

COMPACTIFICATION OF T0-SPACES

著者	SHIRAKI Mitsunobu
journal or publication title	鹿児島大学理学部紀要
volume	1
page range	9-12
別言語のタイトル	T0空間のコンパクト化
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00003943

COMPACTIFICATION OF T_0 -SPACES

By

Mitsunobu SHIRAKI

(Received August 31, 1968)

R. S. PIERCE has given a beautiful characterization of compact T_1 -spaces by introducing a concept which is called a covering ideal (see [1]).

In this paper, we shall consider the compactification of T_0 -spaces generalizing his method.

DEFINITION 1. Let \mathbf{C} be the collection of all open covers of a topological space X . Then an open filter Y of X is said to be under \mathbf{C} if $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for each $Z \in \mathbf{C}$.

DEFINITION 2. An order relation in the collection of open filters in X is defined as follows: $Y_1 < Y_2$ if and only if for each $U \in Y_1$ there is at least a $V \in Y_2$ such that $U \subset V$.

LEMMA (PIERCE). Suppose X is a T_0 -space which has more than two elements, and \mathbf{C} is the collection of all finite open covers of X . Suppose \mathcal{D} is a collection of finite systems of open sets satisfying the following conditions.

- (a) If $P, Q \in \mathcal{D}$, then $P \cup Q \in \mathcal{D}$.
- (b) $\mathbf{C} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$.

Then there is an open filter Y which has the following properties.

- (i) Y is minimal in the set of open filters under \mathbf{C} .
- (ii) $P \cap Y = \emptyset$ for each $P \in \mathcal{D}$.

THEOREM. Suppose X is a T_0 -space which has more than two elements. Then there are a space S and an imbedding $f : X \rightarrow S$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) S is a compact T_0 -space.
- (2) $f(X)$ is every where dense in S .
- (3) If X is a compact T_0 -space, then $f(X) = S$.
- (4) Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow T$ be a continuous mapping of X to a compact Hausdorff space T . Then the mapping $\varphi \circ f^{-1} : f(X) \rightarrow T$ is extended to a continuous mapping of S to T .

PROOF. Let \mathbf{C} be the collection of all finite open covers of X , and X_x be the open

neighborhood filter of an element x of X .

Consider a set

$$S = \{X_x \mid x \in X\} \cup \{F \mid F \text{ is open filter} \mid F \text{ is minimal under } \mathbf{C}\}.$$

The topology in S is defined by taking the family of the sets $S(U)$ such that

$$S(U) = \{Y \in S \mid U \in Y\},$$

where U is open in X , as an open basis.

1° The space S is T_0 . To see this we suppose that $Y, Z \in S$ and $X \not\cong Z$. Then there is an open set U such that $U \in Y$ and $U \notin Z$. So $S(U) \ni Y$ and $S(U) \not\ni Z$. Hence S is a T_0 -space.

2° We define a mapping $f : X \rightarrow S$ by $f(x) = X_x$ for $x \in X$. Since X is a T_0 -space, f is a bijection such that

$$f(U) \ni X_x \Leftrightarrow U \ni x \Leftrightarrow U \in X_x \Leftrightarrow X_x \in S(U),$$

for any open set U . Since

$$f(U) = S(U) \cap f(X),$$

f is an imbedding.

3° For each $Y \in S$ and $U \in Y$, we have $S(U) \ni Y$. While $x \in U$ implies $X_x \ni U$ and $X_x \in S(U)$, hence

$$S(U) \cap f(X) \neq \phi.$$

Therefore $f(X)$ is dense in S .

4° S is a compact space. To prove this, let $\{S(U_\lambda) \mid U_\lambda \in Q\}$ be any open cover of S . And let \mathcal{D} be the collection of all nonempty finite subsets of Q . S is compact if $\mathbf{C} \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \phi$ is proved. Suppose

$$\mathbf{C} \cap \mathcal{D} = \phi.$$

Then clearly \mathcal{D} satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) of the previous lemma. Hence there is an open filter Y satisfying (i) and (ii) of the lemma. From (i) and the definition of S , $Y \in S$ follows. And from (ii) we have

$$Q \ni U \Rightarrow Y \cap \{U\} = \phi \Rightarrow Y \not\ni U \Rightarrow S(U) \not\ni Y.$$

This contradicts to the assumption that $\{S(U_\lambda) \mid U_\lambda \in Q\}$ is a cover of S . Therefore S is a compact space.

