.5	${\begin{array}{c}1.754 \pm 0.019\\1.755 & 0.013\end{array}}$	0.259 0.259
1962	IV 23 VI 28 VII 31 IX 25	4 13 14 11	15 13 9 37	32.0 53.7 14.9 13.8	$\begin{array}{c} 130 \ 54 \\ 131 \ 05 \\ 132 \ 25 \\ 131 \ 44 \end{array}$	32 10 31 15 32 31 33 54	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	5.0 5.4 4.9	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1.784 & 0.011 \\ 1.736 & 0.018 \\ 1.737 & 0.012 \\ 1.753 & 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.271 \\ 0.251 \\ 0.252 \\ 0.259 \end{array}$
1963	I 9	0	46	44.2	130 32	31 11	160		1.744 0.010	0.255
1964	IV 12	21	14	51.1	132 06	33 30	80		1.730 0.009	0.249
1966	VIII 6 XI 14 XII 5	11 12 16	33 56 23	$\begin{array}{c} 35.7\\ 6.4\\ 4.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 130 \ 16 \\ 132 \ 07 \\ 131 \ 49 \end{array}$	30 53 33 26 32 20	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 60 \\ 0 \end{array}$	5.8 5.0	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1.761 & 0.011 \\ 1.743 & 0.012 \\ 1.741 & 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.262 \\ 0.254 \\ 0.254 \end{array}$

Reigon VIII N=4

	Origin time (J. M. T.)					Epicer	nter	Depth	M	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	ō
1964	IV VI	29 24	h. 11 21	m. 11 56	s. 34.3 19.1	129°01 ′E 129 03	32°07'N 32 10	km 20 0	5.2	1.671 ± 0.008 2.056 0.086	0. 221 0. 345
1965	XII	8	14	25	1 2. 2	130 36	32 33	20	4.8	1.876 0.021	0.301
1966	XI	12	21	1	41.6	130 16	33 04	20	5.5	1.804 0.011	0.278

Region IX N=4

	Origin time (J. M. T.)					Epicenter		Depth	М	$\overline{v}_{p}/$	\overline{v}_s	σ
1963	III 8 IV	81 1	h. 21 0	m. 26 2	s. 5.3 17.9	132°24 ′E 132 26	35°08'N 35 06	k m 20 0	5.1 5.0	1.719 <u>+</u> 1.796	0.022 0.023	$0.244 \\ 0.275$
1965	II 2	26	15	42	53.4	$132\ 44$	35 16	20	5.1	1.688	0.011	0.230
1966	VIII	9	8	10	16.4	$132\ 42$	35 07	20	4.9	1.712	0.010	0.241

Region X N=19

	Origin time (J. M. T.)				Epicenter		Depth	М	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1961	VIII 19 VIII 19	h. 14 17	m. 33 7	s. 29.9 15.6	136°46′E 136 35	36°01'N 36 00	km 0 0	5.2	1.792 ± 0.004 1.741 0.015	$0.264 \\ 0.254$
1962	III 13	15	7	4 2. 4	139 06	37 03	0	5.1	1.892 0.010	0.306
1963	II 9 III 27 III 27 III 27	12 6 12	53 34 27	0.8 35.9 47.1	137 42 135 46 135 48	36 22 35 47 35 48	0 0 0	5.5 6.9 5.2	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.685 & 0.013 \\ 1.850 & 0.006 \\ 1.841 & 0.012 \end{array}$	0.228 0.293 0.290

