

ON A CARTESIAN CLOSED CATEGORY

著者	HASUO Yutaka
journal or publication title	鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学
volume	11
page range	37-43
別言語のタイトル	或るカルテジアン・クローズド・カテゴリーについて
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00001755

ON A CARTESIAN CLOSED CATEGORY

By

Yutaka HASUO*

(Received September 14, 1978)

Dedicated to Professor Tatsji Kudo on his 60th birthday

0. Introduction

There are known some convenient categories for topology. We consider two of them here. In [6] R.M. Vogt established the category \mathcal{K} consisting of k -spaces and continuous maps, and proved the exponential laws in \mathcal{K} . On the other hand, Y. Kawahara and T. Kudo [3] offered the category $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ consisting of topological spaces and \mathcal{J} -maps. They proved $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ to be cartesian closed, i.e., there is a function space functor $k: \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{OP} \times \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ such that $k(-, X): \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ is a right adjoint for $- \times X: \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$.

It is remarkable that in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ we can treat every topological space without changing its topology.

In this note we study the category $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ and the relation between $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ and \mathcal{K} . Our purpose is to find another function space functor $k': \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{OP} \times \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ which has the similar properties to that of k . Furthermore it enables us to get the cartesian closedness and exponential laws of \mathcal{K} in the sense of R.M. Vogt.

1. Categories ($\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{S}$) and functors (k , κ)

Let \mathcal{A} be the category of topological spaces and set maps, let \mathcal{E} be of topological spaces and continuous maps. Let \mathcal{J} be a full subcategory of \mathcal{E} containing at least one non-empty space. In addition, \mathcal{J} is required in Sec. 3 and 4 to have two properties (Axiom (a) and (b)). A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{A} is called \mathcal{J} -map if $f\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(A, X)$ with $A \in \mathcal{J}$. By $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ we denote the category of topological spaces and \mathcal{J} -maps. It is trivial that $f \in \mathcal{E}$ means $f \in \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$. A space $X \in \mathcal{A}$ is called \mathcal{J} -generated if $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) = \mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{A}$. By $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{S}$ we denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{E} with objects \mathcal{J} -generated spaces. (Note that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{K}$.) The inclusion relations of these categories are as follows,

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A} \\ \text{full} \cup \quad \cup i \\ \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E} \\ \text{full} \quad \text{full} \end{array}$$

* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan.

We can easily verify that the finite products in \mathcal{E} , in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ and in \mathcal{A} coincide (because i , j and ji preserve finite limits).

Let $k: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ be the functor in the sense of R.M. Vogt [6], i.e., for any $X \in \mathcal{E}$ $k(X)$ is the set X with the induced topology determined by the family $\{A \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{E}, A \in \mathcal{J}\}$ and for any $f \in \mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ $k(f) \in \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(k(X), k(Y))$ is equal to f as set maps. It is an easy consequence of the definition that $k(X) = X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ and the identity function $id_X: k(X) \rightarrow X$ lies in \mathcal{E} for all $X \in \mathcal{E}$.

The following proposition is due to R.M. Vogt.

PROPOSITION 1. For any $B \in \mathcal{J}$ and $X \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{E}(B, X) \cong \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(B, k(X))$; $f \mapsto f'$ where $f' = f$ as set maps.

PROPOSITION 2. For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a natural isomorphism, $\kappa: \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(k(X), k(Y))$; $\kappa(f) = f$ as set maps for any $f \in \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$.

PROOF. We need only prove that $\kappa(f)$ lies in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$. It suffices to show that $\kappa(f)\alpha' \in \mathcal{E}$ for any $\alpha' \in \mathcal{E}(B, k(X))$ with $B \in \mathcal{J}$. Consider the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & \kappa(f) & \\ & & & \longrightarrow & \\ & \alpha' & k(X) & & k(Y) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow id_X & \searrow f & \downarrow id_Y \\ B & & X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \alpha & & & \end{array}$$

By Proposition 1 $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore $f\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$. Using Proposition 1 again, we get that $\kappa(f)\alpha' \in \mathcal{E}$.

The last result enables us to extend the functor $k: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$, i.e., there is a functor $\kappa: \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ and $k = \kappa i$. On the other hand we have the fact as follows (due to R.M. Vogt).

PROPOSITION 3. $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ is complete and cocomplete.

To combine Proposition 3 with Proposition 2 yields the following.

