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#### Abstract

Several human skeletal remains excavated from Marakei Island，Republic of Kiribati in 1984 were examined．It was shown that these were recently burried and at least five individuals were recognizable．As to the cranial indices，one is meso－， hypsi－and acrocranic，and another is dolicho－，ortho－and acrocranic．All of the mandibles（three males and two females）have an ante－gonial notch，not exhibiting the so－called＂rocker jaw＂．The postcranial skeletons were large for the males so that the estimated heights，calculated by Pearson＇s formula，were very tall $(169.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 173.3 cm ）．Whereas the postcranial skeletons of the females were small and slender and the statures relatively short（ 149.7 cm and 155.5 cm ）．On several bones osteoarthritic changes and healed fracture were observed．


## Introduction

The Gilbert Islands，including Marakei Island，are located at the easternmost end of Micronesia，bounded on the southeast by Polynesia and on the southwest by Melanesia（Fig．1）．The location of the Gilbert Islands is important to elucidate the origin of Polynesians as well as Micronesians．However，there have been few reports concerned with skeletal remains of the Gilbert Islanders，e．g．Krause（1881）． In the present paper，we examine some skeletal materials obtained from Marakei Island，and discuss the relationships between them and those of other Oceanic populations．

## Materials and Methods

The skeletal remains were excavated from the Teauma site，Marakei Island，the
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Fig. 1. Map of Micronesia showing location of Teauma site, Marakei Island

Gilbert Islands in 1984. This site is located at the southeast part of the Marakei Atoll. It is a "Te Baro", a grave for the members of a family or a clan, about 80-100 years ago. The human skeletal materials were found in a jumbled state, so that they were reassembled into individuals according to the morphological characters and dimensions of bones. The measurements were carried out after Martin \& Saller (1957).

## Results

At least five individuals are recognized. The observations and measurements for those skeletons are as follows.

## 1. Cranium

Three calvariae (Nos. 1-3) and five mandibles (Nos. 1-5) can be measured. Although many fragments of crania are preserved, there were no complete ones to be measured. The upper facial skeletons were almost lost except for fragments of maxilla and zygomatic bones.

No. 1 calvaria and No. 1 mandible are supposed to belong to the same individual, whereas others are obscure. The measurements and indices are shown in Tables 1 and 2 , and non-metric variations in Table 3.

## No. 1 calvaria (Plate 1)

Sex : Male, from well-developed brow-ridges, mastoid processes and external occipital protuberance.
Age: Adult, from complete ossification of spheno-occipital synchondrosis and no occurrence of sutural obliteration.
Comment: Only cerebral cranium is preserved. Cranial dimensions are large in general. As measurements, maximum length is normal, while maximum breadth and basion-bregma height are large. The indices show meso- (77.3), hypsi- (76.8) and acrocranic (99.3). The breadth of the frontal region is narrow and the transverse fronto-parietal index is rather small (64.3). As non-metric variations, hypoglossal canal bridging (1), supraorbital foramina ( $\mathrm{r}, 1$ ), parietal notch bone (1), occipital torus and parietal foramen ( r ) are found.

## No. 2 calvaria (Plate 1)

Sex : Male, from well-developed brow-ridges and external occipital protuberance.
Age: Mature, from complete obliteration of the main cranial sutures.
Comment : Only cerebral cranium is preserved. As measurements, maximum length is fairly large, while maximum breadth is small and basion-bregma height is normal. The indices show dolicho- (70.5), ortho- (71.0) and acrocranic (100.7) ; considerably different from No. 1 calvaria. The frontal arc is longer than the parietal arc. Namely the fronto-parietal index is small (96.3). As non-metric variations, supraorbital foramina ( $\mathrm{r}, 1$ ), precondylar tubercles ( $\mathrm{r}, 1$ ), occipital torus and parietal foramen (1) are found. Supramastoid crests are remarkably developed.

## No. 3 calvaria

Sex : Female, from small size in general.
Age : Adult, from slight occurrence of the main sutural obliteration.
Comment: Only cranial vault is preserved, lacking cranial base and face. A few items can be measured. Maximum cranial breadth is somewhat broad. It appears mesocranic on observation and resembles No. 1 calvaria in norma lateralis. Inca bone and parietal foramina ( $\mathrm{r}, 1$ ) are found.

## No. 1 mandible (Plate 2)

Sex: Male, from large dimensions and rugged appearance.
Age: Adult, from slight attrition of teeth and condition of $\overline{8} 8$.

