

ON AN INEQUALITY OF RAY-CHAUDHURI AND WILSON FOR t -DESIGNS WITH GROUP ACTION

著者	ATSUMI Tsuyoshi
journal or publication title	鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学
volume	21
page range	33-38
別言語のタイトル	群作用を持つ t -デザインについての野田-Kreherの 不等式のある拡張
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00001763

ON AN INEQUALITY OF RAY-CHAUDHURI AND WILSON FOR t -DESIGNS WITH GROUP ACTION

Tsuyoshi ATSUMI*

(Received September 10, 1988)

Abstract

We shall extend an inequality of Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson for t -designs with group action.

1. Introduction and Summary

Throughout this paper X denotes a finite set of v elements called points and $\binom{X}{s}$ denotes the set of all subsets of X containing s points ; members of this set are called s -subsets of X .

Let \mathfrak{B} be a subset of $\binom{X}{k}$ (whose elements called blocks). A t -(v, k, λ) design (or simply a t -design) is a pair (X, \mathfrak{B}) satisfying the following requirement : any t -subset of X is contained in exactly λ blocks.

The cardinality of \mathfrak{B} will be called b . Note that the number of blocks which contain all of i points is

$$b_i = \lambda \binom{v-i}{t-i} / \binom{k-i}{t-i}.$$

It is well known (cf, Wilson [6]) that for $i + j \leq t$, the number of blocks of a t -(v, k, λ) design (X, \mathfrak{B}) which contain i given points but are disjoint with any of a set of j otherpoints is

$$b_{i,j} = \lambda \binom{v-i-j}{k-i-j} / \binom{v-t}{k-t}.$$

Notice that $b_i = b_i^0$ and $b = b_0 = b_0^0$.

For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the higher incidence matrix N_i of a t -design (X, \mathfrak{B}) is

* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890, Japan

the $\binom{v}{i} \times b$ whose rows are indexed by the i -subsets of X and whose columns are indexed by blocks, with the entry in row S and column β being 1 if $S \subset \beta$ and 0 otherwise. An automorphism group G of t -design (X, \mathfrak{B}) is a group satisfying the following : (1) G acts on X , (2) $\beta g \in \mathfrak{B}$ for all $g \in G, \beta \in \mathfrak{B}$, (3) if $x \in \beta$, then $xg \in \beta g$. Here we note that if G acts on X , then G acts on $\binom{X}{s}$ for any s .

Suppose that a finite group G acts on X and that P is a normal subgroup of G . Let Ω^P denote the set of points in Ω fixed by P . Then, Ω^P is G -invariant. Ω/G denotes the set of orbits of G on Ω . Noda [4] and independently Kreher [3] proved the following

Proposition 1. *Suppose that (X, \mathfrak{B}) is a $2s$ -(v, k, λ) design which admits an automorphism group G . If $v \geq k + s$, then the following holds :*

$$|\mathfrak{B}/G| > |\binom{X}{s}/G|.$$

This result is an extension of the following proposition which is proved by Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson [5] .

Proposition 2. *Suppose that (X, \mathfrak{B}) is a $2s$ -(v, k, λ) design with $v \geq k + s$. Then*

$$|\mathfrak{B}| \geq |\binom{X}{s}|.$$

But their proof suffices for the version stated below.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that (X, \mathfrak{B}) is a $2s$ -(v, k, λ) design with $v \geq k + s$. Let p be a prime number which does not divide b_s^i for $0 \leq i \leq s$. Then we have over p -element field F_p ,*

$$\text{rank } N_s = \binom{v}{s}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Proposition 1 as follows.

Theorem. *Suppose that (X, \mathfrak{B}) is a $2s$ -(v, k, λ) design which admits an automorphism group G . Let p be a prime number which does not divide b_s^i for $0 \leq i \leq s$ and let P be a normal p -subgroup of G . If $v \geq k + s$, then the following holds :*

$$|\mathfrak{B}^P/G| \geq \left| \binom{X}{s}^P/G \right|.$$

The above inequality is also an extension of Yoshida's inequality for 2-design [7].

2. Lemmas and Propositions

To prove Theorem we need some lemmas and propositions. In this section K denotes a p -element field F_p .

