

FINSLER-WEYL STRUCTURES AND CONFORMAL FLATNESS

*Dedicated to Professor Dr. Makoto Matsumoto
on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*

Tadashi AIKOU* and Yoshihiro ICHIYŌ**

(Received September 10, 1990)

Abstract

In the present paper we shall introduce the notion of Finsler-Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ and investigate the conformal flatness of (L, N) -structures. Especially, as an application we shall consider the conformal flatness of Finsler manifolds with (α, β) -metric.

Introduction

The notion of Weyl structure on a differentiable manifold, introduced in Weyl [10] from a physical viewpoint, has also been studied geometrically and various interesting results have been obtained (cf. Folland [1] or Higa [2]).

The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the notion of Weyl structure to the case of (L, N) -structure on a Finsler manifold, and to give a condition that an (L, N) -structure be conformally flat in terms of such a generalized Weyl structure (Theorem 3.1).

As to a Finsler manifold with (α, β) -metric, a condition that it be locally conformal to a locally Minkowski space is known for some distinguished case (cf. Ichijō-Hashiguchi [6], Matsumoto [9]). In the last section, we shall consider Finsler manifolds with (α, β) -metric, and express the above results in terms of a generalized Weyl structure (Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2).

Throughout the present paper, the terminology and notation are referred to Ichijō [4, 5] and Matsumoto [8].

The authors wish to express here their sincere gratitude to Professor Dr. Makoto Matsumoto for the invaluable suggestions and encouragement. The authors are also grateful to Professor Dr. Masao Hashiguchi for the helpful comments and criticism.

1. Weyl structures

First we shall here define a Weyl structure on a differentiable manifold M admit-

* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan.

** College of General Education, Tokushima University, Tokushima, Japan.

ting a Riemannian metric a and a global 1-form θ as follows, where $a = a_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$ and $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$ on any coordinate neighbourhood $\{U, (x^i)\}$ of M . Let $\sigma(x)$ be an arbitrary function on M . With the Riemannian metric $\tilde{a} = e^{2\sigma} a$ on M we associate a 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\theta} = \theta - d\sigma$$

is satisfied. The family $[a, \theta]$ of the pairs $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{\theta})$ is called a *Weyl structure* on M .

Now, let $[a, \theta]$ be a Weyl structure on M . Then there exists a unique symmetric linear connection ∇ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_k a_{ij} + 2\theta_k a_{ij} = 0$$

is satisfied. This connection ∇ is called a *Weyl connection* of $[a, \theta]$. The coefficients $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ of ∇ are given by

$$(1.3) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \{j^i k\} + \delta_j^i \theta_k + \delta_k^i \theta_j - \theta^i a_{jk},$$

where $\{j^i k\}$ are the coefficients of the Riemannian connection $\overset{r}{\nabla}$ of a and $\theta^i = a^{ir} \theta_r$, $(a^{ij}) = (a_{ij})^{-1}$. It is clear that ∇ is compatible with $[a, \theta]$.

The curvature tensor field W_{jkl}^i of ∇ is given by

$$(1.4) \quad W_{jkl}^i = \overset{r}{R}_{jkl}^i + @_{(kl)} \{ \delta^i_k B_{jl} + \delta^i_j B_{kl} - a_{jk} B_{l}^i \},$$

where $\overset{r}{R}_{jkl}^i$ is the curvature tensor field of $\overset{r}{\nabla}$ and

$$B_{ij} = \overset{r}{\nabla}_j \theta_i - \theta_i \theta_j + \frac{1}{2} \theta^r \theta_r a_{ij}, \quad B_j^i = a^{ir} B_{rj}.$$

Here and in the following the notation $@_{(kl)}$ means the alternative summation with respect to k and l .

Then we have a sufficient condition that a Riemannian manifold (M, a) be conformally flat as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a differentiable manifold admitting a Weyl structure $[a, \theta]$. The Riemannian manifold (M, a) is conformally flat if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *The 1-form θ associated with a is closed,*
- (2) *The Weyl connection ∇ of $[a, \theta]$ is flat: $W_{jkl}^i = 0$.*

In fact, if the condition (1) is satisfied, then θ is written as $\theta = d\sigma$ for a function $\sigma(x)$ defined on a suitable neighbourhood U of each point of M . Now we consider the conformal change $a \longrightarrow \tilde{a} = e^{2\sigma} a$ on U . Since we can consider a function σ^* on M such

that $\sigma^* = \sigma$ on U , the condition (1.1) leads us to $\tilde{\theta} = 0$ on U . Thus, from (1.4) the curvature tensor field of ∇ is given, on U , by

$$W_{jkl}^i = \tilde{R}_{jkl}^i,$$

where \tilde{R}_{jkl}^i is the curvature tensor field of the Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of \tilde{a} . So, if ∇ is flat, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is locally flat, that is, the given Riemannian manifold (M, a) is conformally flat.