Dentition: | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc 4$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

( $\bigcirc$ post-mortem loss; © almost impacted tooth)
Comment: This mandible is preserved nearly intact except post-mortem loss of six anterior teeth. It is robust and mental protuberance is developed. Attrition
of remaining teeth is limited to enamel. $\overline{8}$ has already erupted, whereas $\sqrt{8}$ tilts mesially and is almost impacted. Dental caries is not found.

## No. 2 mandible (Plate 2)

Sex: Male, from large dimensions and eversion of mandibular angle.
Age: Mature, from its dentition.
Dentition: $\square$
( $\times$ ante-mortem loss; $\quad$ both tooth and socket missing)

Comment: Only left half is preserved. $\quad \sqrt{67}$ are lost ante-mortem and 431 | 12348 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| post-mortem. Remaining | 5 tilts distally and attrition is slight. |

## No. 3 mandible (Plate 2)

Sex: Female, from smooth contour and small size in general.
Age: Mature, from its dentition.
Dentition:

( $\triangle$ probably congenital absence)
Comment: This lacks ascending rami bilaterally. All premolars and molars except $\sqrt{5}$ are lost ante-mortem and alveolar bone is absorbed. The alveoli of $\longdiv { 2 3 }$ are enlarged probably due to periodontal lesion.

## No. 4 mandible (Plate 2)

Sex: Female, from its dimension and slenderness.
Age : Old, from indications of what appear to have been edenturous.
Dentition :


Comment: The alveolar ridge of the right posterior teeth is broken. Absorption of the alveolar part reaches to the level of the mental foramen. Ascending rami tilt backward and the gonial angle is obtuse $\left(143^{\circ}\right)$.

## No. 5 mandible (Plate 2)

Sex: Male, from its robustness and roughness of muscular attachment area.
Age : Adult, from eruption of $\sqrt{8}$
Dentition :


Comment: Only left ascending ramus is preserved. Minimum ramal breadth is large.

Table 1. Measurements (in mm.) and indices of calvariae

| Martin's No. |  | No. 1 male | No. 2 male | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 3 \\ \text { female } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Maximum cranial length | 185 | 193 | - |
| 3 | Glabella-lambda length | 182 | 186 | - |
| 5 | Nasion-basion length | 102 | 110 | - |
| 7 | Length of foramen magnum | - | 36.5 | - |
| 8 | Maximum cranial breadth | 143 | 136 | 137 |
| 9 | Minimum frontal breadth | 92 | 96 | 95 |
| 10 | Maximum frontal breadth | 115 | 118 | - |
| 11 | Biauricular breadth | 126 | 121 | - |
| 12 | Maximum occipital breadth | 113 | 111 | - |
| 16 | Breadth of foramen magnum | 28 | 34.5 | - |
| 17 | Basion-bregma height | 142 | 137 | - |
| 20 | Porion-bregma height | 116 | 117 | - |
| 23 | Horizontal circumference | 527 | 532 | - |
| 24 | Transverse arc | 317 | 323 | - |
| 25 | Sagittal arc | 383 | 397 | - |
| 26 | Frontal arc | 126 | 134 | - |
| 27 | Parietal arc | 136 | 129 | 126 |
| 28 | Occipital arc | 121 | 134 | - |
| 29 | Frontal chord | 113 | 116 | - |
| 30 | Parietal chord | 117 | 113 | 113 |
| 31 | Occipital chord | 99 | 108 | - |
| 8/1 | Length-breadth Index | 77.3 | 70.5 | - |
| 17/1 | Length-height I. | 76.8 | 71.0 | - |
| 17/8 | Breadth-height I. | 99.3 | 100.7 | - |
| 20/1 | Auriculo-vertical I. | 62.7 | 60.6 | - |
| 20/8 | Auriculo-transverse I. | 81.1 | 86.0 | - |
| 17/23 | Circumference-height I. | 26.9 | 25.8 | - |
| 11/24 | Transverse curvature I. | 39.7 | 37.5 | - |
| 9/10 | Transverse frontal I. | 80.0 | 81.4 | - |
| 9/8 | Transverse fronto-parietal I. | 64.3 | 70.6 | - |
| 12/8 | Transverse parieto-occipital I. | 79.0 | 81.6 | - |
| 27/26 | Fronto-parietal I. | 107.9 | 96.3 | - |
| 28/26 | Fronto-occipital I. | 96.0 | 100.0 | - |
| 28/27 | Parieto-occipital I. | 89.0 | 103.9 | - |
| 26/25 | Fronto-sagittal I. | 32.9 | 33.8 | - |
| 27/25 | Parieto-sagittal I. | 35.5 | 32.5 | - |
| 28/25 | Occipito-sagittal I. | 31.6 | 33.8 | - |
| 29/26 | Frontal curvature I. | 89.7 | 86.6 | - |
| 30/27 | Parietal curvature I. | 86.0 | 87.6 | 89.7 |
| 31/28 | Occipital curvature I. | 81.8 | 80.6 | - |
| 16/7 | Index of foramen magnum | - | 94.5 | - |
| $(1+8+17) / 3$ | Cranial module | 156.7 | 155.3 | - |