5° If X is a compact T_0 -space, then $f(X) = S$. To see this we suppose $f(X) \neq S$, then there is a $Y \in S$ such that $Y \not\cong X_x$ for any X_x . Since Y is minimal under \mathbf{C} , we have $Y \not\ni X_x$. Hence there is a neighborhood $U(x)$ of x such that $X_x \ni U(x)$ and $Y \not\ni U(x)$.

Then

$$K = \{U(x) \mid x \in X\}$$

is an open cover of X . From compactness of X , K has a finite subcover

$$L = \{U(x_1), U(x_2), \dots, U(x_n)\}.$$

Then

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{U(x_i) \mid U(x_i) \in L\},$$

that is, $L \in \mathbf{C}$. But since $U(x_i) \notin Y$ for $U(x_i) \in L$, we have

$$L \cap Y = \phi.$$

This contradicts to the fact that Y is under \mathbf{C} .

6° Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow T$ be a continuous mapping of X to a compact Hausdorff space T . We define a mapping

$$g : S \rightarrow T$$

as follows:

If $X_x \in f(X)$, then

$$g(X_x) = \varphi(x).$$

Next, let $Y \in S - f(X)$. We consider an open symmetric base

$$\mathcal{U} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$$

for the uniform structure of T . If $\alpha \in A$, there is a finite cover

$$\{V_\alpha(x_1), V_\alpha(x_2), \dots, V_\alpha(x_n)\}$$

of T . Since φ is continuous,

$$K = \{\varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_1)), \varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_2)), \dots, \varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_n))\}$$

is a finite open cover of X . Therefore $K \in \mathbf{C}$. Since Y is under \mathbf{C} there is $\varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha)) \in K$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha)) \in Y$. Thus for each $\alpha \in A$ we have

$$Y \supset \{\varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in A\}.$$

Y is a filter and T is compact. Then we have

$$\bigcap \{V_\alpha(x_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\} \neq \phi.$$

We define that $g(Y)$ is an element of the above intersection.

Now the mapping g defined as above satisfies

$$\varphi \circ f^{-1}(X_x) = \varphi(x) = g(X_x)$$

for $X_x \in f(X)$. Hence we have

$$g \circ f^{-1} = g|f(X).$$

Now we need only to prove the continuity of the mapping g . Let V_β be any element of \mathcal{U} . And take a $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$V_\beta \supset V_\alpha^4.$$

If Y belongs to $S - f(X)$, then since Y is under \mathbf{C} , there is a $W = \varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha))$ such that

$$Y \ni \varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha)).$$

We take $S(W)$ as an open neighborhood of Y . If $Z \in S(W)$, and if $Z \in S - f(X)$, then

$$Z \ni W = \varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha)).$$

From the definition of $g(Z)$

$$g(Z) \in V_\alpha(x_\alpha)^- \subset V_\alpha^2(x_\alpha),$$

while

$$g(Y) \in V_\alpha(x_\alpha)^- \subset V_\alpha^2(x_\alpha).$$

Since V_α^2 is symmetric

$$x_\alpha \in V_\alpha^2(g(Y)),$$

hence

$$g(Z) \in V_\alpha^4(g(Y)) \subset V_\beta(g(Y)).$$

If $Z = X_x \in f(X)$, then

$$X_x \ni W = \varphi^{-1}(V_\alpha(x_\alpha)),$$

hence

$$g(X_x) = \varphi(x) \in V_\alpha(x_\alpha),$$

and

$$g(X_x) \in V_\alpha(x_\alpha) \subset V_\alpha^3(g(Y)) \subset V_\beta(g(Y)).$$

Thus g is continuous at Y .

Reference

- [1] R. S. PIERCE: Coverings of a topological space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 77, (1954) 281-298.