т.	Kakuta
----	--------

	III 27 III 28 IV 21	15 1 22	$49 \\ 13 \\ 2$	21.6 14.0 37.4	135 47 135 47 137 38	35 4 7 35 44 35 15	20 0 20	5,3 5.2 4.9	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1.906 & 0.020 \\ 1.763 & 0.018 \\ 1.697 & 0.007 \end{array}$	0.310 0.263 0.234
1964	XI 12	22	57	54. 2	139 13	36 37	0	4.9	1.869 0.010	0.299
1965	III 6 IV 20	1 8	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 41 \end{array}$	47.7 58.4	135 57 138 18	$35 \ 30 \\ 34 \ 53$	0 20	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7\\ 6.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.748 & 0.018 \\ 1.816 & 0.014 \end{array}$	0.257 0.282
1966	I 9 V 26	7 7	39 49	$17.6 \\ 43.7$	138 33 136 30	37 09 35 21	0 20	5.2 5.1	$\begin{array}{rrr} 1.782 & 0.012 \\ 1.826 & 0.011 \end{array}$	0.270 0.286
1967	II 3 III 2 V 5 IX 14 X 14	$17 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 19 \\ 4$	17 39 25 38 48	1.5 53.9 33.4 32.3 45.2	138 04 138 18 138 03 138 09 138 12	36 26 36 30 36 24 36 26 36 32	0 0 10 10 10	5.4 5.1 5.2 5.1 5.3	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1.836 & 0.010 \\ 1.776 & 0.009 \\ 1.784 & 0.008 \\ 1.800 & 0.012 \\ 1.800 & 0.010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.289 \\ 0.267 \\ 0.271 \\ 0.277 \\ 0.277 \end{array}$

Region XI N=14

	Origin time (J. 1	М. Т.)	Epicenter		Depth	M	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1964	h. m. V 7 16 58 V 8 5 12 VI 16 13 1 VI 16 16 14 VI 18 0 10 VI 19 19 5 VII 12 10 45 XI 27 22 47 XII 11 0 11 XII 11 8 30	s. 7.7 43.2 39.9 57.1 43.5 32.4 31.3 24.1 39.4 3.0 45.1	139°00'E 139 05 139 11 139 19 139 13 139 29 139 08 139 19 138 17 138 56 138 48	40°20'N 40 27 38 21 38 22 38 40 38 45 38 06 38 31 38 02 40 25 40 30	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{km} \\ 0 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 40 \\ 4$	6.9 6.5 7.5 5.1 5.1 5.0 6.8 5.3 5.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.767 \pm 0.010 \\ 1.751 & 0.008 \\ 1.816 & 0.006 \\ 1.732 & 0.008 \\ 1.706 & 0.013 \\ 1.806 & 0.008 \\ 1.754 & 0.019 \\ 1.808 & 0.006 \\ 1.749 & 0.007 \\ 1.761 & 0.010 \\ 1.770 & 0.010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.264\\ 0.258\\ 0.282\\ 0.250\\ 0.238\\ 0.278\\ 0.259\\ 0.279\\ 0.257\\ 0.262\\ 0.265\\ \end{array}$
1966	I 20 10 44 XII 2 3 56 XI 4 23 30	$\begin{array}{c} 45.1 \\ 25.8 \\ 34.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 138 07 \\ 140 05 \\ 144 16 \end{array}$	37 53 41 27 43 29	0 160 20	5.3 6.5	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.\ 701 & 0.\ 011 \\ 1.\ 774 & 0.\ 005 \\ 1.\ 842 & 0.\ 006 \end{array}$	0.236 0.267 0.279

Another regions N=2

	Origin ti	me	(J. 1	И. Т.)	Epicenter		Depth	М	$\overline{v}_{p}/\overline{v}_{s}$	σ
1963	VI 20	h. 9	m. 55	s . 59.2	144°46′E	36°\\7′N	km 100		1.763±0.012	0.263
1967	V 15	11	27	33.7	141 45	32 33	50	5.4	1.630 0.018	0.198

with depth. The depth penetrated with seismic rays arriving at some epicentral distance is concerned with the focal depth, and, therefore, it may be possible to utilize the focal depth as a parameter concerning depth. Standing on this point of view, we use the focal depth instead of the real depth in the following.

For the three regions in southwestern Japan, variations of apparent Poisson's ratio with the locality seems to be much larger than with the depth. In the case when Poisson's ratio in the mantle is different from that in the crust, apparent Poisson's ratio varies with the inclination of the Mohorovicic discontinuity. Differences in mean apparent Poisson's ratio among these three regions are, however, too large to be explained only on that reason. As the apparent Poisson's ratio

dealt with in this paper is concerned mainly with the upper mantle, it may be reasonable to say that regional differences in the upper mantle explain differences in apparent Poisson's ratio.

Let us investigate Figs. 1 and 3, expecting regional differences in apparent Poisson's ratio.