We shall call a Weyl structure $[a, \theta]$ to be *flat* if it satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1. We shall here give an example of flat Weyl structures.

Example 1.1. Let H^n be the upper-half space of R^n , that is,

$$H^n = \{(x^1, \dots, x^n) \in R^n; x^n > 0\}.$$

We define a Riemannian metric a and a 1-form θ on H^n as

$$a_{ij}(x) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{(x^n)}, \quad \theta_i = \frac{\delta_{in}}{x^n} = \frac{\partial \log(x^n)}{\partial x^i},$$

and further define $\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta_i - \partial \sigma / \partial x^i$ for $\tilde{a} = e^{2\sigma(x)} a$. Since $\tilde{a} = (x^n)^2 a$ is a Euclidian metric and $\tilde{\theta} = 0$, the Weyl structure $[a, \theta]$ is flat.

2. (L, N)-structures

Let L be a Finsler metric on a differentiable manifold M and N a non-linear connection on the tangent bundle TM over M . Then the pair (L, N) is called an (L, N) -structure (Ichijyō [4, 5]).

We denote by $\{\tilde{U}, (x^i, y^i)\}$ the canonical coordinate system of TM induced from a coordinate system $\{U, (x^i)\}$ of M , where $\tilde{U} = \pi_T^{-1}(U)$ (π_T : the projection of TM). For the vertical distribution on TM , we take the local basis $Y = \{Y_i\}$ defined by

$$Y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \quad (i=1, \dots, n).$$

Then, for the horizontal distribution determined by N , we can take the local basis $X = \{X_i\}$ defined by

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N^m_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^m} \quad (i=1, \dots, n),$$

where $N^m_j(x, y)$ are the coefficients of N .

If a non-linear connection N is given on TM , then we know that TM admits a $D(GL(n, R))$ -structure \mathbf{P}_1 as a reduction of the standard almost tangent structure

(Ichijyō [3]). We shall call a *Finsler connection* a $D(GL(n, R))$ -connection ∇ of \mathbf{P}_1 . A Finsler connection ∇ satisfies

$$\nabla_{X_j} X_i = F_{ij}^k X_k, \quad \nabla_{X_j} Y_i = F_{ij}^k Y_k,$$

$$\nabla_{Y_j} X_i = C_{ij}^k X_k, \quad \nabla_{Y_j} Y_i = C_{ij}^k Y_k,$$

where $N_j^i, F_{jk}^i, C_{jk}^i$ are called the *coefficients* of ∇ .

If an (L, N) -structure is given on TM , then a Riemannian metric G on TM is defined by

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to $\{X, Y\}$, where $g_{ij} = (Y_i Y_j L^2) / 2$. In the following we shall denote by ∇_k briefly the covariant derivation with respect to X_k . Then we have

Proposition 2.1. *For a given (L, N) -structure, there exists a unique Finsler connection $\nabla = (N_j^i, F_{jk}^i, C_{jk}^i)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) ∇ is *h-metrical*, that is, $\nabla_k g_{ij} = 0$,
- (2) $F_{jk}^i = F_{kj}^i$,
- (3) $C_{jk}^i = 0$.

In fact, from (1) and (2) we get

$$F_{jk}^i = g^{ir} (X_j g_{rk} + X_k g_{jr} - X_r g_{jk}) / 2,$$

where $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$. We call this Finsler connection the *Rund-type connection* of (L, N) and denote by ∇^R .

Remark 2.1. If an (L, N) -structure is given on TM , it is noted that the $D(GL(n, R))$ -structure \mathbf{P}_1 is reduced to a $D(O(n))$ -structure \mathbf{P}_2 (Ichijyō [3]). The Rund-type connection ∇^R of an (L, N) -structure is a $D(GL(n, R))$ -connection of \mathbf{P}_1 , but it is not a $D(O(n))$ -connection of \mathbf{P}_2 . Thus, ∇^R is not the (L, N) -connection in Ichijyō [5].