Table 2. Measurements and indices of mandibles

| Martin's <br> No. |  | No. 1 <br> male | No. 2 <br> male | No. 3 <br> female | No. 4 <br> female | No. 5 <br> male |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | Bicondylar breadth | 125 | - | - | - | - |
| 66 | Bigonial breadth | 105 | - | 87 | - | - |
| 67 | Anterior mandibular breadth | 56 | - | 44 | - | - |
| 68 | Mandibular length | 80 | $(84)$ | 74 | - | - |
| $68(1)$ | Mandibular length | 112 | - | - | 98 | - |
| 69 | Symphyseal height | 32 | $(30)$ | - | - | - |
| $69(1)$ | Mandibular body height | 34 | 32 | 25 | - | - |
| $69(3)$ | Mandibular body thickness | 13 | 11 | 10 | 9 | - |
| 70 | Ramal height | 74 | - | - | $(49)$ | - |
| $70(1)$ | Anterior ramal height | - | 69 | 52 | - | 62 |
| $70(2)$ | Minimum ramal height | 56 | 54 | - | $(33)$ | $(56)$ |
| 70 a | Condylar height | 69 | - | - | - | - |
| 71 | Ramal breadth | 35 | - | - | - | - |
| 71 a | Minimum ramal breadth | 33.5 | 35.5 | - | - | 36 |
| 79 | Gonial angle | $115^{\circ}$ | - | - | $143^{\circ}$ | - |
| $68 / 65$ | Breadth-length I. | 64.0 | - | - | - | - |
| $71 / 70$ | Ramal I. | 47.3 | - | - | - | - |
| $71 \mathrm{a} / 70(2)$ | Ramal I. | 59.8 | 65.7 | - | - | $(64.3)$ |
| $66 / 65$ | Mandibular breadth I. | 84.0 | - | - | - | - |
| $69(3) / 69(1)$ | Body height-thickness I. | 38.2 | 34.4 | 40.0 | - | - |

Note: The estimated values are in parentheses.

## 2. Postcranial Skeleton (Plates 3 and 4)

Many shafts of long bones and fragments are preserved (Table 4). At least three males (A, B and C) and two females (D and E) are recognized. Measurements and indices are shown in Tables 5-10. Identification of each individual according to the morphological characters of bones are as follows:

## Individual A

Material: A left humerus (H-1), a left radius (R-1), a left ulna (U-1), shafts of the femora ( $\mathrm{F}-1,2$ ) and a shaft of the left tibia ( $\mathrm{T}-1$ ).
Sex: Male, from bone dimensions.
Age : Mature (?)
Comment: Materials show large dimensions in general. Osteoarthritic bone lipping and pitting occur in the articular facets of the left elbow joint. Capitulum of humerus and head of radius are eburnated and show the ivory polish (Plate 5). The femora exhibit well-developed pilastering (Fig. 2) and tend to be platymeric (platymeric indices: $\mathrm{r} 87.5,184.4$ ). The tibia is not platycnemic (cnemic index: 78.9) and the soleal line is well-developed. The height is

Table 3-1. Non-metric variations (calvariae)


+ : present - : absent / unknown

Table 3-2. Non-metric variations (mandibles)

|  | No. 1 | No. 2 | No. 3 | No. 4 | No. 5 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traits | r | 1 | r | 1 | r | 1 | r | .1 |
|  | r | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mylohyoid bridging | - | - | $\nearrow$ | - | $\nearrow$ | $\nearrow$ | - | - |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accessory mental foramen | - | - | $\nearrow$ | - | - | - | $\nearrow$ | - |
| Mandibular torus | - | - | $\nearrow$ | - | - | - | $/$ | - |

estimated to be 173.3 cm (Table 11). It seems that No. 2 calvaria belongs to this individual.