Lemma 1. *If a matrix A with entries in K is non-singular, then the inverse A^{-1} is expressible as a polynomial of the matrix A .*

Proof. We omit a proof.

Lemma 2. *Let N be a $m \times n$ matrix of rank m with entries in K . Then $\text{rank } N = \text{rank } NN^t$.*

Proof. We use the fact that $\text{rank } NN^t = \text{rank } N^tN$. So we must show that $\text{rank } N = \text{rank } N^tN$. It is clear that there exist two non-singular $n \times n$ matrices U, V such that

$$UN^tNV = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & a_i & 0 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

, where for every j $a_j \neq 0$.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the row vectors of UN^t . Then by (1) we see that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i are linearly independent. Since $\text{rank } UN^t = m$, we can find $m-i$ linearly independent vectors $v'_{i+1}, v'_{i+2}, \dots, v'_m$ in $\{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$. Let W be the matrix whose row vectors are $v_1, \dots, v_i, v'_{i+1}, \dots, v'_m$. Note that W is non-singular. By (1) we see that

$$WNV = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & a_i & 0 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this it is clear that $\text{rank } WNV = \text{rank } N = i$. Hence $i = m$.

In order to state Higman's result we shall follow the first section of Higman [2]. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and X, Y, Z , be finite non-empty sets. We define $M_R(X, Y)$ to be the totality of maps $A : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ and we call A an X by Y matrix over R . If $A \in M_R(X, Y)$ and $B \in M_R(Y, Z)$, then $AB \in M_R(X, Z)$ is defined by

$$AB(x, z) = \sum_{y \in Y} A(x, y)B(y, z) \quad (x \in X, z \in Z).$$

Then $M_R(X, X)$ is a R -algebra.

If \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} are partition of X, Y , respectively, then we say that $A \in M_R(X, Y)$ has property $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ if for all $S \in \mathcal{P}, T \in \mathcal{Q}$,

$$\sum_{t \in T} A(s, t) \text{ is independent of } s \in S.$$

If $A \in M_R(X, Y)$ has property $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, and $S \in \mathcal{P}, T \in \mathcal{Q}$, we set $\delta(A)(S, T) = \sum_{t \in T} A(s, t)$, for some $s \in S$. Higman [2] proved the following :

Proposition 4. *If $A \in M_R(X, Y)$ has property $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ and $B \in M_R(Y, Z)$ has property $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{U})$, then $AB \in M_R(X, Z)$ has property $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U})$ and $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)\delta(B)$.*

Corollary. $\mathfrak{A} = \{A \in M_R(X, X) \mid A \text{ has property } (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})\}$ is a subalgebra of $M_R(X, X)$, and the map δ is an algebra homomorphism of \mathfrak{A} onto a subalgebra $\underline{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $M_R(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$.

3. Proof of Theorem

Our proof is similar to that of Theorem [1]. Now we shall prove Theorem. Since P is a normal subgroup of G , $\binom{X}{s}^P$ and \mathfrak{B}^P are G -invariant.

Also $\binom{X}{s} - \binom{X}{s}^P$ and $\mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B}^P$ are G -invariant. Hence we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{X}{s}^P &= S_1^G \cup S_2^G \cup \cdots \cup S_m^G, \quad \mathfrak{B}^P = \beta_1^G \cup \beta_2^G \cup \cdots \cup \beta_l^G, \\ \binom{X}{s} - \binom{X}{s}^P &= S_{m+1}^G \cup S_{m+2}^G \cup \cdots \cup S_{m+m'}^G \quad (S_{m+i} \notin \binom{X}{s}^P), \\ \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B}^P &= \beta_{l+1}^G \cup \beta_{l+2}^G \cup \cdots \cup \beta_{l+l'}^G \quad (\beta_{l+j} \notin \mathfrak{B}^P), \end{aligned}$$

where S_i^G and β_j^G are the G -orbits of S_i and β_j , respectively. Clearly S_i^G ($m+1 \leq i \leq m+m'$) is an union of P -orbits and so is β_j^G ($l+1 \leq j \leq l+l'$). Now we note the following trivial lemma.

Lemma 3. $p \mid |S^P|$ for any $S \in \binom{X}{s} - \binom{X}{s}^P$ and $p \mid |\beta^P|$ for any $\beta \in \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B}^P$.

