

# DOUBLY TRANSITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS OF DEGREE $5q+1$ , WHERE $q$ IS a PRIME

著者	ATSUMI Tsuyoshi
journal or publication title	鹿児島大学理学部紀要. 数学・物理学・化学
volume	8
page range	23-27
別言語のタイトル	$5q+1$ 次の2重可移群について
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00003959">http://hdl.handle.net/10232/00003959</a>

## DOUBLY TRANSITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS OF DEGREE $5q+1$ , WHERE $q$ IS A PRIME

By

Tsuyoshi ATSUMI

(Received Sept. 30, 1975)

### 1. Introduction and Summary

M.D. Atkinson [4] and T. Tsuzuku [9] proved the following theorem independently.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be an insoluble transitive permutation group of degree  $p=4q+1$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are primes, which is not doubly primitive. Then  $G=PSL(3,3)$  and  $p=13$ .*

Furthermore Atkinson [4] proved the following theorems.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a doubly transitive group of degree  $2q+1$ , where  $q$  is a prime, which is not doubly primitive. Then  $G$  is either sharply doubly transitive or a group of automorphisms of a block design with  $\lambda=1$  and  $k=3$ .*

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a doubly transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$  of degree  $3q+1$ , where  $q$  is a prime. Then one of the following statements is true.*

- (1)  $G$  is doubly primitive.
- (2)  $G$  is sharply doubly transitive.
- (3)  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of a block design on  $\Omega$  with  $\lambda=1$  and  $k=4$ .
- (4)  $G=PSL(3,2)$  and  $q=2$ .

In this paper we shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a doubly transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$  of degree  $5q+1$ , where  $q$  is a prime and greater than 11, Then one of the following statements is true.*

- (1)  $G$  is doubly primitive.
- (2)  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of a block design on  $\Omega$  with  $\lambda=1$  and  $k=6$ .
- (3)  $|G_{\alpha\beta}| \mid 24$ .
- (4)  $G$  has a regular normal subgroup.

Our notation for the parameters of a block design,  $v, k, r, \lambda$ , is standard; see [8]. Throughout this paper the term "block" is used only in the block design sense; however, a term such as " $K$ -block" refers to a set of imprimitivity for a group  $K$ . In order to prove Theorem we need the several lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (E. WITT [12]). *Let  $X$  be a doubly transitive group on a set  $\Omega$ , let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and let  $K$  be a weakly closed subgroup of  $X_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then, if  $\Delta = \text{fix}(K)$ , in the block design whose blocks are the images under  $X$  of  $\Delta$  we have  $\lambda = 1$ .*

PROOF. We omit the proof of the lemma. (See [4]).

LEMMA 2. (ATKINSON [4]). *Let  $X$  be a doubly transitive group on a set  $\Omega$ , let  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and let  $\Delta$  be a set of imprimitivity for the action of  $X_\alpha$  on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . Let  $\beta \in \Delta$  and suppose that  $\Delta - \{\beta\}$  is invariant under  $X_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ . Then, in the block design whose blocks are the images under  $X$  of  $\Gamma = \Delta \cup \{\alpha\}$  we have  $\lambda = 1$ .*

PROOF. (See [4]).

LEMMA 3 (ATKINSON [4]). *Let  $X$  be a doubly transitive group on a set  $\Omega$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and let  $\Delta$  be a set of imprimitivity of size  $m$  for the action of  $X_\alpha$  on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . Then, if  $\beta \in \Delta$ ,  $X_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$  has an invariant set  $\Gamma$  of size  $m-1$  on  $\Omega - \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Furthermore, if  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  is transitive on  $\Delta - \{\beta\}$ ,  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $X_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$  are transitive on  $\Gamma$ .*

PROOF. (See [4]).

