

# Notes on enumerating embeddings of unorientable $n$ -manifolds in Euclidean $(2n-1)$ -space for odd $n$

Tsutomu YASUI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education,  
Yamagata University, Yamagata, Japan

(Received August 24, 1983)

## Abstract

Denote by  $[M \subset R^m]$  the set of isotopy classes of embeddings of an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  in Euclidean  $m$ -space. In topology, the computation of this set is an interesting subject. The set  $[M \subset R^{2n-1}]$  has been studied when  $n$  is even or  $M$  is orientable [15]. Hence, in this article, we shall study the set  $[M \subset R^{2n-1}]$  for an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  for which  $n$  is odd and  $M$  is unorientable. Further we compute  $[P(m, n) \subset R^{2m+4n-1}]$  for the Dold manifold of type  $(m, n)$  of dimension  $m + 2n$ , both  $m$  and  $n$  being odd.

## § 1. Introduction

Throughout this note, “ $n$ -manifold” and “embedding” will mean closed connected differentiable manifold of dimension  $n$  and differentiable embedding, respectively. Denote  $[M \subset R^m]$  the set of isotopy classes of embeddings of a manifold  $M$  in Euclidean  $m$ -space  $R^m$ . The set  $[M \subset R^{2n-1}]$  for an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  has been investigated when  $n$  is even or  $M$  is orientable [15]. In this note the set  $[M \subset R^{2n-1}]$  is studied for an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  for which  $n$  is odd and  $M$  is unorientable, under the following condition :

**Condition (1.1).**  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of some copies of the group of order 2,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Theorem A.** Let  $n$  be odd and  $n > 6$  and assume that an unorientable  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfies Condition (1.1) above. Then there is a bijection

$$[M \subset R^{2n-1}] = (1-t^*)[H^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})] \times H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \times H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^{2n-1}(M^*; \mathbb{Z}_2)/Sq^2p_2H^{2n-3}(M^*; \mathbb{Z}[v]),$$

where the map  $t: M \times M \rightarrow M \times M$  is defined by interchanging factors, the space  $M^* = (M \times M - \Delta M)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  ( $\Delta M$  is the diagonal in  $M \times M$ ) is the reduced

symmetric product of  $M$ ,  $v$  is the first Stiefel-Whitney class of the double covering  $M \times M - \Delta M \rightarrow M^*$ , and  $Z[x]$  for  $x \in H_1(X; Z_2)$  is a sheaf of coefficients over  $X$ , locally isomorphic to  $Z$ , twisted by  $x$ . The following information is sufficient to determine  $H^{2n-1}(M^*; Z_2)/Sq^2\tilde{\rho}_2H^{2n-3}(M^*; Z[v])$ :

- (i) the integral cohomology groups  $H^i(M; Z)$  for  $n-3 \leq i \leq n$ ,
- (ii) the actions of  $Sq^2$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-3, n-2$ ,
- (iii) the actions of  $w_1(M)$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-3, n-2$ .

**Remark.** If  $n$  is odd and  $n > 4$ , then any  $n$ -manifold can be embedded in Euclidean  $(2n-1)$ -space, cf. [8]. Moreover the group  $H^{2n-1}(M^*; Z_2)$  is isomorphic to  $H^{n-1}(M; Z_2)$  by [11, § 2].

The Dold manifold  $P(m, n)$  of type  $(m, n)$  of dimension  $m + 2n$ , both  $m$  and  $n$  being odd, satisfies the condition (1.1) above (see § 4).

**Theorem B.** Assume that both  $m$  and  $n$  are odd and that  $m + 2n > 6$ . Then

$$\# [P(m, n) \subset R^{2m+4n-1}] = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } m \geq 3, \\ \infty & \text{if } m = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\#S$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $S$ .