PROPOSITION 4. $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ is complete and cocomplete.

The next properties follow immediately from [6] (1. 2), [3] (example 1) and Proposition 2.

PROPOSITION 5. (i) X is isomorphic to Y in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\kappa(X)$ is isomorphic to $\kappa(Y)$ in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$.

(ii) $\kappa(X)$ is isomorphic to X in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$. More precisely $id: \kappa(X) \rightarrow X$ lies in \mathcal{E} and id^{-1} lies in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$.

(iii) $\kappa(X) = X$ for any $X \in \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$.

(iv) Let X be isomorphic to Y in $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$, then the homotopy groups and singular(co) homology groups of them are isomorphic for suitable \mathcal{A} .

2. Function space $k'(X, Y)$

In this section we shall define a function space functor $k': \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{op} \times \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ and

two natural transformations related to k' . They play the important roles in this note.

Let $k': \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}^{OP} \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$ be a functor defined by

$$\begin{aligned} k'(X, Y) &= F(\kappa(X), \kappa(Y)) & X, Y \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E} \\ k'(f, g) &= F(\kappa(f), \kappa(g)) & (f, g) \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}^{OP}(X, X') \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(Y, Y') \end{aligned}$$

where $F(-, -)$ means the ordinal function space functor with compact open topology.

Let $L, M, N, P: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}^{OP} \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}^{OP} \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be the functors defined by the equations,

$$\begin{aligned} L(X, Y, Z) &= \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z) \\ M(X, Y, Z) &= \mathcal{A}(X, k'(Y, Z)) \\ N(X, Y, Z) &= \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z)) \\ P(X, Y, Z) &= \mathcal{A}(X \times Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

By $\varphi: L \rightarrow M$ we denote a natural transformation as follows, $\varphi_{X,Y,Z}(f) = \bar{f}$; $\bar{f}(x)(y) = f(x, y)$ with $f \in L(X, Y, Z)$ $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. By $\psi: N \rightarrow P$ we denote a natural transformation as follows, $\psi_{X,Y,Z}(h) = \tilde{h}$; $\tilde{h}(x, y) = h(x)(y)$ with $h \in N(X, Y, Z)$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

It is easy to see that φ and ψ are well defined and natural.

Remark. The naturalities of φ and ψ yield the formulas (B. 1)~(B. 6) of [3]. They are used frequently to prove many properties.

$$(B.1) \quad \bar{f}a = \overline{f(a \times Y)} \quad (B.2) \quad \bar{f}k'(b, Z) = \overline{f(X \times b)} \quad (B.3) \quad k'(Y, c)\bar{f} = \overline{cf}$$

$$(B.4) \quad \tilde{h}a = \tilde{h}(a \times Y) \quad (B.5) \quad \overline{k'(b, Z)h} = \tilde{h}(X \times b) \quad (B.6) \quad \overline{k'(Y, c)h} = c\tilde{h}$$

where $f \in L(X, Y, Z)$, $h \in N(X, Y, Z)$, $a \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X', X)$, $b \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(Y', Y)$ and $c \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(Z, Z')$.

3. (\mathcal{S} -) admissible and (\mathcal{S} -) proper

DEFINITION 6. (i) A space Y in \mathcal{A} is said to be \mathcal{S} -admissible if

$$\psi_{X,Y,Z}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z))) \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z) \text{ for all } X, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(ii) A space Y in \mathcal{A} is said to be admissible if

$$\psi_{X,Y,Z}(\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z))) \subset \mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z) \text{ for all } X, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $\Psi = \{\Psi_{X,Y,Z}: \mathcal{E}(X, F(Y, Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X \times Y, Z)\}_{X,Y,Z \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a natural transformation defined by $\Psi_{X,Y,Z}(h) = \tilde{h}$, where $\tilde{h}(x, y) = h(x)(y)$ with $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}(X, F(Y, Z))$.

(iii) A space Y in \mathcal{A} is said to be \mathcal{E} -admissible if

$$\Psi_{X,Y,Z}(\mathcal{E}(X, F(Y, Z))) \subset \mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z) \text{ for all } X, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

PROPOSITION 7. (i) A space Y is \mathcal{S} -admissible if and only if $\varepsilon_{Y,Z} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(k'(Y, Z) \times Y, Z)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\varepsilon_{Y,Z} = \overline{k'(Y, Z)}$.