If regularities in distributions of apparent Poisson's ratio in Fig. 1 and the focal depth in Fig. 2 are taken into consideration, some regions contoured with broken lines are separated as shown in Fig. 1. The region in Fig. 3 seems not to be separated any more and seems to belong to the same region as the one from off the south-east coast of Hokkaido to off the south coast of Chiba Prefecture. The separated regions are as follows:

Region I: the region from off the east coast of Hokkaido to off the south coast of Chiba Prefecture through off the east coast of Iwate Prefecture.

Region II: the region corresponding to the so-called "Fuji volcanic zone".

Region III: the Kinki district and the eastern part of Shikoku.

Region IV: the western part of Shikoku.

Region V: Hyuga-nada.

Region VI: the region off the south-east coast of Yakushima Island.

Region VII: the region corresponding to the so-called "Kirishima volcanic zone".

Region VIII: the region off the west coast and the northeastern part of Kyushu.

Region IX: the San-in district.

Region X: the region from the Bay of Wakasa through the Chubu district.

Region XI: the region from the northwestern part of Japan through the northern part of Hokkaido.

First, the region I is noticed. Earthquakes having higher apparent Poisson's ratio and those having lower one coexist in this region while regional differences in apparent Poisson's ratio are distinct in southwestern Japan. The main reason why regional differences become clear in southwestern Japan is thought that hypocenters are restricted in relatively narrow zones. On the other hand, zones of earthquakes occurring are comparatively broad in the region I. Therefore, it will be necessary to investigate the relation of apparent Poisson's ratio with depth in this region.

The relations between apparent Poisson's ratio and the focal depth are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, which exhibit the data for the region from off the east coast of Aomori Prefecture to off the south coast of Chiba Prefecture and the data for the region in Fig. 3 respectively. Because of scattered data, distinct relations of apparent Poisson's ratio with depth do not seem to be found out only from these figures. Scattering of data may be probably due to broadness of the region dealt with, errors in determinations of focal depths, etc., in addition to observational errors.









Fig. 3. Apparent Poisson's ratios plotted to respective epicenters of earthquakes in the region from off the southeast coast through off the east coast of Hokkaido.



Fig. 4. Apparent Poisson's ratio as a function of focal depth for earthquakes in southwestern Japan.

Kakuta (1968 a) has studied S travel times from the earthquakes having occurred off the southeast and east coast of Hokkaido, and found that the epicentral distance Δ_c at which S travel times break out discontinuously relates to the focal depth. He has thought it a phenomenon due to the low velocity layer in the upper mantle. Δ_c as a function of focal depth is shown in Fig. 7 (a) with a theoretical curve based on the model of S wave velocity distribution inserted above. This model is the one after Kakuta (1968 a).

If the parameter concerning depth is removed out from the relation of Δ_c with depth and the relation of apparent Poisson's ratio with depth, it may be possible to take away the effect due to errors in determinations of focal depth by making a new relation between Δ_c and apparent Poisson's ratio. The new relation is shown in Fig. 7 (b). A broken line in the figure is an expected lower limit of Δ_c



Fig. 5. Apparent Poisson's ratio as a function of focal depth for earthquakes in the region from off the east coast of Aomori Prefecture to off the south coast of Chiba Prefecture.



Fig. 6. Apparent Poisson's ratio as a function of focal depth for earthquakes used in Fig. 3.

as a function of $\bar{\sigma}$. In these figures, a closed circle corresponds to Δ_c obtained as a point and open circles combined with a line indicate Δ_c obtained as a range.

As seen in Fig. 7 (b), Δ_c decreases suddenly in the neibourhood of $\bar{\sigma}=0.265$. According to Kakuta (1968 a), Δ_c has its minimum when the earthquake has occurred at the top of the low velocity layer, which lies at the depth between 40 and 60 km in the region. If the data in Fig. 6 are reexamined with thinking of Fig. 7 (b) apparent Poisson's ratio as a function of focal depth is estimated as shown in Fig. 6 with the region enclosed with two broken lines. Though there are no data of Δ_c corresponding to Fig. 5, it will not be unreasonable that a similar

Τ. ΚΑΚUTA



Fig. 7. (a) The relation of critical distance Δ_c to focal depth (reproduced after Kakuta (1968 a)). (b) The relation between critical distance and apparent Poisson's ratio. These data are ones for earthquakes in Fig. 3.

relation to Fig. 6 holds in the case of Fig. 5 because of analogous features of distributions of apparent Poisson's ratio. The depth at which Poisson's ratio increases abruptly seems, however, to be shallower than that in the region corresponding to Fig. 6 and lie between 20 and 40 km in this region.