**Remark.** For all the other Dold manifolds  $P(m, n)$  with  $m + 2n > 5$ , it has been proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \# [P(m, n) \subset R^{2m+4n-1}] &= 16 && \text{if } n \equiv 3(4), \text{ either } m = 2 \text{ or } m \equiv 0(4) \text{ and } m > 0, \\ &= 8 && \text{if } m \equiv 0(2), n \equiv 1(4), m > 0 \text{ or if } m \equiv 2(4), n \equiv 3(4), m \geq 4, \\ &= 4 && \text{if } m \geq 2, n \equiv 0(2), n > 0 \text{ or if } m \equiv 3(4), n = 0, \\ &= 2 && \text{if } m = 1, n \equiv 0(2), n > 0 \text{ or if } m \not\equiv 3(4), m \neq 2^r, n = 0, \\ &= \infty && \text{if } m = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact  $[P(m, n) \subset R^{2m+4n-1}]$  for  $m, n > 0$  with  $m \equiv 0(2)$  or  $n \equiv 0(2)$  has been proved in [15, Proposition 5] and that for  $m = 0$  or  $n = 0$  has been given, e.g., in [4, Theorem (2.4)], [1, p.299], [8, Theorem 0.1] and [13, Theorem C], because  $P(m, 0)$  and  $P(0, n)$  are the real and the complex projective spaces, respectively.

As for the existence of embeddings of  $P(m, n)$  in Euclidean  $(2m+4n-1)$ -space, we have the following

**Theorem C.** Assume that  $m + 2n > 4$ . Then the Dold manifold  $P(m, n)$  of type  $(m, n)$  is embedded in Euclidean  $(2m+4n-1)$ -space if and only if  $(m, n) \neq (2^r, 0)$  for  $r \geq 3$ .

This note is essentially a sequel to the paper entitled "Enumerating embeddings of  $n$ -manifolds in Euclidean  $(2n-1)$ -space" [15]. Thus we shall use the same definitions and notations as those of [15].

The remainder of this note is organized as follows: In § 2, the cohomology groups  $H^{2n-2}(M^*; Z[v])$  and  $\tilde{p}_2 H^{2n-3}(M^*; Z[v])$  are calculated for an odd dimensional manifold  $M$  satisfying the condition (1.1) above. The proofs of Theorems A, B and C are given in §§ 3-5, respectively.

## § 2. Cohomology of $M^*$

We begin this section by explaining notations.

$Z_r \langle a \rangle$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $r$ ,  $Z_r$ , generated by  $a$  ( $r \leq \infty$ ).

$Z_r[x]$  for  $x \in H_1(X; Z_2)$  denotes the sheaf of coefficients over  $X$ , locally isomorphic to  $Z_r$ , twisted by  $x$  ( $r \leq \infty$ ), and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_r &: H^i(X; Z_s[x]) \longrightarrow H^i(X; Z_r[x]) \quad (s \leq \infty, s \equiv 0(r)), \\ \tilde{\beta}_r &: H^{i-1}(X; Z_r[x]) \longrightarrow H^i(X; Z[x]) \quad (r < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

denote the reduction mod  $r$  and the Bockstein operator, respectively, twisted by  $x$ . Then  $\tilde{\rho}_r$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_r$  for  $x = 0$  are the ordinary ones  $\rho_r$  and  $\beta_r$ . Moreover the following relations are well-known (e.g. [2] and [10]);

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\rho}_2 \tilde{\beta}_2 = Sq^1 + x, \quad \rho_2 \beta_2 = Sq^1.$$

Let  $M$  be an unorientable  $n$ -manifold and assume that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^n(M; Z) &= Z_2 \langle \tilde{\beta}_2 M' \rangle \quad (Sq^1 M' = M \text{ is the generator of } H^n(M; Z_2)), \\ H^m(M; Z) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r(m)} Z_{r(m,i)} \langle x_{m,i} \rangle \quad (\text{direct sum}) \text{ for } m \leq n-1, \\ x_{m,i} &= \beta_{r(m,i)} y_{m,i} \quad (y_{m,i} \in H^{m-1}(M; Z_{r(m,i)})) \text{ for } \alpha(m) < i \leq \gamma(m), \end{aligned}$$

where the order  $r(m, i)$  is infinite for  $1 \leq i \leq \alpha(m)$ , a power of 2 for  $\alpha(m) < i \leq \beta(m)$  and a power of odd prime for  $\beta(m) < i \leq \gamma(m)$ , and if  $\alpha(m) < i < j \leq \gamma(m)$  then either  $(r(m, i), r(m, j)) = 1$  or  $r(m, i) \mid r(m, j)$  holds.