## Individual B

Material : Shafts of the humeri ( $\mathrm{H}-2,3$ ), portions of the radii $(\mathrm{R}-2,3)$ and ulnae ( $\mathrm{U}-2,3$ ), portion of the left femur ( $\mathrm{F}-3$ ), an almost complete left tibia (T-2) and a shaft of the left fibula ( $\mathrm{Fb}-1$ ).

Table 4. Postcranial skeletal remains

| Bone | Remains |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vertebra | many fragments |
| Sternum | a fragment |
| Rib | many fragments |
| Scapula | some fragments |
| Clavicle | some fragments |
| Humerus | five shafts and fragments |
|  | $\mathrm{H}-1$ : left (A, male) : head is broken |
|  | $\mathrm{H}-2$ : right ( $B$, male) : shaft only |
|  | H-3 : left (B, male) : shaft only |
|  | H-4 : right (E, female) : shaft only |
|  | H-5 : left (E, female) : shaft only |
| Radius | seven shafts and fragments |
|  | $\mathrm{R}-1$ : left (A, male) : complete |
|  | $\mathrm{R}-2$ : right ( B , male) : portion of the shaft |
|  | $\mathrm{R}-3$ : left ( B, male) : portion of the shaft |
|  | $\mathrm{R}-4$ : right ( C , male) : almost complete |
|  | R-5 : left (D, female) : shaft only |
|  | R-6 : right (E, female) : shaft only |
|  | R-7 : left (E, female) : shaft only |
| Ulna | six shafts and fragments |
|  | U-1: left (A, male) : complete |
|  | $\mathrm{U}-2$ : right ( B, male) : shaft only |
|  | U-3 : left (B, male) : portion of the shaft |
|  | U-4 : right (D, female) : portion of the shaft |
|  | U-5 : right ( C , male) : almost complete |
|  | U-6: left (C, male) : almost complete |
| Pelvis | many fragments |
| Femur | six shafts and fragments |
|  | F-1: right (A, male) : shaft only |
|  | F-2 : left (A, male) : shaft only |
|  | F-3: left (B, male) : lower portion only |
|  | F-4 : left (D, female) : almost complete |
|  | F-5 : right (E, female) : shaft only |
|  | F-6 : left (E, female) : almost complete |
| Patella | a right one |
| Tibia | four shafts and fragments |
|  | T-1: left (A, male) : shaft only |
|  | T-2: left (B, male) : almost complete |
|  | T-3 : left (C, male) : shaft only |
|  | T-4: left (E, female) : shaft only |
| Fibula | a shaft and fragments |
|  | Fb-1: left ( B, male) : shaft only |
| Others | some carpal bones, metacarpal bones, tarsal bones, metatarsal bones and so on |



Fig. 2. Cross-sectional shape of the midshaft of femur

Sex: Male, from bone dimensions.
Age: Adult (?)
Comment: Since the long bones have large dimensions and strong muscle marks, this individual should be a big and strong man. The femur shows considerably developed pilastering (Fig. 2). The pilasteric index (125.9) is large. The tibia is not so platycnemic (cnemic index: 66.7) and development of the soleal line is moderate. The fibula is robust. The height is estimated to be 169.0 cm (Table 11). It seems that No. 1 calvaria belongs to this individual.

## Individual C

Material: A right radius ( $\mathrm{R}-4$ ), ulnae ( $\mathrm{U}-5,6$ ) and a shaft of the left tibia (T-3).
Sex: Male, from bone dimensions.
Age: Adult (?)
Comment: The ulnae are robust. The tibia exhibits strong muscle marks and medial bowing at the midshaft and is not so platycnemic (cnemic index: 64.9).