LEMMA 4. *Let  $\Omega$  be a set on which there is a non-trivial block design with  $\lambda = 1$ . Then if  $|\Omega| = 5q + 1$ , where  $q$  is a prime, then  $q = 3$  or  $19$  or  $k = 6$ .*

PROOF. We prove this lemma by considering the incidence equations of a block design.

LEMMA 5 (E. BANNAI [5]). *Let  $G$  be a transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Let  $H = G_\alpha$  and  $x \in G$ . Then we have the following equation,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\Omega|}{|I(x)|} |\{h \in H \mid h \text{ is } H\text{-conjugate to } x\}| \\ = |\{g \in G \mid g \text{ is } G\text{-conjugate to } x\}|. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We count the pairs  $\{(\delta, g) \mid \delta \in \Omega, g \in G, \delta^g = \delta, g \text{ is } G\text{-conjugate to } x\}$  in two ways. We get the above equation.

We shall frequently use the well-known theorem of Burnside that a transitive group of prime degree is either doubly transitive or is a metacyclic Frobenius group.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

Let  $G$  be a doubly transitive group on a set  $\Omega$  of size  $5q + 1$ , where  $q$  is a prime. If  $G$  is a counterexample to theorem. By a theorem of [1] we have that  $q$  divides  $|G|$  to the first power only. Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G_\alpha$  where  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \dots$  be a non-trivial system of imprimitivity for the action of  $G_\alpha$  on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . Let  $H = \{x \mid x \in G_\alpha, \Delta_1 x = \Delta_1\}$ ,  $K = \{x \in G_\alpha \mid \Delta_i x = \Delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_1$ . Then  $G_{\alpha\beta} \subseteq H$

and  $K \triangleleft G_\alpha$ . Furthermore we can consider  $G_\alpha$  to act on  $\Delta$ , where  $\Delta = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots\}$ . There are two cases to consider depending on the size of the  $G_\alpha$ -blocks.

Case 1.  $q$   $G_\alpha$ -blocks of size 5

Clearly  $H$  acts transitively on  $\Delta_1$ . At first we assume that  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  as an insoluble group and  $H$  acts on  $\Delta_1$  as a soluble group. If  $H$  acts on  $\Delta_1$  as a regular group of order 5, then  $G_{\alpha\beta} = 1$  on  $\Delta_1$ . Consequently  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  fixes the points of  $\Delta_1$ . So we get a contradiction by using Lemma 1. If  $H$  acts on  $\Delta_1$  as a Frobenius group of order 10, then we can assume that  $H = \langle (\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon\eta), (\beta)(\gamma\eta)(\delta\varepsilon) \rangle$ , where  $\{\beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta\} = \Delta_1$ .  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  acts on  $\Delta_1 - \{\beta\}$  semi-regularly and  $\{\delta, \varepsilon\}, \{\gamma, \eta\}$  are  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ -orbits. So  $\{\delta, \varepsilon\}$  and  $\{\gamma, \eta\}$  are  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ -invariant. By Lemma 1 we can assume that  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  fixes no points of  $\Omega$  except  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . So  $N(G_{\alpha\beta}) = G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ .  $|G_{\{\delta, \varepsilon\}}: G_{\alpha\beta}| = |G_{\{\gamma, \eta\}}: G_{\alpha\beta}| = 2$ . Consequently  $N(G_{\alpha\beta}) = G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}} \supset G_{\{\delta, \varepsilon\}}, G_{\{\gamma, \eta\}}$ . Therefore  $\Delta_1 - \{\beta\}$  is  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -invariant. This fact contradicts Lemma 2.

If  $H$  acts on  $\Delta_1$  as a doubly transitive group, then  $\Delta_1 - \{\beta\}$  is an orbit of  $G_{\alpha\beta} (= H_\beta)$ . Since  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  as a doubly transitive group,  $H$  acts transitively on  $\{\Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_q\}$ . As  $|H: G_{\alpha\beta}| = |H: H_\beta| = 5$ , all the orbits of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\{\Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_q\}$  have size at least  $(q-1)/5 (> 4)$  when  $q > 19$ , and if  $q \leq 19$ , then all the orbits of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\{\Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_q\}$  have size at least  $(q-1) (> 4)$  by Lemma 17.1 [10]. It follows that  $\Delta_1 - \{\beta\}$  is the unique orbit of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  of size 4 and therefore  $\Delta_1 - \{\beta\}$  is  $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -invariant. We may now obtain a contradiction from Lemma 2.