For brevity,

(2.2)' denote  $\alpha(m)$ ,  $\beta(m)$ ,  $\gamma(m)$ ,  $r(m, i)$ ,  $x_{m,i}$  and  $y_{m,i}$  in (2.2), respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha, \beta, \gamma, r(i), x_i \text{ and } y_i & \quad \text{when } m = n-1, \\ \alpha', \beta', \gamma', r'(i), x'_i \text{ and } y'_i & \quad \text{when } m = n-2, \\ \alpha'', \beta'', \gamma'', r''(i), x''_i \text{ and } y''_i & \quad \text{when } m = n-3. \end{aligned}$$

If an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfies the condition (1.1) above, then so does  $H^{n-2}(M;$

$Z[w_1(M)]$ ) by Poincaré duality, and it is expressed in the form

$$(2.3) \quad H^{n-2}(M; Z[w_1(M)]) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq a} Z_2 \langle \tilde{\beta}_2 z_i \rangle \quad (z_i \in H^{n-3}(M; Z_2)).$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $n$  be odd and  $n > 4$ . If  $M$  is an unorientable  $n$ -manifold satisfying the condition (2.3), then*

(1) *there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow [H^{n-2}(M; Z_2) + \sigma(H^{n-1}(M; Z) \otimes H^{n-1}(M; Z))] \longrightarrow H^{2n-2}(M^*; Z[v]) \longrightarrow H_1(M; Z) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\rho}_2 H^{2n-3}(M^*; Z[v]) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 5} H'_j + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq a} Z_2 \langle \rho(u \otimes ((Sq^1 + w_1(M))z_i)^2 + U(1 \otimes z_i)) \rangle,$$

where  $\sigma = 1 - t^*$ ,  $t$  being defined by interchanging factors, and

$$\begin{aligned} H'_1 &= \{ \rho \sigma(\rho_2 x \otimes M) \mid x \in H^{n-3}(M; Z) \}, \\ H'_2 &= \{ \rho \sigma(\rho_2 x \otimes \rho_2 y) \mid x \in H^{n-2}(M; Z), y \in H^{n-1}(M; Z) \}, \\ H'_3 &= \sum_{\alpha < i < j \leq \beta} Z_2 \langle \rho \sigma(\rho_2 x_i \otimes \rho_2 y_j + (r(j)/r(i))\rho_2 y_i \otimes \rho_2 x_j) \rangle, \\ H'_4 &= \sum_{\alpha' < k \leq \beta'} Z_2 \langle \rho \sigma(\rho_2 y'_k \otimes M + Sq^1 \rho_2 y'_k \otimes M') \rangle, \\ H'_5 &= \sum_{\alpha < i \leq \beta} Z_2 \langle \rho \sigma(\rho_2 y_i \otimes \rho_2 x_i) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(Here the description of the elements in  $H^*(M^*; Z_2)$  is due to [11, § 2].)

**Proof.** The  $Z_2$ -action on  $M \times M$ , defined via the map  $t$ , determines two quotient spaces

$$\Lambda^2 M = (M \times M)/Z_2, \quad \Delta M = (\Delta M)/Z_2.$$

Hence  $\Lambda^2 M - \Delta M = M^*$  holds and there is an exact sequence (e. g., [15, Lemma 1.3])

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow H^{2n-3}(\Lambda^2 M, \Delta M; Z[v]) &\xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2n-3}(M^*; Z[v]) \\ &\xrightarrow{j^*} H^{2n-3}(PM; Z[v]) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{2n-2}(\Lambda^2 M, \Delta M; Z[v]) \\ &\xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2n-2}(M^*; Z[v]) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^{2n-2}(PM; Z[v]) \longrightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $PM$  is the tangent projective bundle over  $M$  and  $j^*v = v$ ,  $j$  being an embedding  $PM \subset M^*$  (see [14, § 1]).

By [9, 9.2 Proposition and its proof], there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad \theta : H^{n-1}(M ; Z[w_1(M)]) + H^n(M ; Z_2) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2n-2}(PM ; Z[v]), \\
 \theta' : H^{n-2}(M ; Z[w_1(M)]) + H^{n-1}(M ; Z_2) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2n-3}(PM ; Z[v]), \\
 \theta'(x) = \beta_2(v^{n-3}x) &\text{ for } x \in H^{n-1}(M ; Z_2), \\
 \tilde{\rho}_2\theta'(y) = \left( \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2} v^{n-i-1}w_i(M) \right) \tilde{\rho}_2(y)^* &\text{ for } y \in H^{n-2}(M ; Z[w_1(M)]).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $z \in H^{n-3}(M ; Z_2)$ , we see easily