## Individual D

Material: A shaft of the left radius ( $\mathrm{R}-5$ ), a portion of the right ulna ( $\mathrm{U}-4$ ) and an almost complete left femur ( $\mathrm{F}-4$ ).
Sex: Female, from bone dimensions,
Age: Mature (?)
Comment: The radius is slender but extension of interosseous crest is well marked. The ulna has an old healed fracture on the shaft and osteoarthritic lipping on the proximal end. The femur is pilasteric (Fig. 2). The height is estimated

Table 5. Measurements and indices of humerus

| Martin's <br> No. | $\mathrm{H}-1(1)$ <br> male | $\mathrm{H}-2(\mathrm{r})$ <br> male | $\mathrm{H}-3(1)$ <br> male | $\mathrm{H}-4(\mathrm{r})$ <br> female | $\mathrm{H}-5(1)$ <br> female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 Max. dia. midshaft | 24 | 26 | 27 | 21 | 20 |
| 6 $\quad$ Min. dia. midshaft | 19 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 |
| 7 Least girth of shaft | 65 | 70 | 73 | 56 | 54 |
| 7a | Girth in middle | 70 | 75 | 76 | 59 |
| 6/5 | Cross section I. | 79.2 | 76.9 | 74.1 | 71.4 |

Table 6. Measurements and indices of radius

| Martin's <br> No. | R-1(1) <br> male | R-2(r) <br> male | R-3(1) <br> male | R-4(r) <br> male | R-5(1) <br> female | R-6(r) <br> female | R-7(1) <br> female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Maximum length | 267 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Physiological length | 252 | - | - | 240 | - | - | - |
| 3 | Least girth of shaft | 43 | 47 | - | 44 | 37 | - | 32 |
| 4 | Trans. dia. of shaft | 17 | - | 19 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 14 |
| 4 a | Trans. dia. in middle | 17 | - | - | 16 | 13 | - | - |
| 5 | Sag. dia. of shaft | 14 | - | 13 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 5a | Sag. dia. in middle | 14 | - | - | 14 | 11 | - | - |
| $5(5)$ | Girth in middle | 46 | - | - | 46 | 37 | - | - |
| 3/2 | Length-thickness I. | 17.1 | - | - | 18.3 | - | - | - |
| $5 / 4$ | Cross section I. | 82.4 | - | 68.4 | 82.4 | 55.6 | 76.9 | 71.4 |

Table 7. Measurements and indices of ulna

| Martin's <br> No. | U-1(1) <br> male | U-2(r) <br> male | U-3(1) <br> male | U-4(r) <br> female | U-5(r) <br> male | U-6(1) <br> male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Maximum length | 297 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 Physiological length | 250 | - | - | - | - | 233 |
| 3 Least girth of shaft | 40 | - | 36 | - | 38 | 38 |
| 11 Ant.-post. diameter | 15 | - | - | 11 | 15 | 14 |
| 12 Transverse diameter | 18 | 19 | - | 16 | 20 | 19 |
| 13 Upper transverse dia. | - | - | - | 16 | 20 | 20 |
| 14 Upper ant.-post. dia. | 29 | - | - | 23 | 27 | 30 |
| 3/2 Length-thickness I. | 16.0 | - | - | - | - | 16.3 |
| 11/12 Cross section I. | 83.3 | - | - | 68.8 | 75.0 | 73.7 |
| 13/14 Platolenic I. | - | - | - | 69.6 | 74.1 | 66.7 |

Table 8. Measurements and indices of femur

| Martin's <br> No. | F-I(r) <br> male | F-2(1) <br> male | F-3(1) <br> male | F-4(1) <br> female | F-5(r) <br> female | F-6(1) <br> female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Maximum length | - | - | - | 425 | - | $(395)$ |
| 2 Physiological length | - | - | - | 421 | - | $(388)$ |
| 6 Sag. dia. midshaft | 29 | 30 | 34 | 26 | 24 | 24 |
| 7 Trans. dia. midshaft | 26 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 23 |
| 8 Girth in middle | 84 | 86 | 96 | 81 | 72 | 72 |
| 9 Upper trans. dia. shaft | 32 | 32 | - | 28 | 30 | 28 |
| 10 Upper sag. dia. shaft | 28 | 27 | - | 24 | 24 | 21 |
| 13 Upper breadth | - | - | - | 87 | - | - |
| 14 Head length | - | - | - | 58 | - | - |
| 15 Vertical dia. neck | - | - | - | 28 | - | 25 |
| 16 Sagittal dia. neck | - | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| 17 Girth of neck | - | - | - | 92 | - | - |
| 23 Max. length lat. cond. | - | - | - | 61 | - | - |
| 25 Post. height lat. cond. | - | - | - | 36 | - | - |
| 29 Neck-shaft angle | - | - | - | $135^{\circ}$ | - | - |
| 8/2 Length-thickness I. | - | - | - | 19.2 | - | $(18.6)$ |
| 6/7 Pilasteric I. | 111.5 | 115.4 | 125.9 | 104.0 | 109.1 | 104.3 |
| 10/9 Platymeric I. | 87.5 | 84.4 | - | 85.7 | 80.0 | 75.0 |
| 16/15 Cross section I. neck | - | - | - | 89.3 | - | - |