(ii) A space Y is admissible if and only if $\varepsilon_{Y,Z} \in \mathcal{E}(k'(Y, Z) \times Y, Z)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) A space Y is \mathcal{E} -admissible if and only if $e_{Y,Z} \in \mathcal{E}(F(Y, Z) \times Y, Z)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{A}$, where $e_{Y,Z} = F(Y, Z)^\vee$.

PROOF. (i) $\varepsilon_{Y,Z}$ has the following (universal) property: For any $h \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z))$ $\tilde{h} = \varepsilon_{Y,Z}(h \times Y)$. Hence, if $\varepsilon_{Y,Z}$ lies in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$, then \tilde{h} lies also in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$. "Only if" part is

trivial from the definition. In similar fashion (ii) and (iii) are easily verified.

This leads to the next.

PROPOSITION 8. *If Y is admissible, then Y is \mathcal{A} -admissible.*

PROPOSITION 9. *If Y is in $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$ and \mathcal{E} -admissible, then Y is admissible.*

PROOF. By Proposition 7, it suffices to show that $\varepsilon_{Y,Z}$ lies in \mathcal{E} for any $Z \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{E}(k'(Y, Z), k'(Y, Z)) = \mathcal{E}(k'(Y, Z), F(Y, \kappa(Z)))$, $k'(Y, Z)^\vee$ lies in $\mathcal{E}(k'(Y, Z) \times Y, \kappa(Z))$. On the other hand, we have $\varepsilon_{Y,Z} = id_Z k'(Y, Z)^\vee$. It completes the proof.

AXIOM (a) *Any A in \mathcal{A} is admissible.*

PROPOSITION 10. *If \mathcal{A} satisfies (a), then any Y in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{A} -admissible.*

PROOF. By Proposition 7 we need only prove that the composite $A \xrightarrow{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} k'(Y, Z) \times Y \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{Y,Z}} Z$ lies in \mathcal{E} for all $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \mathcal{E}(A, k'(Y, Z) \times Y)$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is the unique map determined by $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(A, k'(Y, Z))$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{E}(A, Y)$. By (B.4) ~ (B.6) we have, $\varepsilon_{Y,Z} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \varepsilon_{Y,Z} (k'(Y, Z) \times \beta) \langle \alpha, A \rangle = \overline{k'(\beta, Z)} \langle \alpha, A \rangle = \varepsilon_{A,Z} (k'(\beta, Z) \times A) \langle \alpha, A \rangle$. Since $\varepsilon_{A,Z} \in \mathcal{E}$ (Axiom (a)), we get the desired consequence.

The last result means that if \mathcal{A} satisfies (a) then ψ is a natural transformation from N to L .

DEFINITION 11. (i) *A space Y in \mathcal{A} is said to be \mathcal{A} -proper if*

$$\mathcal{P}_{X,Y,Z}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z)) \subset \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z)) \text{ for all } X, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(ii) *A space Y in \mathcal{A} is said to be proper if*

$$\mathcal{P}_{X,Y,Z}(\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z)) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z)) \text{ for all } X, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $\Phi = \{\Phi_{X,Y,Z}: \mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X, F(Y, Z))\}_{X,Y,Z \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a natural transformation defined by $\Phi_{X,Y,Z}(f) = \bar{f}$, where $\bar{f}(x)(y) = f(x, y)$ with $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z)$.

(iii) *A space Y in \mathcal{A} is said to be \mathcal{E} -proper if*

$$\Phi_{X,Y,Z}(\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z)) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, F(Y, Z)) \text{ for all } X, Z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is a well known fact that any Y in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{E} -proper.

PROPOSITION 12. (i) *A space Y is \mathcal{A} -proper if and only if*

$$\eta_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, X \times Y)) \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ where } \eta_{X,Y} = \overline{X \times Y}.$$

(ii) *A space Y is proper if and only if $\eta_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, X \times Y))$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. (i) $\eta_{X,Y}$ has the following (universal) property: For any $f \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z)$ $\bar{f} = \eta_{X,Y} k'(Y, f)$. Hence, if $\eta_{X,Y}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$, then \bar{f} lies also in $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$. "Only if" part follows immediately from the definition. The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i).

AXIOM (b). *If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$.*

PROPOSITION 13. *If \mathcal{A} satisfies (a) and (b), then $K \times A \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $K \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$.*

PROOF. We have to prove $f \in \mathcal{E}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(K \times A, Z)$. If $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{E}(K, k'(A, Z))$, then $\bar{\bar{f}} = f \in \mathcal{E}$ by (a). Hence we need only verify that $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{E}(K, k'(A, Z)) = \mathcal{E}(K, k'(A, Z))$.

Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(B, K)$, then $\bar{f}\alpha = \overline{f(\alpha \times A)}$ by (B. 1). On the other hand $f(\alpha \times A) \in \mathcal{E}$ by (b). We have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(B \times A, Z) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{A}(B, k'(A, Z)) \\
 \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(B \times A, id_{\bar{Z}}^{-1}) \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(B \times A, \kappa(Z)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{A}(B, k'(A, \kappa(Z))) \\
 \parallel & & \cup \\
 \mathcal{E}(B \times A, \kappa(Z)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{E}(B, F(A, \kappa(Z))).
 \end{array}$$

Therefore $\bar{f}\alpha = \overline{f(\alpha \times A)} = \widehat{id_{\bar{Z}}^{-1} f(\alpha \times A)} \in \mathcal{E}$.

PROPOSITION 14. If \mathcal{A} satisfies (a) and (b), then any Y in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{A} -proper.

PROOF. By Proposition 12 we need only prove that $\eta_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(A, \kappa(X))$. It follows from Proposition 13 that $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(A \times \kappa(X), \kappa(Z)) = \mathcal{E}(A \times \kappa(X), \kappa(Z)) = \mathcal{E}(A \times \kappa(X), \kappa(Z))$. Therefore we get the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(A \times \kappa(Y), \kappa(X \times Y)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{A}(A, k'(Y, X \times Y)) \\
 \parallel & & \cup \\
 \mathcal{E}(A \times \kappa(Y), \kappa(X \times Y)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{E}(A, k'(Y, X \times Y)).
 \end{array}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$ be the composite $\kappa(X) \times \kappa(Y) \xrightarrow{id_X \times id_Y} X \times Y \xrightarrow{id_{X \times Y}^{-1}} \kappa(X \times Y)$. Using the diagram, we have $\overline{f(\alpha \times \kappa(Y))} = \widehat{f(\alpha \times \kappa(Y))}$. By (B.1) $\overline{f(\alpha \times \kappa(Y))} = \bar{f}\alpha$. Hence $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(\kappa(X), k'(Y, X \times Y))$. Since $\eta_{X,Y} = \bar{f}id_{\bar{Z}}^{-1}$, we get the desired consequence.

The last result means that if \mathcal{A} satisfies (a) and (b), then φ is a natural transformation from L to N .

It is obvious that $\psi\varphi = L$ and $\varphi\psi = N$ under the conditions (a) and (b).

4. Exponential laws

Throughout this section we require that \mathcal{A} satisfies (a) and (b). The results of section 3 are summarized below.

THEOREM 1. $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$ is cartesian closed.

From this, we get the exponential laws.

THEOREM 2. There are natural isomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}$,

- (i) $k'(X \times Y, Z) \cong k'(X, k'(Y, Z))$
- (ii) $k'(X, Y \times Z) \cong k'(X, Y) \times k'(X, Z)$.

PROOF. (i) Let $\lambda: \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X \times Y, Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, k'(Y, Z)))$ be a natural isomorphism. It is a well known fact that any natural transformation λ is $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}(W, l)$

for some $l \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(k'(X \times Y, Z), k'(X, k'(Y, Z)))$, and l is isomorphic if and only if λ is. Combining this fact with the naturality of λ , we can easily verify that l is a natural isomorphism. We may take λ to be the following composite of natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X \times Y, Z)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W \times (X \times Y), Z) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}((W \times X) \times Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W \times X, k'(Y, Z)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, k'(Y, Z)))$, where $\mu = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}(\alpha, Z)$ determined by the natural isomorphism $\alpha: (W \times X) \times Y \rightarrow W \times (X \times Y)$.

(ii) We have only to verify the existence of a natural isomorphism $\beta: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, Y \times Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, Y) \times k'(X, Z))$. There are natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, Y \times Z)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W \times X, Y \times Z) \xrightarrow{(*)} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W \times X, Y) \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W \times X, Z) \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, Y)) \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, Z)) \xrightarrow{(*)'} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(W, k'(X, Y) \times k'(X, Z))$, where $(*)$ and $(*)'$ are determined by the products $Y \times Z$ and $k'(X, Y) \times k'(X, Z)$ respectively. It completes the proof. (cf. [3] Theorem 1 and 2)

Using Theorem 2, we can easily verify the following.