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\rho}_2 j^* \tilde{\beta}_2 \rho(u^2 \otimes z^2) &= \tilde{\rho}_2 \tilde{\beta}_2 j^* \rho(u^2 \otimes z^2), \\
 &= (Sq^1 + v) \left( \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} v^{n-i-1} Sq^i z \right) && \text{by (2.1) and [11, § 2],} \\
 &= (v^{n-1} + v^{n-2}w_1(M) + v^{n-3}w_2(M))(w_1(M) + Sq^1 z) && \text{by [13, (2.5)],} \\
 &= \tilde{\rho}_2 \theta' \tilde{\beta}_2(z) && \text{by (2.6) and (2.1).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\rho}_2$  is a monomorphism on  $H^{2n-3}(PM ; Z[v])$  by (2.6) and (2.3), we have

$$(2.7) \quad j^* \tilde{\beta}_2 \rho(u^2 \otimes z_i^2) = \theta' \tilde{\beta}_2(z_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \delta\theta'(x) = \tilde{\beta}_2(v^{n-2}Ax) \quad \text{for } x \in H^{n-1}(M ; Z_2)$$

by (2.6) and [14, Lemma 1.5]. Therefore it follows from (2.7–8), together with [14, Lemma 3.2(4) and Proposition 5.4], that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow G_1 + G_3 + G_7 &\xrightarrow{i^*} H^{2n-2}(M^* ; Z[v]) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}j^*} H^{n-1}(M ; Z[w_1(M)]) \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

is an exact sequence and that  $j^* : H^{2n-3}(M^* ; Z[v]) \rightarrow j^* H^{2n-3}(M^* ; Z[v])$  is a split epimorphism. Hence and from [14, Proposition 5.5] and [15, Lemmas 2.8–9], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad \tilde{\rho}_2 H^{2n-3}(M^* ; Z[v]) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 5} i^* H_j \\
 &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq a} Z_2 \langle \rho(u \otimes ((Sq^1 + w_1(M))z_i)^2 + U(1 \otimes z_i)) \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 3, 7$ ) and  $H_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, 5$ ) are the same as those in [14, Propositions 5.4–5]. By the definitions of  $G_i$  and  $H_j$  [14] and by [15, Lemma 3.3], we see easily that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1 + G_3 &\xrightarrow{\cong} (1 - t^*)(H^{n-1}(M ; Z) \otimes H^{n-1}(M ; Z)), \\
 G_7 &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^{n-2}(M ; Z_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

---

\* ) This relation is different from that of Rigdon [9], but his relation can be modified in such a way as was stated in (2.6)

and equalities

$$i^*H_j = H'_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 5.$$

Hence and from the fact that  $H^{n-1}(M; Z[w_1(M)])$  is isomorphic to  $H_1(M; Z)$  by Poincaré duality, the theorem follows.

### § 3. Proof of Theorem A.

The following proposition plays an important role for proving Theorem A.

**Proposition 3.1** (e.g., [13, Proposition 10.1]). *Let  $n$  be odd and  $n > 6$ . Then for an  $n$ -manifold  $M$ , there is a bijection*

$$[M \subset R^{2n-1}] = H^{2n-2}(M^*; Z[v]) \times H^{2n-1}(M^*; Z_2)/Sq^2\bar{\rho}_2 H^{2n-3}(M^*; Z[v]).$$

Because for an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfying the condition (2.3), the group  $H^{2n-2}(M^*; Z[v])$  is given in Theorem 2.4(1), it is sufficient to study Coker  $Sq^2\bar{\rho}_2$ . We now recall the following fact.

**Lemma 3.2** (Thomas [11, Proposition 2.9]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$\rho\sigma(\otimes M) : H^{n-1}(M; Z_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2n-1}(M^*; Z_2),$$

defined by

$$\rho\sigma(\otimes M)(x) = \rho\sigma(x \otimes M) \quad \text{for } x \in H^{n-1}(M; Z_2).$$

By the argument similar to that used in proving [15, Lemma 7.2], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $n$  be odd and  $n > 6$  and assume that  $M$  is an unorientable  $n$ -manifold satisfying (2.3) above. Then  $\text{Im } Sq^2\bar{\rho}_2$  is a  $Z_2$ -vector space generated by the elements listed below :*