Table 9. Measurements and indices of tibia

| Martin's <br> No. | T-1(1) <br> male | T-2(1) <br> male | T-3(1) <br> male | T-4(1) <br> female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Total length | - | 373 | - | - |
| 1a Maximum length | - | 380 | - | - |
| 3 Upper breadth | - | 70 | - | - |
| 6 Lower breadth | - | 50 | - | - |
| 7 Sag. dia. of lower epiph. | - | 38 | - | - |
| 8 Max. dia. in middle | 32 | 34 | 34 | 26 |
| 8a Max. dia. in nut. foramen | 38 | 39 | 37 | 30 |
| 9 Trans. dia. in middle | 23 | 23 | 23 | 20 |
| 9a Trans. dia. in nut. foramen | 30 | 26 | 24 | 20 |
| 10 Girth of shaft | 86 | 92 | 87 | 72 |
| 10a Girth in nut. foramen | - | 102 | 93 | 79 |
| 10b Least girth of shaft | 78 | 80 | 78 | 63 |
| 9a /8a Cnemic I. | 78.9 | 66.7 | 64.9 | 66.7 |
| 9/8 Cross section I. | 71.9 | 67.6 | 67.6 | 76.9 |
| 10/1a Length-thickness I. | - | 24.2 | - | - |

Table 10. Measurements and indices of fibula

| Martin's <br> No. | $\mathrm{Fb}-\mathrm{l}(1)$ <br> male |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 Max. dia. in middle | 19 |
| 3 Min. dia. in middle | 13 |
| 4 Girth in middle | 54 |
| 3/2 Cross section I. | 68.4 |

Table 11. Estimated stature according to Pearson's formula

| Individual | Sex | Bone <br> examined | Estimated <br> stature $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A | male | 1. radius | 173.3 |
| B | male | 1. tibia | 169.0 |
| D | female | 1. femur | 155.5 |
| E | female | 1. femur | 149.7 |

to be 155.5 cm (Table 11). It seems that No. 3 mandible belongs to this individual.

## Individual E

Material : Shafts of the humeri (H-4, 5) and radii ( $\mathrm{R}-6,7$ ), almost complete femora (F-5, 6) and a shaft of the left tibia (T-4).
Sex: Female, from bone dimensions.
Age : Old (?)
Comment: As the long bones have small dimensions and weak muscle marks, this individual seems to be a small and gracile woman. The femora are not very pilasteric (Fig. 2). The tibia is not very platycnemic (cnemic index: 66.7). The height is estimated to be 149.7 cm (Table 11). It seems that No. 4 mandible belongs to this individual.

## Discussion

Although the Teauma crania lack an upper face, the main measurements and indices of Nos. 1 and 2 calvariae (both male) could be compared with the available dàta of other Oceanic populations (Table 12).

No. 1 calvaria is meso-, hypsi- and acrocranic, and the frontal region is narrow,
Table 12. Comparison of main cranial measurements and indices (Male)

