

Some specific Examples in Boolean Algebra (I)

Eiguma Shimpuku and Takuma Kinoshita

(Received October 15', 1955)

1. Introduction

The statements (sometimes called assertions or propositions) will be denoted by the letters p, q, r, \dots . When these statements are combined by grammatical connectives "or" "and" and "not", we denote "or" by cup (\cup) "and" by cap (\cap) and "not" by a prime ($'$). Thus

- " $p \cup q$ " denotes the statement " p or q "
- " $p \cap q$ " denotes the statement " p and q "
- " p' " denotes the statement "not p ".

Furthermore, the statement " $p \rightarrow q$ " is to mean that the statement "if p , then q ". This may be defined in terms of other connectives, for " $p \rightarrow q$ " means that q must hold unless p fails, so that

$$(p \rightarrow q) = (p' \cup q).$$

An equation such as $p \cup p' = I$ means that $p \cup p'$ is logically equivalent to a true statement I . Expressions of this sort are known as logical tautologies.

2. Some specific Examples in Boolean Algebra

THEOREM 1. *If $(p \rightarrow q_1) = I, (p \rightarrow q_2) = I,$
then $[p \rightarrow q_1 \cap q_2] = I.$*

Proof. $(p \rightarrow q_1) \rightarrow [p \rightarrow (q_1 \cap q_2)] \dots \dots \dots (1).$

We may prove that the equation (1) is a tautology under $(p \rightarrow q_2) = I$

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \rightarrow q_1) \rightarrow [p \rightarrow (q_1 \cap q_2)] \\ &= [p' \cup q_1]' \cup [p' \cup (q_1 \cap q_2)] \\ &= [p' \cup q_1]' \cup [(p' \cup q_1) \cap (p' \cup q_2)] \\ &= [(p' \cup q_1)' \cup (p' \cup q_1)] \cap [(p' \cup q_1)' \cup (p' \cup q_2)] \\ &= (p' \cup q_1)' \cup (p \cup q_2) \\ &= p' \cup q_2 \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $(p \rightarrow q_1) = I,$ and $(p \rightarrow q_2) = I,$ then $[p \rightarrow q_1 \cap q_2] = I.$

THEOREM 2. *If $[p \rightarrow q_1 \cap q_2] = I,$
then $(p \rightarrow q_1) = I$ and $(p \rightarrow q_2) = I.$*

Proof. $[p \rightarrow (q_1 \cap q_2)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q_1) \dots \dots \dots (1).$

We may prove that the equation (1) is a tautology,

$$\begin{aligned} & [p \rightarrow (q_1 \cap q_2)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q_1) \\ &= [p' \cup (q_1 \cap q_2)]' \cup (p' \cup q_1) \\ &= [(p' \cup q_1) \cap (p' \cup q_2)]' \cup (p' \cup q_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [(\mathbf{p}' \cup \mathbf{q}_1)' \cup (\mathbf{p}' \cup \mathbf{q}_2)'] \cup (\mathbf{p}' \cup \mathbf{q}_1) \\
&= I \cup (\mathbf{p}' \cup \mathbf{q}_2)' \\
&= I.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $[\mathbf{p} \rightarrow (\mathbf{q}_1 \cap \mathbf{q}_2)] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_2)$ is also a tautology.

Example. If $x=a$ always shows numbers of coordinates $[(-3, 0), (4.5, 0)]$ between the intersection of the two curves $y=1/3x^2$ and $y=-x^2+2x+18$, then $-3 < a, a < 4.5$ that is $-3 < a < 4.5$.

Conversely, if $-3 < a < 4.5$, then $x=a$ satisfies the inequalities $-3 < a$, and $a < 4.5$.

THEOREM 3. $[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$

and $[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$.

Proof. $[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{q})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2)' \cup \mathbf{q}]' \cup (\mathbf{p}_1' \cup \mathbf{q}) \\
&= [(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \cup (\mathbf{p}_1' \cup \mathbf{q})] \cap [q' \cup (\mathbf{p}_1' \cup \mathbf{q})] \\
&= I.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar $[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$.

Example. If the power (cardinal numbers) of all integers is \aleph , then the power of positive integers is \aleph and so is the power of negative integers.

Because let \mathbf{p}_1 denote all positive integers, \mathbf{p}_2 all negative integers, and \mathbf{q} the power \aleph , then

$$[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$$

and

$$[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$$

hence we understand the statement immediately.