With respect to ∇^R , we have two surviving curvature tensor fields R_{jkl}^i, P_{jkl}^i :

$$R_{jkl}^i = \textcircled{\omega}_{kl} \{X_l F_{jk}^i + F_{jk}^m F_{ml}^i\}, \quad P_{jkl}^i = Y_l F_{jk}^i.$$

If the all curvature tensor fields of a Finsler connection ∇ vanish identically, we say that ∇ is of *zero-curvature*.

An (L, N) -structure is said to be *flat* if for any point p of M there exists a local

coordinate neighbourhood $\{U, (x^i)\}$ of p such that the condition

$$X_i g_{jk} = 0$$

is satisfied on $\tilde{U} = \pi_T^{-1}(U)$. Then we have easily

Proposition 2.2. *An (L, N) -structure is flat if and only if the Rund-type connection $\overset{R}{\nabla}$ of (L, N) is of zero-curvature.*

Also the following theorem is obtained in Ichijyō [5].

Theorem 2.1. *An (L, N) -structure is flat if and only if its Finsler metric L is locally Minkowski and the following equation is satisfied:*

$$(2.1) \quad (Y_m g_{jk}) P^m_{\ i} y^r = 0,$$

where we put $P^i_{\ jk} = Y_k N^i_{\ j} - F_{kj}^i$ for $\overset{R}{\nabla}$.

3. Finsler-Weyl structures and conformal flatness

In this section, we shall generalize the notion of Weyl structure to the case of (L, N) -structure on a Finsler manifold (M, L) , and from the standpoint we shall investigate the conformal flatness of (L, N) -structures. We shall define

Definition 3.1. Let an (L, N) -structure (L, N) and a global 1-form $\theta = \theta_i(x, y) dx^i$ be given on TM . Let $\sigma(x)$ be an arbitrary function on TM , depending on (x^i) alone. With the Finsler metric $\tilde{L} = e^{\sigma(x)} L$ and the non-linear connection $\tilde{N} = N$, we associate a 1-form $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_i(x, y) dx^i$ on TM such that

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\theta} = \theta - d\sigma$$

is satisfied. The family $[L, N, \theta]$ of the triads $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{\theta})$ is called a *Finsler-Weyl structure* on TM .

Then we have

Proposition 3.1. *Let $[L, N, \theta]$ be a Finsler-Weyl structure on TM . Then there exists a unique Finsler connection $\overset{W}{\nabla} = (N^i_{\ j}, W^i_{\ jk}, C^i_{\ jk})$ such that*

$$(i) \quad \overset{W}{\nabla}_k g_{ij} + 2\theta_k g_{ij} = 0, \quad (ii) \quad W^i_{\ jk} = W^i_{\ kj}, \quad (iii) \quad C^i_{\ jk} = 0$$

are satisfied.

In fact, the condition (i) is equivalent to

$$X_k g_{ij} - g_{ir} W_{jk}^r - g_{rj} W_{ik}^r + 2 \theta_k g_{ij} = 0,$$

from which and the condition (ii) we have

$$(3.2) \quad W_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + \delta_j^i \theta_k + \delta_k^i \theta_j - \theta^i g_{jk},$$

where F_{jk}^i are the coefficients of the Rund-type connection $\overset{R}{\nabla}$ of (L, N) and $\theta^i = g^{ir} \theta_r$. It is clear that $\overset{W}{\nabla}$ is compatible with $[L, N, \theta]$.

We shall call this connection $\overset{W}{\nabla}$ the *Finsler-Weyl connection* of $[L, N, \theta]$. The surviving curvature tensor fields of $\overset{W}{\nabla}$ are given by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{jkl}^i &= R_{jkl}^i + @_{kl} \{ \delta_k^i B_{jl} + \delta_j^i B_{kl} - g_{jk} B_{il} \}, \\ F_{jkl}^i &= P_{jkl}^i + Y_l (\delta_j^i \theta_k + \delta_k^i \theta_j - \theta^i g_{jk}), \end{aligned}$$

where R_{jkl}^i, P_{jkl}^i are the curvature tensor fields of the Rund-type connection $\overset{R}{\nabla}$ of (L, N) , and

$$B_{ij} = \overset{R}{\nabla}_j \theta_i - \theta_i \theta_j + \frac{1}{2} \theta^r \theta_r g_{ij}, \quad B_j^i = g^{ir} B_{rj}.$$

Now we shall define

Definition 3.2. An (L, N) -structure is said to be *conformally flat* if, for any point p of M there exists a local coordinate neighbourhood $\{U, (x^i)\}$ of p and a function $\sigma(x)$ on U such that the structure (\tilde{L}, \tilde{N}) is flat, where $\tilde{L} = e^{\sigma(x)} L$ and $\tilde{N} = N$.