If the variation of apparent Poisson's ratio with depth is disregarded and the normal distribution is assumed for the region I, the mean value of $\bar{\sigma}$ with its confidence interval can be obtained. In the case of the confidence coefficient 0.95, it is 0.263 ± 0.003 .

Next, the regions II and VII are noticeable. In the region II, the mean apparent Poisson's ratio with its 95 % confidence interval is 0.251 ± 0.008 , except shallow earthquakes occurred near Kozushima Island in April of 1967. It is 0.256 ± 0.004 in the region VII. These regions correspond to the so-called "volcanic zones". And frequently these regions are thought to be concerned with anomalously high Poisson's ratio (Nishimura *et al.* (1960)). As there are few data in another volcanic zones, more detail discussions about them must be postponed to the future. It is, however, interesting that values of apparent Poisson's ratios are not relatively high in these volcanic zones.

It is remarkable that values of apparent Poisson's ratios near Matsushiro and Kozushima Island, where swarms of earthquakes have frequently occurred, and in Wakasa Bay are relatively high. If the normal distribution is assumed in

spite of a few data, the mean values of $\overline{\sigma}$ with the 95% confidence interval are 0.276 ± 0.010 , 0.277 ± 0.013 and 0.289 ± 0.031 for the earthquake swarms near Matsushiro and Kozushima Island and in Wakasa Bay, respectively.

In the region III where earthquakes have been occurring in swarm since 1920, the mean value of $\bar{\sigma}$ with its 95% confidence interval is 0.238 ± 0.006 , which is considerably lower than those in any other regions near and in Japan and is, in tendency, in agreement with ones obtained by Yoshiyama (1957), 0.22, and Watanabe and Kuroiso (1967), 0.243.

In the region XI, any more separations of the region are not carried out because of a few data. In this region, especially off the north coast of Niigata Prefecture, scattering of values of $\overline{\sigma}$ is remarkable.

For aftershocks of the 1964 Niigata Earthquake, Kayano (1968) has compiled the observed data by parties of Hokkaido University, Tohoku University, the Earthquake Research Institute and the Japan Meteorological Agency. He has calculated v_p/v_s with another earthquake parameters from the data. Values of v_p/v_s he calculated are scattered widely. He has thought they come from observational errors. Geographycal distributions of v_p/v_s , however, show meaningful trends which are parallel to the structural trends in the region. Hence, they seem to express some features of the structure in the region. Accordingly, the scatterings of $\bar{\sigma}$ in the region XI seem also to have some physical meanings, but nothing about them is discussed here.

For the region IV, the mean value of $\overline{\sigma}$ with its 95% confidence interval is 0.287 ±0.056 though there are only three data. The mean value may not be reliable, but it must be relatively high. It is 0.274 ± 0.008 for the region V.

3. The mechanical structure and the degree of fracturing

Mogi (1962, 1963 a) has assumed that earthquakes are caused by brittle fracturing of the stressed crust or the upper mantle and carried out experimental studies on fracture phenomena. As the results of experiments, it has been clarified that the patterns of successive shock occurrences are remarkably influenced by the degree of heterogeneity of the structure of media. There are three typical patterns of shock occurrence:

First type: When the medium is homogeneous and the stress is uniformly applied, a main shock occurs suddenly without any preceding shock and many elastic shocks follow the main one. This is the type of a main shock——aftershocks.

Second type: When the medium has a rather heterogeneous structure and/or the applied stress is not uniform, small shocks occur prior to a main one which is in turn accompanied by many shocks. This is the type of foreshocks — a main shock — aftershocks.

Third type: When the structure of the medium is extremely heterogeneous

and/or the applied stress is concentrated, elastic shocks begin to occur as soon as the stress is applied. This is the swarm type.

Applying the experimental results to natural earthquake occurrences, Mogi (1963 b) has decided mechanichal structures near and in Japan. The results are reproduced in Fig. 8, where numbers indicate the degree of fracturing. The smaller a number is, the larger the degree of fracturing is.



Fig. 8. The degree of fracturing near and in Japan (after Mogi (1963 b))

A comparison of Fig. 1 with Fig. 8 makes us be interested in the physical meanings of their relations.