- (1)  $\rho\sigma(Sq^2\rho_2x \otimes M)$  for  $x \in H^{n-3}(M; Z)$ ,
- (2)  $\rho\sigma(Sq^2\rho_2x \otimes \rho_2y)$  for  $x \in H^{n-2}(M; Z)$ ,  $y \in H^{n-1}(M; Z)$ ,
- (3)  $\rho\sigma(\rho_2x_i \otimes Sq^2\rho_2y_j + (r(j)/r(i))Sq^2\rho_2y_i \otimes \rho_2x_j)$  for  $\alpha < i < j \leq \beta$ ,
- (4)  $\rho\sigma(Sq^2\rho_2y'_k \otimes M + Sq^2Sq^1\rho_2y'_k \otimes M')$  for  $\alpha' < k \leq \beta'$ ,
- (5)  $\rho\sigma(Sq^2\rho_2y_i \otimes \rho_2x_i)$  for  $\alpha < i \leq \beta$ ,
- (6)  $\rho\sigma(w_1(M)Sq^1z_i \otimes M)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a$ ,

where  $\sigma = 1 + t^*$ .

First notice that the  $Z_2$ -base of  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  and the action of  $Sq^1$  on it are

completely determined by the integral cohomology structure (2.2). In particular

$$S = \{\rho_2 x'_i, \rho_2 y'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq \beta'', \alpha' < j \leq \beta'\}$$

is a  $Z_2$ -base of  $H^{n-3}(M; Z_2)$ . Thus if  $z_i$  can be expressed explicitly as a linear combination of the elements in  $S$  and if the action of  $w_1(M)$  on  $H^{n-2}(M; Z_2)$  is given, then  $w_1(M)Sq^1 z_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) in Lemma 3.3(6) can be expressed explicitly as a linear combination of the elements of the base of  $H^{n-1}(M; Z_2)$ . Here, by (2.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \tilde{\beta}_2 &= \text{Ker } (Sq^1 + w_1(M)) && \text{in } H^{n-3}(M; Z_2), \\ a &= \beta'' + \beta' - \alpha' - \dim_{Z_2} \text{Ker } (Sq^1 + w_1(M)). \end{aligned}$$

If the action of  $w_1(M)$  on  $H^{n-3}(M; Z_2)$  is given, then we can choose the elements  $z_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ), each of which is expressed explicitly as a linear combination of elements in  $S$  above, so as to satisfy (2.3). Therefore if the actions of  $w_1(M)$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-2, n-3$  are given explicitly, then  $w_1(M)Sq^1 z_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) can be determined completely. Hence and by the argument similar to that used in proving [15, Corollary 7.3], we have the following

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $n$  be odd and  $n \geq 7$  and let  $M$  be an unorientable  $n$ -manifold whose integral cohomology groups  $H^i(M; Z)$  for  $n-3 \leq i \leq n$  are given as in (2.2). Moreover assume that  $H_2(M; Z)$  satisfies the condition (1.1) above. Then the following information is sufficient to determine  $\text{Im } Sq^2 \tilde{\rho}_2$ :*

- (i) *the actions of  $Sq^2$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-3, n-2$ ,*
- (ii) *the actions of  $w_1(M)$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-3, n-2$ .*

**Remark.** The actions of  $Sq^2$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-3, n-2$  are given, e.g., by [1, pp. 273-4] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Sq^2 x &= (w_2(M) + w_1(M)^2)x && \text{for } x \in H^{n-2}(M; Z_2), \\ Sq^2 x &= (w_2(M) + w_1(M)^2 + w_1(M)Sq^1)x && \text{for } x \in H^{n-3}(M; Z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can replace the information (i) in Corollary 3.4 by

- (i)' *the actions of  $w_2(M)$  on  $H^i(M; Z_2)$  for  $i = n-3, n-2$ .*

Theorem A follows from Proposition 3.1, Theorem 2.4(1) and Corollary 3.4.