Now we shall characterize the conformal flatness of an (L, N) -structure, where L is a non-Riemannian. For a conformal change $L \rightarrow \tilde{L} = e^{\sigma} L$, the tensor field $(Y_j g_{im}) P^m_{kr} y^r$ occurred in Theorem 2.1 is changed as follows.

$$(Y_j \tilde{g}_{im}) \tilde{P}^m_{kr} y^r = e^{2\sigma} (Y_j g_{im}) (P^m_{kr} - \sigma_r \delta^m_k - \sigma_k \delta^m_r + \sigma^m g_{kr}) y^r,$$

where we put $\sigma_i = \partial \sigma / \partial x^i$ and $\sigma^i = g^{ir} \sigma_r$. Moreover, if we put $C_m = g^{ij} (Y_j g_{im}) / 2$ and $C^k = g^{kr} C_r$, we have

$$\tilde{C}^k \tilde{C}_m \tilde{P}^m_{kr} y^r = e^{-2\sigma} (C^k C_m P^m_{kr} y^r - \sigma_r y^r C^k C_k).$$

So, if we put $B = C_m P^m{}_{rs} C^r y^s / C^2$ and $C^2 = C_m C^m$, the 1-form $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$ defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \theta_i(x, y) = Y_i B$$

satisfies (3.1) (cf. Ichijyō [5]). Thus the given (L, N) and the 1-form θ define a Finsler-Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ on TM . Then we have

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a non-Riemannian Finsler metric on M and N a non-linear connection on TM . With respect to the Finsler-Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ defined by (3.4) for (L, N) , the (L, N) -structure is conformally flat if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) θ is reduced to a closed 1-form on M ,
- (2) The Finsler-Weyl connection $\overset{w}{\nabla}$ of $[L, N, \theta]$ is of zero-curvature.

Proof. We suppose that the (L, N) -structure is conformally flat. By definition 3.2, for each point of M there exists a neighbourhood U and a function $\sigma(x)$ on U such that (\tilde{L}, \tilde{N}) is flat. Then we have $\tilde{B} = 0$ from Theorem 2.1, that is, $\tilde{\theta} = 0$, and hence θ is closed. Moreover, from Proposition 2.2, we see that $\tilde{R}^i{}_{jkl} = 0$, $\tilde{P}^i{}_{jkl} = 0$ and $\tilde{B}_{ij} = 0$ on U . Hence, by (3.3) we see that $\overset{w}{\nabla}$ is of zero-curvature.

Conversely, if the conditions (1) and (2) is satisfied we can show that (L, N) is conformally flat in the same way as in Theorem 1.1.

Q. E. D.

We say a Finsler-Weyl structure to be *flat* if it satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.1.

4. Applications to Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric

Let $L(\alpha, \beta)$ be an (α, β) -metric, that is, $L(\alpha, \beta)$ be a (1) p -homogeneous function of two variables

$$\alpha(x, y) = \{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j\}^{1/2}, \quad \beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i,$$

where a_{ij} is a Riemannian metric and $b_i(x)$ is a covariant vector field on M (Matsumoto [8]). As to a condition that a Finsler manifold be locally conformal to a locally Minkowski space, we know some results in Ichijyō-Hashiguchi [6] and Matsumoto [9]. In this section we shall express these results in terms of a Finsler-Weyl structure.

For any (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\beta \neq 0$, we define a 1-form $\theta = \theta_i(x) dx^i$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \theta_k = \frac{1}{\|b\|^2} (b^m \overset{r}{\nabla}_m b_k - \frac{\overset{r}{\nabla}_m b^m}{n-1} b_k), \quad \|b\|^2 = a^{ij} b_i b_j.$$

Given an (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$, it is shown that $[a, \theta]$ is a Weyl structure (cf. Ichijyō-Hashiguchi [6]). The coefficients $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ of the Weyl connection ∇ of $[a, \theta]$ are given by (1.3) for θ_i defined by (4.1).