Secondly if  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  as a soluble group and  $K$  is insoluble, then we may assume that  $G_\alpha$  is not local in O'Nan's sense [7]. For if  $G_\alpha$  is local, then  $G_\alpha = N(P)$ , where  $P$  is an abelian  $p$ -group ( $p$ : prime), and  $P$  is half-transitive on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . So  $P$  is a 5-group or a  $q$ -group. If  $P$  is a 5-group and  $P$  does not act on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  as semi-regular group, then we have a block design with  $\lambda = 1$  by Corollary B1 [6]. So this is a contradiction. So  $P$  acts semi-regularly. Consequently  $|P| = |P_\beta| |\beta^P| = 5$ .  $P$  is cyclic. Thus  $G$  is known by a theorem of Aschbacher [2]. We have a contradiction by considering the degree of  $G$ . Similarly we get a contradiction when  $P$  is a  $q$ -group. Therefore from now on in this particular case we can assume that  $G_\alpha$  has a unique minimal normal simple subgroup  $N$  by the result of O'Nan [7]. Consequently  $H$  acts on  $\Delta_i$  as  $A_5$  or  $S_5$  for any  $i$ . Now let  $x$  be an element of  $N$  of order 3. Then  $x$  fixes  $1+2q$  points on  $\Omega$  because  $N$  acts faithfully on  $\Delta_i$  for any  $i$ . The number of the conjugate elements of  $x$  in  $G_\alpha$  is 20. For  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  as a Frobenius group and so any element of  $G_\alpha - K$  does not fix  $1+2q$  points.

Therefore the number of the conjugate elements of  $x$  is  $(5q+1) 20 / (2q+1)$  by Lemma 5 and this number must be integer.  $(5q+1) 20 / (2q+1) = 50 - 30 / (2q+1) \neq$  an integer ( $q > 11$ ). This is a contradiction.

Finally if  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  as a soluble group and  $K$  is soluble and  $K \neq 1$ , then  $K$  has an abelian characteristic subgroup  $M \neq 1$ . Clearly  $\pi(M) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5\}$ . Let  $S$  be a  $S_2$ -subgroup of  $M$ . If  $S \neq 1$ , then  $S$  is weakly closed in  $G_\alpha$ . For  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  as a Frobenius group and so any element of order  $2^i$  in  $G_\alpha - K$  fixes at most one  $\Delta_i$  as a set, but

every element of order  $2^i$  in  $S$  fixes at least  $q$  points on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . So any element of  $S$  is not conjugate to any element of  $G_\alpha - K$  in  $G_\alpha$ . If  $S^g \subseteq G_\alpha$  for any  $g \in G$ , then by the above argument  $S^g \subseteq K$  and so  $S^g = S^k$  for some  $k \in K$  because  $S$  is a  $S_2$ -subgroup of  $K$  and  $S$  is normal in  $K$ . Thus  $S^g = S$ . Clearly  $S \subseteq G_{\alpha\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma \in \Omega$  and  $S$  is weakly closed in  $G_{\alpha\gamma}$  and  $S$  fixes at least  $q$  points on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . This result contradicts our assumption by Lemma 1. If  $S=1$ , then we consider  $S_3$ -subgroup of  $M$ . Similarly we get a contradiction. So we assume that  $M$  is a 5-group. If  $M$  does not act on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  as semi-regular group, then we can construct a block design with  $\lambda=1$  by Corollary B1 [6]. This is not our case. So  $M$  acts on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  semi-regularly. Thus  $|M|=5$ ,  $M$  is cyclic. In this case we have a contradiction by Aschbacher's result [2]. If  $K=1$ , then it follows that the  $S_2$ -subgroup of  $G_\alpha$  is cyclic. Consequently  $G$  is known by a result of Aschbacher [3]. We have a contradiction by considering the degree of  $G$ .