#### § 4. Proof of Theorem B

The Dold manifold  $P(m, n)$  of type  $(m, n)$ , introduced by Dold [3], is the quotient space obtained from  $S^m \times CP^n$  by identifying  $(x, z)$  with  $(-x, \bar{z})$ ,  $S^m$  and  $CP^n$  being the usual  $m$ -sphere and the complex projective space of complex dimension

$n$ . In [3],  $P(m, n)$  is given a cell-decomposition with  $k$ -cell  $(C_i, D_j)$  for each pair  $(i, j)$ ,  $i, j \geq 0$ , for which  $i + 2j = k \leq m + 2n$ , and the boundary operator satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \partial(C_i, D_j) = \begin{cases} (1 + (-1)^{i+j})(C_{i-1}, D_j) & \text{for } i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $C^i D^j$  denote the cochain which assigns 1 to  $(C_i, D_j)$  and 0 to all the other  $(i + 2j)$ -cells or its integral cohomology class if it is a cocycle, and let  $c^i d^j$  denote the mod 2 cohomology class defined by the cochain  $C^i D^j$ . Then it has been shown in [3] that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^*(P(m, n); Z_2) &= Z_2[c]/(c^{m+1}) \otimes Z_2[d]/(d^{n+1}), \\ Sq^1 d &= cd, \\ \sum_{i \geq 0} w_i(P(m, n)) &= (1 + c)^m (1 + c + d)^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c = c^1 d^0, \quad d = c^0 d^1.$$

In particular we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Sq^1 d^j &= jcd^{j+1}, \quad Sq^2 d^j = jd^{j+1} + \binom{j}{2} c^2 d^j, \\ w_1(P(m, n)) &= (m + n + 1)c. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this section, assume that  $m + 2n > 6$ ,  $m \equiv 1 (2)$  and  $n \equiv 1 (2)$ . The last two are equivalent to the condition

$$w_1(P(m, n)) = c \neq 0, \quad \dim P(m, n) = m + 2n \equiv 1 (2).$$

Under this assumption, the cohomology groups of  $P(m, n)$  can easily be calculated by using (4.1-3) and the Bockstein exact sequence.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $n \equiv 1 (2)$  and  $m \equiv 1 (2)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{m+2n-1}(P(m, n); Z) &= 0, \\ H^{m+2n-1}(P(m, n); Z[c]) &= \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{if } m \geq 3, \\ Z & \text{if } m = 1, \end{cases} \\ H^{m+2n-1}(P(m, n); Z_2) &= Z_2 \langle c^{m-1} d^n \rangle, \\ H^{m+2n-2}(P(m, n); Z) &\ni \beta_2(c^{m-3} d^n) \quad \text{if } m \geq 3, \\ H^{m+2n-2}(P(m, n); Z[c]) &= Z_2, \\ H^{m+2n-2}(P(m, n); Z_2) &= Z_2 + Z_2 \quad \text{if } m \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.4, it follows that

$$(4.5) \quad \# H^{2m+4n-2}(P(m, n)^*; Z[v]) = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } m \geq 3, \\ \infty & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } m = 1, \end{cases}$$



and that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\sigma(c^{m-3}d^n \otimes c^m d^n + c^{m-2}d^n \otimes c^{m-1}d^n) \\ \in \bar{p}_2 H^{2m+4n-3}(P(m, n)^* ; Z[v]) \quad \text{if } m \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.2–3), we have

$$Sq^2 \rho\sigma(c^{m-3}d^n \otimes c^m d^n + c^{m-2}d^n \otimes c^{m-1}d^n) = \rho\sigma(c^{m-1}d^n \otimes c^m d^n).$$

Hence and from Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$Sq^2 \bar{p}_2 H^{2m+4n-3}(P(m, n)^* ; Z[v]) = H^{2m+4n-1}(P(m, n)^* ; Z_2) \quad \text{if } m \geq 3,$$

which, together with (4.5), establishes Theorem B.

### § 5. Proof of Theorem C

R. D. Rigdon [9, 11, 24 Theorem] has proved that an unorientable  $n$ -manifold  $M$  ( $n > 4$ ) is embedded in Euclidean  $(2n-1)$ -space if and only if its  $(n-1)$ -th mod 2 normal Stiefel-Whitney class  $\bar{w}_{n-1}(M)$  vanishes. On the other hand, it is known (e.g. [5, Theorem (1.1)] and [8]) that an orientable  $n$ -manifold  $M$  ( $n > 4$ ) is always embedded in Euclidean  $(2n-1)$ -space and  $\bar{w}_{n-1}(M) = 0$  for an orientable  $n$ -manifold  $M$ . Thus we have the following