Earthquakes used in Fig. 8 have occurred in the shallower part than 40 km while most of earthquakes in Fig. 1 have occurred in the upper mantle. However, the agreement between the respective regions in these figures is fairly good. It will not, therefore, be unreasonable to assume that the crust is in a close connection with the upper mantle and that the degree of fracturing is almost the same in the upper mantle as in the crust.

Mogi (1963 b) has designated the degree of fracturing for Hyuga-nada region as II, supposing that earthquake series of the second type have occurred in the region. In his original data, however, for most of earthquake series designated as the second type in the region, separation from the normal activity is more or less uncertain as the increase of the seismicity before the principal earthquake

is not so remarkable or major earthquakes occur successively. It may, therefore, be probable to alter the Mogi's designation of the degree of fracturing. It may be smaller than that designated by Mogi.

It is designated as III in the region III. In this region, as mentioned above, seismicity has increased abruptly in 1920 and high seismic activity continues even till now. This is such a special region that earthquakes of swarm type have been occurring. Hence, it may not be unreasonable that the degree of fracturing in this region is altered to I or II.

For regions I, II, III, IV, V and VII, where data of apparent Poisson's ratios are not relatively dispersive, the relation between the degree of fracturing and apparent Poisson's ratio with its 95 % confidence interval is shown in Fig. 9, where modified values of the degree of fracturing for regions III and V are also taken into consideration.



Fig. 9. The relation between the degree of fracturing and apparent Poisson's ratio with its 95% confidence interval.

In the case of investigating the relation in Fig. 9, the fact that apparent Poisson's ratio depends on the depth is not taken care of.

It may be necessary to investigate it as a function of depth in a strict sense when regional features are compared among some regions. Earthquakes in one region, however, tend to be concentrated in the neibourhood of a certain depth. In this paper, earthquakes are chosen out accidentally and, hence, the mean value of apparent Poisson's ratio may be nearly equal to the peculiar one.

From Fig. 9, the tendency that the degree of fracturing decreases with the increasing of apparent Poisson's ratio seems to exist though there are only a few data.

In such regions as vicinities of Matsushiro, Kozushima Island and others, earthquakes of swarm type have been frequently occurred. That is, according to the Mogi's definition, these are such regions that the degree of fracturing is relatively high, whereas values of apparent Poisson's ratios are relatively high. For these regions, another causes in addition to fracturing, for example, phenomena

associated with groundwater, magma chamber, etc., may be necessary to be considered.

4. On the relation between Poisson's ratio and the degree of heterogeneity

If the patterns of earthquake occurrence depend on the degree of fracturing of media, it is reasonably expected that apparent Poisson's ratio has connection with the degree of fracturing. Because, Poisson's ratio will be strongly affected by the state of media, that is, the mechanical structure.

From Fig. 9, the tendency that heterogeneity of medium increases with the decrease of Poisson's ratio seems to exist. If it is true, Poisson's ratio must increase with the increasing of wave velocity because wave velocity usually decreases with the increasing of the degree of heterogeneity. Is it possible?

Arranging the Kayano's data for aftershocks of the 1964 Niigata Earthquake (Kayano (1968)), we get a relation between v_p and v_s , which offers an information for the relation between Poisson's ratio and wave velocities. It is shown in Fig. 10, where it is evident that v_p/v_s increases with wave velocities.



Fig. 10. The relation of shear wave velocity, v_s , to compressional wave velocity, v_p , for aftershocks of the 1964 Niigata Earthquake. These data are obtained after Kayano (1968).

Wave velocities are represented as follows:

$$v_{p} = \sqrt{3 \frac{K}{\rho} \frac{1-\sigma}{1+\sigma}}, \quad v_{s} = \sqrt{3 \frac{K}{\rho} \frac{1-2\sigma}{2(1+\sigma)}},$$

where ρ and σ are density and Poisson's ratio, respectively. K is bulk modulus and defined as $K = \rho (dP/d\rho)$. P is pressure.

Generally speaking, heterogeneity of media decreases with the increasing of confining pressure. This leads to the assumption that Poisson's ratio must increase with pressure.