If we put

$$(4.2) \quad N_j^i = y^k \Gamma_{kj}^i,$$

then N_j^i give a non-linear connection N on TM , independent on the choice of $(\bar{a}, \bar{\theta}) \in [a, \theta]$. For the (L, N) -structure such that the non-linear connection N is given by (4.2), we can define a Finsler Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ by (4.1). We shall call $[L, N, \theta]$ the *induced Finsler-Weyl structure*.

Now we shall seek the condition that the connection $\nabla = (N_j^i, \Gamma_{jk}^i, 0)$ given by (1.3) and (4.2) be the Finsler-Weyl connection of $[L, N, \theta]$. In this case, the h-covariant derivation ∇_k with respect to ∇ and the usual derivation by Y_i are commutable. Then we have

$$\nabla_k g_{ij} = Y_i Y_j (L \nabla_k L) = Y_i Y_j \left(L \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} \nabla_k \alpha + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} \nabla_k \beta \right).$$

Since $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ is the Weyl connection of $[a, \theta]$, we have $\nabla_k \alpha = -\theta_k \alpha$. So, if we assume

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_k b_i = -\theta_k b_i,$$

that is, $\nabla_k \beta = -\theta_k \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k g_{ij} &= Y_i Y_j \left(L \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} (-\theta_k \alpha) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} (-\theta_k \beta) \right) \right) \\ &= Y_i Y_j (-\theta_k L^2) = -2 \theta_k g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus ∇ is the Finsler-Weyl connection of $[L, N, \theta]$. The converse is also true, and we have

Proposition 4.1. *The Finsler connection $(N_j^i, \Gamma_{jk}^i, 0)$ given by (1.3) and (4.2) is the Finsler-Weyl connection of $[L, N, \theta]$ if and only if the condition (4.3) is satisfied.*

Thus, in the same way as in Theorem 1.1 we have

Proposition 4.2. *In a Finsler manifold (M, L) with (α, β) -metric such that $|b|^2 \neq 0$, if the condition (4.3) is satisfied and the induced Finsler-Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ is flat, then the (L, N) -structure is conformally flat.*

In general, the converse of Proposition 4.2 is not true. In the case of Randers metric $L = \alpha + \beta$, however, by the well-known theorem of Kikuchi [7], we have the following theorem due to Ichijyō-Hashiguchi [6].

Theorem 4.1. *A Randers space (M, L) is locally conformal to a locally Minkowski space if and only if the condition (4.3) is satisfied and the induced Finsler-Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ is flat.*

In Matsumoto [9], a Finsler manifold (M, L) with (α, β) -metric such that Kikuchi's theorem holds good is said to be *flat-parallel*. Theorem 4.1 is generalized as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *A Finsler manifold (M, L) with flat-parallel (α, β) -metric is locally conformal to a locally Minkowski space if and only if the condition (4.3) is satisfied and the induced Finsler-Weyl structure $[L, N, \theta]$ is flat.*

References

- [1] G. B. Folland, Weyl manifolds, J. Differential Geom. **4** (1970), 145-153.
- [2] T. Higa, A generalization of the integral formula for Weyl manifolds, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **38** (1989), 213-222.
- [3] Y. Ichijyō, On the Finsler group and an almost symplectic structure on a tangent bundle, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **28** (1988), 153-163.
- [4] Y. Ichijyō, Conformal curvature tensors of a generalized Finsler metric, Tensor, N. S. **47** (1988), 171-178.
- [5] Y. Ichijyō, Conformally flat Finsler structures, to appear.
- [6] Y. Ichijyō and M. Hashiguchi, On the condition that a Randers space be conformally flat, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. **22** (1989), 7-14.
- [7] S. Kikuchi, On the condition that a space with (α, β) -metric be locally Minkowski, Tensor, N. S. **33** (1979), 242-246.
- [8] M. Matsumoto, Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces, Kaiseisha Press, Otsu, Japan, 1986.
- [9] M. Matsumoto, A special class of locally Minkowski spaces with (α, β) -metric and conformally flat kropina spaces, to appear.
- [10] H. Weyl, Gravitation und Elektrizität, Gesam. II, **31** (1918), 29-42.