**Theorem 5.1.** *For  $n > 4$ , an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  is embedded in Euclidean  $(2n-1)$ -space if and only if its  $(n-1)$ -th mod 2 normal Stiefel-Whitney class vanishes.*

Therefore to prove Theorem C, it is sufficient to calculate  $\bar{w}_{m+2n-1}(P(m, n))$ . By (4.2), we have a relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \geq 0} \bar{w}_i(P(m, n)) &= (1+c)^{-m}(1+c+d)^{-1-n} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m-1+i}{i} c^i \right) \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n+j}{j} (c+d)^j \right), \end{aligned}$$

and in particular

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{w}_{m+2n-1}(P(m, n)) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} \binom{2n+i}{n+i} \binom{n+i}{i} \binom{2m-2-i}{m-1-i} c^{m-1} d^n.$$

If  $n=0$ , then a simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad \bar{w}_{m-1}(P(m, 0)) &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} \binom{2m-2-i}{m-1-i} c^{m-1} \\ &= \binom{2m-1}{m-1} c^{m-1} = \begin{cases} c^{m-1} & \text{if } m = 2^r, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq 2^r. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise let

$$n = 2^r + s \quad (0 \leq s < 2^r, 0 \leq r).$$

We can put

$$i = 2^{r+1}j + k \quad (0 \leq j, 0 \leq k < 2^{r+1}).$$

If  $k + s < 2^r$ , then  $k + 2s < k + s + 2^r < 2^{r+1}$  and so

$$\binom{2n+i}{n+i} = \binom{2^{r+1}(j+1)+2s+k}{2^{r+1}j+2^r+s+k} \equiv \binom{j+1}{j} \binom{2s+k}{2^r+k+s} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and if  $k + s \geq 2^r$ , then  $0 \leq k + s - 2^r < k < 2^{r+1}$  and so

$$\binom{n+i}{i} = \binom{2^{r+1}(j+1)+s+k-2^r}{2^{r+1}j + k} \equiv \binom{j+1}{j} \binom{s+k-2^r}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

The above two relations, together with (5.2), imply

$$(5.4) \quad \bar{w}_{m+2n-1}(P(m, n)) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

Therefore Theorem 5.1 and (5.3–4) imply Theorem C.

#### References

- [ 1 ] D. R. Bausum, Embeddings and immersions of manifolds in Euclidean space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 213 (1975), 263–303.
- [ 2 ] R. Greenblatt, The twisted Bockstein coboundary, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 61 (1965), 295–297.
- [ 3 ] A. Dold, Erzeugende der Thomschen Algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$ , *Math. Z.*, 65 (1956), 25–35.
- [ 4 ] A. Haefliger, Plongements différentiables dans le domaine stable, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 37 (1962), 155–176.
- [ 5 ] A. Haefliger and M. W. Hirsch, On the existence and classification of differentiable embeddings, *Topology* 2 (1963), 129–135.
- [ 6 ] L. L. Larmore, The cohomology of  $(A^2X, AX)$ , *Canad. J. Math.*, 25 (1973), 908–921.
- [ 7 ] L. L. Larmore and R. D. Rigdon, Enumerating immersions and embeddings of projective spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 64 (1976), 471–492.
- [ 8 ] W. S. Massey and F. P. Peterson, On the dual Stiefel-Whitney classes on a manifold, *Bol. Mat. Soc. Mexicana* 8 (1963), 1–13.
- [ 9 ] R. D. Rigdon, Immersions and embeddings of manifolds in Euclidean space, Thesis, Univ. California at Berkeley, 1970.
- [10] H. Samelson, A note on the Bockstein operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15 (1964), 450–453.
- [11] E. Thomas, Embedding manifolds in Euclidean space, *Osaka J. Math.*, 13 (1976), 163–186.
- [12] J. J. Ucci, Immersions and embeddings of Dold manifolds, *Topology* 4 (1965),

Notes on enumerating embeddings of unorientable  $n$ -manifolds

283—293.

- [13] T. Yasui, The enumeration of liftings in fibrations and the embedding problem I, Hiroshima Math. J., 6 (1976), 227—255.
- [14] T. Yasui, On the map defined by regarding embeddings as immersions, Hiroshima Math. J., 13 (1983), 457—476.
- [15] T. Yasui, Enumerating embeddings of  $n$ -manifolds in Euclidean  $(2n-1)$ -space, preprint.