Case 2. 5  $G_\alpha$ -blocks of size  $q$

Since  $G_\alpha/K \subseteq S_5$ ,  $q \nmid |G_\alpha : K|$ . Therefore  $Q \subseteq K$  and  $K$  is transitive on each  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4$  and  $\Delta_5$ . If  $N$  is the kernel of the action of  $K$  on  $\Delta_1$  and  $N \neq 1$ , then  $N$  acts transitively on some  $\Delta_i$  which contradicts the fact that  $q^2 \nmid |G|$ . Thus  $K$  acts faithfully on each of  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4$  and  $\Delta_5$ . If  $K$  is soluble we shall show that  $K_\beta=1$ . If  $K_\beta \neq 1$ , then  $K_\beta$  fixes precisely one point from each of  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4$  and  $\Delta_5$  because  $K$  has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of index  $q$ . Thus  $K_\beta$  and any conjugate of  $K_\beta$  fix exactly 6 points. Consider some conjugate  $K_\beta^g$  of  $K$  contained in  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ . If  $K_\beta^g \subseteq K$  then some  $\Delta_i$  contains none of the fixed points of  $K_\beta^g$  and hence there is some  $\Delta_j$  which contains at least two of these fixed points; but then  $K_\beta^g$  must fix pointwise the whole of  $\Delta_j$  and so has more than 5 fixed points. Thus  $K_\beta^g \subseteq K$ . and, as  $K$  has a unique subgroup of index  $q$  which fixes  $\beta$ , we have  $K_\beta^g = K_\beta$ . Therefore  $K_\beta$  is weakly closed in  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  and Lemma 1 gives us a contradiction. This means that  $K_\beta=1$  as we asserted.  $|G_\alpha|=5q|G_{\alpha\beta}|=vq(v|120)$ . Consequently  $|G_{\alpha\beta}| \nmid 24$ . This is a contradiction. If  $K$  is insoluble and  $G_\alpha$  is local, then there is a normal  $q$ -subgroup  $Q'$  of  $G_\alpha$ .  $|Q'|=q$  by Theorem [1]. So  $G$  is known by Aschbacher's Theorem [2]. Again we have a contradiction by considering the degree of  $G$ .

From now on we can assume that  $G_\alpha$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  which is simple. If  $C_{G_\alpha}(N) \neq 1$ , then  $C_{G_\alpha}(N) \cong N$  because  $C_{G_\alpha}(N) \triangleleft G$  and  $N$  is a unique minimal subgroup. Therefore  $Z(N) = C_N(N) \neq 1$ . So  $N$  is a cyclic group of order  $q$ .  $G$  is local. This is not our case. So  $C_{G_\alpha}(N) = 1$ .  $G_\alpha = N_{G_\alpha}(N)/C_{G_\alpha}(N)$  is considered to be included in  $\text{Aut } N$ , where  $\text{Aut } N$  is the group of the automorphisms of  $N$ . Since  $N \cong \text{Inn } N$ , where  $\text{Inn } N$  is the group of the inner automorphisms of  $N$ , we can consider  $G_\alpha/N$  to be included in  $\text{Aut } N/\text{Inn } N$ . By a theorem of Wielandt [11]  $\text{Aut } N/\text{Inn } N$  is cyclic. So it follows that  $G_\alpha/N$  is cyclic. Since  $G_\alpha/K$  is a homomorphic image of  $G_\alpha/N$  and  $G_\alpha/K \subseteq S_5$ . Thus  $G_\alpha/K$  is cyclic and  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $\Delta$  regularly.....(1)