Seismic wave velocities also increase with pressure. Thus $\partial v_s / \partial P > 0$. There-

fore, from the definition,

$$\frac{\partial v_s}{\partial \boldsymbol{P}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{v_s} \left[\frac{1-2\sigma}{2(1+\sigma)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{P}} \left(\frac{K}{\rho} \right) - \frac{K}{\rho} \frac{3}{(1+\sigma)^2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \boldsymbol{P}} \right] > 0.$$

Accordingly, if such a condition as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial P}\left(\frac{K}{\rho}\right) > \frac{K}{\rho} \frac{6}{(1+\sigma)(1-2\sigma)} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial P} > 0$$

is satisfied, wave velocities and Poisson's ratio increase with pressure together.

If density is a function of only pressure, finally,

$$\frac{d^{2}P}{d\rho^{2}} > \left(\frac{K}{\rho}\right)^{2} \frac{6}{(1+\sigma)(1-2\sigma)} \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial P} > 0.$$

If $(\partial \sigma / \partial P) = \text{constant}$, then it is necessary that P is represented by a polynomial expression of ρ and the highest power needs to be more than the second and has a plus coefficient. Such a example is the Murnaghan-Birch's equation of state:

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \frac{3}{2} K_0 \left[\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}_0} \right)^{7/3} - \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}_0} \right)^{5/3} \right] \cdot$$

But it is uncertain that this equation is suitable to apply to the fractured medium.

Experimental results on the variation of Poisson's ratio with axial stress which is applied to specimens of granite have been cited in Matsushima (1962). These indicate that Poisson's ratio is low in the low stress range and increases with stress.

Hughes and Cross (1951) have studied velocities of elastic waves as a function of hydrostatic pressure for sandstone, etc., at some fixed temperatures. The relations of Poisson's ratio with hydrostatic pressure after them are reproduced in Fig. 11 for sandstone. For dry sandstone, the more pressure increases the more Pois-



Fig. 11. Velocity $\underline{\bar{x}}$ ratio, $\underline{\bar{x}} v_p / v_s$, $\underline{\bar{x}}$ as $\underline{\bar{x}}$ a function of pressure for dry and water-saturated sandstone (after Hughes and Cross (1951)). Temperature was fixed at 27°C.

son's ratio increases. For water-saturated sandstone, however, the tendency of the relation is contrary to the above case. In this case, internal pressure in pores in sandstone increases with the increasing of external pressure. And wave velocities are mainly controlled by differential pressure which means the difference between external and internal pressure. From these experiments, it may not be unreasonable to assume that fractures in the earth are in the state more similar to dry pores than water-saturated ones, that is, in a state of open pores.

These examples seem to support the possibility of the existence of the relation in Fig. 9.

On the other hand, for general rocks of which the crust and the upper mantle are thought to be composed, the effects of pressure on Poisson's ratio are little for high pressure ranges. What is worse, Poisson's ratio for them increases or decreases with the increases of pressure as the case may be. According to a finite strain theory, Poisson's ratio increases in the case of $\lambda > \mu$ and decreases in the case of $\lambda < \mu$ with the increase of pressure (Shimozuru (1963)), where λ and μ are Lame's constants. However, this may be the another case of fractured states of media.

As there are few data to study the relation of the mechanical structure with Poisson's ratio, the more detail investigations about them must be postponed to future. The relation in Fig. 9, however, seems to be useful to investigate the mechanical structures in media.

5. On travel times in the case of the laterally discontinuous mantle

In the preceding sections, apparent Poisson's ratio has been dealt with and it has been clarified that it varies with the locality. This seems to imply lateral variations of the structure and wave velocities in the upper mantle.

From Fig. 1, a model composed of some blocks is immediately thought of for the upper mantle. As a simplified model, the mantle is assumed to consist of two adjacent blocks which contact with each other. Wave velocities are laterally isotropic but vertically anisotropic in the respective blocks. For convenience, the crust is supposed to be uniform.

When the structure of media is represented by such a model, travel time curves manifest peculiar features. Tazime (1963) has built up formulae to calculate travel times for a flat earth model in the case of the existence of some lateral discontinuities. According to him, if a hypocenter lies on the side of the lower wave velocity and waves are transmitted toward the higher velocity side, a travel time curve breakes out and a shadow zone where direct waves do not arrive comes out. The area of the shadow zone increases with velocity contrast between both blocks.

For a spherical earth model, a travel time curve is influenced by some more parameters.