Hence we see that $p \mid |S_i^G|$ ($m+1 \leq i \leq m+m'$).

Also, we get $p \mid |\beta_j^G|$ ($l+1 \leq j \leq l+l'$).

Let N_s be the higher incidence matrix of the t -design (X, \mathfrak{B}) . The following Lemma 4 is important for our proof.

Lemma 4. *The number of 1's in every row of the submatrix $N_s \mid S_i^G \times \beta_j^G$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, l+1 \leq j \leq l+l'$) is a multiple of p , where $N_s \mid S_i^G \times \beta_j^G$ is the*

restriction of mapping N_s on $S_i^G \times \beta_j^G$.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 10 [1].

Similarly the following holds :

Lemma 5. *The number of 1's in every row of the submatrix $N_s^t |_{\beta_j^G \times S_i^G}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 1, m+1 \leq i \leq m+m'$) is a multiple of p .*

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1^G, S_2^G, \dots, S_{m+m'}^G\}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{\beta_1^G, \beta_2^G, \dots, \beta_{l+l'}^G\}$. \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{Q} are partitions of $\binom{X}{s}$ and \mathfrak{B} , respectively. It is easy to prove the following :

Lemma 6. *The higher incidence matrix N_s of the t -design (X, \mathfrak{B}) has property $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q})$. Also N_s^t has property $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})$.*

By the above lemma we may apply δ in Proposition 4 to N_s and N_s^t .

From now on we consider integral matrices as ones with entries in the p -element field F_p . Let $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{S_1^G, \dots, S_m^G\}$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{S_{m+1}^G, \dots, S_{m+m'}^G\}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{\beta_1^G, \dots, \beta_l^G\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{\beta_{l+1}^G, \dots, \beta_{l+l'}^G\}$. From Lemma 4, $\delta(N_s)$ has the form

$$\delta(N_s) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

, where A_{11} is a \mathcal{S}_1 by \mathcal{Q}_1 matrix, and A_{22} is a \mathcal{S}_2 by \mathcal{Q}_2 matrix. From Lemma 5, $\delta(N_s^t)$ has the form

$$\delta(N_s^t) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & 0 \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

, where B_{11} is a \mathcal{Q}_1 by \mathcal{S}_1 matrix, and B_{22} is a \mathcal{Q}_2 by \mathcal{S}_2 matrix. By applying Proposition 4, we obtain that $N_s N_s^t$ has property $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$

$$\text{and } \delta(N_s) \delta(N_s^t) = \delta(N_s N_s^t). \quad (4)$$

Put $M = N_s N_s^t$. From Proposition 3 and Lemma 2, it follows that M is non-singular. By Lemma 1 $M^{-1} = f(M)$, where $f(x)$ is a polynomial. By Corollary M^{-1} has $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ property. By applying Proposition 4 to $I = MM^{-1}$, we obtain that $\delta(I) = \delta(M) \delta(M^{-1})$. (The notation " I " denotes the identity matrix.) It is clear that $\delta(I) =$ the identity matrix of size $m + m'$. Thus $\delta(M)$ is non-singular. From (2), (3) and (4) it follows that $A_{11} B_{11}$ is non-singular. Then $\text{rank } A_{11} B_{11} = m$. A_{11} must have rank at least m . Since A_{11} has size $m \times l$, we have

$m \leq l$, which proves Theorem.

References

- [1] T. Atsumi, An elementary proof of Yoshida's inequality for block designs which admit automorphism groups, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [2] D. G. Higman, Combinatorial considerations about permutation groups, Mathematical Institute, Oxford, 1972.
- [3] D. L. Kreher, An incidence algebra for t -designs with automorphisms, J. Combinatorial Theory Series A, **42** (1986), 239-251.
- [4] R. Noda, Some inequalities for t -designs, Osaka J. Math. **13**(1976), 361-366.
- [5] D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. M. Wilson, On t -designs, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 737-744.
- [6] R. M. Wilson, Incidence matrices of t -designs, Linear Algebra Appl. **46** (1982), 73-82.
- [7] T. Yoshida, Fisher's inequality for block designs with finite group action, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math. **34** (1987), 513-544.