THEOREM 3. $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$ is cartesian closed.

PROOF. The composite $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X \otimes Y, Z) \xrightarrow[\text{(Proposition 2)}]{\kappa^{-1}} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X \times Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, k'(Y, Z)) \xrightarrow[\text{(Proposition 2)}]{\kappa} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, \mathcal{K}_i(Y, Z))$ is a natural isomorphism. Hence we get the desired consequence.

By the similar fashion to the proof of Theorem 2, we get the next.

THEOREM 4. There are natural isomorphisms in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$,

- (i) $\mathcal{K}_i(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong \mathcal{K}_i(X, \mathcal{K}_i(Y, Z))$
- (ii) $\mathcal{K}_i(X, Y \otimes Z) \cong \mathcal{K}_i(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}_i(X, Z)$,

where $-\otimes-$ denotes the product in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{K}_i(-, -) = \kappa(F(-, -))$.

Remark. (i) Combining Proposition 5.(i), Theorem 2 and the trivial observation that $\kappa(U \times V) = \kappa(U) \otimes \kappa(V)$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{E}$, we can verify Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

(ii) In this section it was shown that Theorem 1 yields Theorem 3. Conversely it is easy to verify that if $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$ is cartesian closed, then \mathcal{E} is also cartesian closed.

5.

Here we shall consider the relations among the function spaces. If X and Y are in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$, then $k'(X, Y) = F(X, Y)$ and $k'(X, Y) \cong \mathcal{K}_i(X, Y)$ in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$. Moreover, $\text{id}: \mathcal{K}_i(X, Y) \rightarrow k'(X, Y)$ is in \mathcal{E} and id^{-1} is in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$.

Let $k: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}^{OP} \times \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$ be the functor in the sense of Y. Kawahara and T. Kudo [3]. That is, let \mathcal{U} be some full subcategory of \mathcal{E} with $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$, then $k(X, Y)$ is the set $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ with the induced topology determined by the family of set maps $\{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{n^*} F(U, Y) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}, n \in \mathcal{E}(U, X)\}$. On the other hand, according to Proposition 2 we may take as $k'(X, Y)$ the set $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ with the induced topology determined by the bijection $\kappa: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}(X, Y) \rightarrow F(\kappa(X), \kappa(Y))$. Then $\text{id}: k'(X, Y) \rightarrow k(X, Y)$ is in \mathcal{E} and id^{-1} is in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$.

(Since $k'(X, -)$ and $k(X, -)$ are right adjoints for $- \times X: \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$, we can directly obtain that $k'(X, Y)$ is naturally isomorphic to $k(X, Y)$ in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$.) Let P be a one point space, then $k'(P, X) \cong X$ in $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}$, really $k'(P, X) = \kappa(X)$.

Finally, there are some examples of which hold the Axioms (a) and (b) (cf. [6]).

Let $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}$ be the full subcategory of \mathcal{E} consisting of all locally compact spaces. Combining Proposition 9 with the well known fact that any Y in $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}$ is \mathcal{E} -admissible, we get that any full subcategory of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}$ holds (a).

The followings hold (b) too.

- (i) The full subcategory consisting of a one point space only;
- (ii) the full subcategory consisting of all compact Hausdorff spaces;
- (iii) the full subcategory consisting of all locally compact Hausdorff spaces;
- (iv) $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}$ itself.

Acknowledgements

The author is sincerely grateful to Professor T. Kudo for his kindly leadings and encouragements. He is greatly indebted to Dr. Y. Kawahara for his useful suggestions. Finally he is thankful to Professor M. Shiraki and K. Ozeki for many useful discussions.

References

- [1] J. DUGUNDJI, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1965.
- [2] Y. KAWAHARA, *A construction of cartesian closed categories*, Tech. Rep. Yamaguchi Univ., 1(5) (1976) 579-583.
- [3] Y. KAWAHARA and T. KUDO, *A construction of closed categories related to k-spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., Ser. A, Math., 30 (1976) 113-121.
- [4] S. MACLANE, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [5] N.E. STEENROD, *A convenient category of topological spaces*, Mich. Math. J., 14 (1967) 133-150.
- [6] R.M. VOGT, *Convenient categories of topological spaces for homotopy theory*, Arch. Math., 22 (1971) 545-555.