Wave velocity in the mantle is assumed to vary with the depth according to the formula $v = v_m (r/r_m)^{\nu}$, where r is the radius from the earth's center and ν is a constant. A suffix m concerns the parameter at the uppermost part of the mantle, that is, the Mohorovicic discontinuity. If a hypocenter lies at the Mohorovicic discontinuity on the lower velocity side, the area of the shadow zone A_s is represented as follows:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Delta}_{s} = \mathbf{\Delta}_{b} - \mathbf{\Delta}_{e}, \\ \text{where} \quad \mathbf{\Delta}_{b} = \alpha + [(\pi/2) - \arcsin(p_{b}/\eta_{hm})]/(1-\nu) + (\mathbf{\Delta}_{c})_{p=p_{b}}, \\ \mathbf{\Delta}_{e} = \alpha + (\mathbf{\Delta}_{c})_{p=p_{e}}, \\ \mathbf{\Delta}_{c} = \arcsin(p/\eta_{cd}) - \arcsin(p/\eta_{cu}), \\ p_{b} = \eta_{ml} \, \sin[\arcsin(v_{mh}/v_{ml}) - \alpha(1-\nu)], \\ p_{e} = \eta_{ml} \, \cos \, \alpha(1-\nu), \\ \eta = r/\nu. \end{aligned}$

 α is the angular distance from the epicenter to the boundary between two blocks and represents the scale of area of the lower velocity side. Suffixes *l* and *h* concern the lower and the higher velocity side of the mantle. Suffixes *c*, *u* and *d* indicate parameters concerning the crust, the uppermost and the lowermost part of the crust respectively.

Thus the area of the shadow zone depends on the scale of the lower velocity side α and velocity gradient ν in addition to velocity contrast v_{mh}/v_{ml} . It increases with the increase of α or the decrease of ν . If a numerical estimation is performed, based on a probable assumption of numerical values, the area of the shadow zone reaches to 100 km or more in the case of $\alpha = 1^{\circ}$ even if the maximum ν is assumed. Therefore, if the boundary is sharp, observable waves in the neibourhood of the boundary on the higher velocity side may be scattered or diffracted waves. When the boundary is not so sharp that wave velocities vary laterally gradually, another interpretation of the observed waves may be possible.

To our disappointments, patterns of distributions of wave velocities near and in Japan are insufficient. The investigations about the distribution of apparent Poisson's ratio may, however, contribute to studies on travel times or phases.

6. Discussions

It has been clarified by the comparison of Fig. 1 with Fig. 8 that Poisson's ratio varies in block with the locality and seems to be concerned with the mechanical structure of media.

Poisson's ratio also varies with depth in one block. The relation between apparent Poisson's ratio and focal depth changes discontinuously at a certain depth.

This means that the upper part of the earth is composed of two kinds of media which have different Poisson's ratios with each other and that the main part of wave path in the case when a focus lies shallower than the boundary differs far from the one in the case of a deeper focus. The latter is possible when the condition dv/dr > v/r is satisfied, which means the condition of the low velocity layer. Consequently, it is concluded that Poisson's ratio increases abruptly at the upper boundary of the low velocity layer.

Many authors (for example, Lehmann (1953), Kakuta (1963)) have thought that the low velocity layer for P wave is not so dominant as the one for S wave or does not exist. The abrupt increase of Poisson's ratio at the upper boundary of the low velocity layer does not contradict the above assumptions.

Low S_n velocity near and in Japan has frequently been reported. This may be led from the low velocity layer or fracture phenomena. The author thinks from the above investigations that high Poisson's ratio may be related to the low velocity layer and low one may be related to fracture phenomena. More data will, however, be required to confirm the assumption.

Generally speaking, Poisson's ratio is influenced largely by temperature (Shimozuru (1962)) and anomalously high Poisson's ratio has been reported for the so-called "volcanic zone" (Nishimura *et al.* (1960)). However, it is not recognized from Fig. 1. In both of regions II and VII, values of apparent Poisson's ratios are not so high as in the region I or V. For these regions, the author thinks, it will be better to think that the mantle will be in a state of fractured media and this state influences Poisson's ratio more strongly than the existence of magma chamber does. Apparent Poisson's ratios for such earthquakes as in the vicinity of Kozushima Island are relatively high. This may be the case that the effect of the existence of magma chamber etc. is more dominant than the effect of fractured media.