As  $K \subseteq G_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \Delta_1 - \{\beta\}$  is a  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ -orbit of size  $q-1$ . If  $\Gamma_1$  is  $G_{\{\alpha,\beta\}}$ -invariant, then

we have a contradiction from Lemma 2. If  $\Gamma_1$  is not  $G_{\{\alpha,\beta\}}$ -invariant, then there exists another  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ -orbit  $\Gamma_2$  of size  $q-1$  such that  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  is an orbit of  $G_{\{\alpha,\beta\}}$ . By Lemma 3 there is yet another  $G_{\alpha\beta}$ -orbit  $\Gamma_3$  of size  $q-1$  and since it is a  $G_{\{\alpha,\beta\}}$ -orbit it is distinct from  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . If either of  $\Gamma_2$  or  $\Gamma_3$  is contained in any  $\Delta_i$  then  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  leaves  $\Delta_i$  invariant and fixes the remaining point of  $\Delta_i$ ; using Lemma 1, this leads to a contradiction. There are two cases to remain. In first case there is  $\Delta_k$  ( $2 \leq k \leq 5$ ) such that  $\Delta_k \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Delta_k \cap \Gamma_3 \neq \emptyset$ . But  $\Gamma_2 \cap \Delta_k$  and  $\Gamma_3 \cap \Delta_k$  are invariant under  $K_\beta$  and, as they are set of imprimitivity for the action of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ , we have  $|\Delta_k \cap \Gamma_2| \leq (q-1)/2$  and  $|\Delta_k \cap \Gamma_3| \leq (q-1)/2$ . Consequently,  $K$  has at least 3 orbits on  $\Delta_k$ . Now  $K$  acts doubly transitively on each of  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_k$  with characters  $1+x_1$  and  $1+x_2$ , say, and the number of orbits of  $K_\beta$  on  $\Delta_k$  is  $(1+x_1, 1+x_2) \leq 2$  and this is a contradiction. In final case we have  $\Gamma_2 \subseteq \Delta_i \cup \Delta_j$ ,  $\Gamma_3 \subseteq \Delta_k \cup \Delta_l$ , where  $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ . So  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  has a element of order 2 on  $\{\Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5\}$ . This fact contradicts (1). Thus we complete the proof of the Theorem.

### Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Prof. H. Nagao and Dr. E. Bannai for their kind suggestions.

### References

- [1] K.I. Appel and E.T. Parker, On unsolvable groups of degree  $p=4q+1$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  primes, *Canad J. Math.*, **19** (1967), 583–589.
- [2] M. Aschbacher,  $F$ -Sets and permutation groups, *J. Algebra*, **30** (1974), 400–416.
- [3] M. Aschbacher, 2-transitive groups whose 2-point stabilizer has 2-rank 1, to appear.
- [4] M.D. Atkinson, Two theorems on doubly transitive permutation groups, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2), **6** (1973), 269–274.
- [5] E. Bannai, Several remarks on transitive extensions of finite permutation groups, *Osaka J. Math.*, **8** (1971), 131–134.
- [6] M. O’Nan, A characterization of  $L_n(q)$  as a permutation group, *Math. Z.*, **127** (1972), 301–314.
- [7] M. O’Nan, Normal structure of the one-point stabilizer of doubly transitive permutation group, 1. to appear.
- [8] C.C. Sims, Computational methods in the study of permutation groups, *Computational problems in abstract algebra*, 169–183. John Leech (Editor). (Pergamon Press, 1970).
- [9] T. Tsuzuku, On  $LF_3(3)$ , *Hokkaido J. Math.*, **22** (1972), 104–107.
- [10] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [11] H. Wielandt, On automorphisms of doubly transitive permutation groups, *Proc. Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups*, Austral. Nat. Univ. Canberra, August (1965), 389–393.
- [12] E. Witt, Die 5-fach transitiven Gruppen von Mathieu, *Abhandl. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **12** (1937), 256–264.