THEOREM 4. If $(\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$, and $(\mathbf{p}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$,

then $[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] = I$.

Proof. $(\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\mathbf{p}_1 \cup \mathbf{p}_2)' \cup \mathbf{q} \\
&= (\mathbf{p}_1' \cap \mathbf{p}_2') \cup \mathbf{q} \\
&= (\mathbf{p}_1' \cup \mathbf{q}) \cap (\mathbf{p}_2' \cup \mathbf{q}) \\
&= I \cap I \\
&= I.
\end{aligned}$$

Example. If E_1 and E_2 are events, then $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an event. Because, let \mathbf{p}_1 denote E_1 , \mathbf{p}_2 denote E_2 , \mathbf{q} denote an event, " $\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ " denote that " E_1 is an event", " $\mathbf{p}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ " denote that " E_2 is an event", then the above statement is plain from this theorem.

Lemma. If $(\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$, $(\mathbf{p}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) = I$, then $[(\mathbf{p}_1 \cap \mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}] = I$.

Proof. $(p_1 \cap p_2) \rightarrow q$
 $= (p_1 \cap p_2)' \cup q$
 $= (p_1' \cup p_2') \cup q$
 $= I.$

Example. If E_1 and E_2 are events, then $E_1 \cap E_2$ is an event.

THEOREM 5. $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q' \rightarrow p')$ is a tautology, but $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ is not always a tautology.

Proof. $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q' \rightarrow p')$
 $= (p' \cup q)' \cup [(q')' \cup p']$
 $= (p \cap q') \cup (q \cup p')$
 $= (p \cup q \cup p') \cap (q' \cup q \cup p')$
 $= I,$

but $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$
 $= (p' \cup q)' \cup (q' \cup p)$
 $= (p \cup q') \cap (q' \cup p)$
 $= q' \cup p \dots \dots \dots (1).$

Hence, the equation (1) is a tautology when $p=q$, but is not a tautology when $p \neq q$.

Example 1. In this theorem, if p, q, p' and q' denotes $A=B, C=D, A \neq B,$ and $C \neq D$ respectively, then, this theorem shows that "the proposition of a statement is always true." But " $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ is not always a tautology" shows that "the inverse of a statement is not always true."

Example 2. The obverse of a statement is not always true.

Instead of proving this statement, we may prove that $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p' \rightarrow q')$ is not always a tautology.

$$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p' \rightarrow q')$$

$$= (p' \cup q)' \cup (p \cup q')$$

$$= p \cup q' \dots \dots \dots (1),$$

and the equation (1) is not always a tautology.

THEOREM 6. $(p \cap q \cap r) \rightarrow (p \cap q) = I.$

Proof. $(p \cap q \cap r) \rightarrow (p \cap q)$
 $= (p \cap q \cap r)' \cup (p \cap q)$
 $= (p \cap q)' \cup r' \cup (p \cap q)$
 $= I.$

Example 1. A : the real number is enumerable,

B : the cardinal number of the real number is the smallest infinite cardinal number.

If A and B denote the above statements respectively, then

$A \rightarrow B$: if the real number is enumerable, then the cardinal number of the real number is the smallest infinite cardinal number,

B' : the cardinal number of the real number is not the smallest infinite cardinal number,

and $A \rightarrow B$ and B' are true. Hence, from $(A \rightarrow B) \cap B'$ we have $(A \rightarrow B) \cap B' = (A' \cup B) \cap B' = (A' \cup B) \cap (A \cup B') \cap (A' \cup B')$.

Let $A' \cup B = p$, $A' \cup B' = q$, $A \cup B' = r$,

them, from the theorem

$$p \cap q = (A' \cup B) \cap (A' \cup B') = A'$$

Hence, we have a statement that "the real number is not enumerable."

Example 2. If A and B denote the following statements

A : the probability $P(E_1 \cap E_2)$ of the event $E_1 \cap E_2$ is $P(E_1) \cdot P(E_2)$,

B : events E_1 and E_2 are independent,

we have the following statement similarly with example 1,

"the probability $P(E_1 \cap E_2)$ of the event $E_1 \cap E_2$ is not $P(E_1) \cdot P(E_2)$."

That is, we have the case of dependence.

General References

- (1) Birkhoff, Garrett and Saunders MacLane. "A Survey of Modern Algebra." New York: Macmillan Company 1941. (450 pp.)
- (2) Hilbert, D. and W. Ackermann. "Grundzuge der Theoretischen Logik". 1949