Mogi (1963 b) has designated the degree of heterogeneity for the crust in the region I as IV, which means according to his definition that occurrence of foreshocks will not be expected in the region. Poisson's ratio seems, however, to be relatively low in the shallower part than the low velocity layer in the region. Accordingly, if the author's assumption is true, foreshocks must occur in the shallower part. Nagumo *et al.* (1968) has reported abnormal seismic activities before the 1968 Tokachi-Oki Earthquake. These may be the evidence for the above expectation.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks the Kagoshima District Meteorological Observatory for allowing him to make use of the Seismological Bulletin of JMA.

References

- Hughes, D. S. and J. H. Cross, 1951, Elastic wave velocities in rocks at high pressures and temperatures, Geophysics, 16, 577-593.
- Kakuta, T., 1963, The low vleocity layer in Japan (Part I), Geophys. Bull. Hokkaido Univ., 11, 67-75, (in Japanese).
- Kakuta, T., 1968a, The structure of the upper mantle—In the vicinity of the low velocity layer —, Zisin (J. Seism. Soc. Japan), 21, 202-221, (in Japanese)
- Kakuta, T., 1968 b, On the apparent Poisson's ratios in the southwestern part of Japan, Rep. Fac. Sci., Kagoshima Univ., 1, 79-88, (in Japanese).
- Kamitsuki, A., 1959, On local character of Poisson's ratio in the earth's crust, Mem. Coll. Sci., Kyoto Univ., A, 29, 163-185.
- Kayano, I., 1968, Determination of origin times, epicenters and focal depths of aftershocks of the Niigata Earthquake of June 16, 1964. —A preliminary report of the cooperative study of aftershocks of the Niigata Earthquake—, Bull. Earthq. Res. Inst., 46, 223-269.
- Lehmann, I., 1953, P and S at small distance than 25°, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union, 34, 477-483.
- Matsushima, S., 1962, The mechanical properties of rocks under high pressure, Bull. Volcanol. Soc. Japan, 7, 61-74, (in Japanese).
- Mogi, K., 1962, The fracture of a semi-infinite body caused by an inner stress origin and its relation to the earthquake phenomena (First paper), Bull. Earthq. Res. Inst., 40, 815-829.
- Mogi, K., 1963 a, The fracture of a semi-infinite body caused by an inner stress origin and its relation to the earthquake phenomena (Second paper). The case of the materials having some heterogeneous structures —, Bull. Earthq Res. Inst., 41, 595-614.
- Mogi, K., 1963 b, Some discussion on aftershocks, foreshocks and earthquake swarms The fracture of a semi-infinite body caused by an inner stress origin and its relation to the earthquake phenomena (Third paper), Bull. Earthq. Res. Inst., 41, 615-658.
- Nagumo, S., H. Kobayashi and S. Koresawa, 1968, Foreshock phenomena of the 1968 Tokachi-Oki Earthquake observed by ocean-bottom seismographs off Sanriku, Bull. Earthq. Res. Inst., 46, 1355-1368, (in Japanese).
- Nishimura, E., A. Kamitsuki and Y. Kishimoto, 1960, Some Problems on Poisson's ratio in the earth's crust, Tellus, 12, 236-241.
- Shimozuru, D., 1962, Elasticity of rocks at high temperature with special reference to the nature of the low velocity layer in the crust and the upper mantle of the earth, Bull. Volcanol. Soc. Japan, 7, 45-60, (in Japanese).
- Shimozuru, D., 1963, The low velocity zone and temperature distribution in the upper mantle of the earth, J. Phys. Earth, 11, 19-24.
- Tazime, K., 1963, Refraction shooting on the experimental field for small explosions in the neibourhood of the city of Mitsuke, Niigata Prefecture, Geophys. Bull. Hokkaido Univ., 11, 113-168, (in Japanese).
- Watanabe, H., and A. Kuroiso, 1967, Some properties of microearthquakes in the west of Kii Peninsula, Central Honshu, Japan, Zisin(J. Seism. Soc. Japan), 20, 180-191, (in Japanese).
- Yoshiyama, R., 1957, The ratio of the velocity of P and S waves, Bull. Earthq. Res., Inst., 35, 627-640.