|  | Micronesia |  |  |  |  | Melanesia |  | Polynesia |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martin's No. | Teauma <br> No. 1 | Teauma No. 2 | Gilbert Is. $\mathrm{n}=16$ | Saipan $\mathrm{n}=5$ | Guam $\mathrm{n}=27$ | New Britain $\mathrm{n}=229$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fiji } \\ \mathrm{n}=13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Auckland } \\ \text { Maori } \\ \mathrm{n}=90 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mokapu <br> $\mathrm{n}=139$ |
| 1 Maximum cranial length | 185 | 193 | 182.8 | 185.0 | 180.5 | 184.3 | 192.6 | 185.9 | 184.3 |
| 8 Maximum cranial breadth | 143 | 136 | 136.8 | 143.8 | 140.5 | 132.4 | 134.2 | 135.7 | 145.0 |
| 9 Minimum frontal breadth | 92 | 96 | - | 97.2 | 96.8 | 93.3 | 96.5 | 94.0 | 95.6 |
| 10 Maximum frontal breadth | 115 | 118 | - | 118.0 | - | 112.4 | - | 110.5 | 117.6 |
| 17 Basion-bregma height | 142 | 137 | 141.9 | 144.3 | 143.6 | - | 138.1 | 137.9 | 143.0 |
| 23 Horizontal circumference | 527 | 532 | - | 529.2 | 511.8 | 518.7 | 526.9 | 525.0 | 523.2 |
| 24 Transverse arc | 317 | 323 | - | 323.6 | 316.7 | 304.1 | 314.0 | 313.0 | 334.4 |
| 25 Sagittal are | 383 | 397 | - | 381.8 | 378.9 | 374.5 | 370.4 | 377.0 | 380.2 |
| 26 Frontal arc | 126 | 134 | - | 136.6 | - | 124.5 | - | 130.1 | 135.9 |
| 27 Parietal arc | 136 | 129 | - | 131.4 | - | 132.5 | - | 126.6 | 126.1 |
| 28 Occipital arc | 121 | 134 | - | 113.2 | - | 117.5 | - | 119.9 | 118.2 |
| 8/1 Length-breadth I. | 77.3 | 70.5 | 74.8 | 77.8 | 78.5 | 71.9 | 69.6 | 73.0 | 79.2 |
| 17/1 Length-height I. | 76.8 | 71.0 | 77.6 | 77.9 | 79.6 | - | 71.7 | 74.2 | 77.7 |
| 17/8 Breadth-height I. | 99.3 | 100.7 | 103.7 | 100.3 | 102.2 | - | 97.2 | 101.8 | 98.3 |
| $9 / 10$ Transverse frontal I. | 80.0 | 81.4 | - | 82.0 | - | 83.0 | - | 85.2 | 81.8 |
| 9/8 Transverse fronto-parietal I. | 64.3 | 70.6 | - | 66.4 | 68.9 | 70.5 | 71.9 | 69.5 | 66.3 |
| 27/26 Fronto-parietal I. | 107.9 | 96.3 | - | 96.1 | - | 106.4 | - | 97.9 | 92.8 |
| 28/26 Fronto-occipital I. | 96.0 | 100.0 | - | 83.3 | - | 94.4 | - | 92.4 | 87.0 |
| Author |  |  | Krause (1881) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sarai } \\ & \text { (1951) } \end{aligned}$ | Marshall \& Snow (1956) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bonin } \\ & \text { (1936) } \end{aligned}$ | Marshall \& Snow (1956) | Shima <br> \& Suzuki <br> (1967) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Snow } \\ & \text { (1974) } \end{aligned}$ |

whereas No. 2 calvaria is dolicho-, ortho- and acrocranic, and the parietal arc is short. The characteristics of No. 1 resemble those of Saipan and Mokapu. On the other hand, those of No. 2 resemble Fiji and Auckland Maori. The narrowness of the frontal region is characteristic in Polynesians (Shima \& Suzuki, 1967). Whether it is also characteristic in the Teauma population is obscure since only three remains have been examined. All of the mandibles have an ante-gonial notch, not the so-called "rocker jaw" which is the most frequent form of Polynesian mandible (Marshall \& Snow, 1956). Thus the characteristics of the Teauma crania are not uniform from one to another.

The postcranial skeletons have large dimensions and strong muscle marks in the male. The femur tends to be pilasteric in both sexes. Those of Mokapu also possess the primitive characteristics in limb bones, for example, the pilaster formation of femora (Snow, 1974).

From the pathological point of view, osteoarthritic changes on several bones and healed fracture of the ulna can be seen.

## Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Prof. J. Takayama, Tezukayama University, for offering these skeletal materials.

## References

Bonin, G. von, 1936: On the craniology of Oceania. Crania from New Britain. Biometrika 2: 123-148.
Krause, R., 1881: Ein Beitrag zur Kunde der Südsee-Völker. Die ethn-anthrop. Abteilung des Museum Godeffory. Hamburg.
Marshall, D. S. \& C. E. Snow, 1956: An evaluation of Polynesian craniology. Am. J. Phys. Anthrop. 14: 405-426.

Martin, R. \& K. Saller, 1957: Lehrbuch der Anthropologie. Gustav Fischer, Stuttgart.
Sarai, C., 1951: Mikuroneshia-jin Togaikotsu no Jinruigaku-teki Kenkyu(Anthropological study on the Micronesian skulls). Tokyo Jikeikai Ikadaigaku Kaibogakukyoshitsu Gyosekishu (Rep. Dep. Anat., Jikei Univ. Sch. Med.) 4: 1-57 (in Japanese).
Shima, G., 1966: The physical anthropology of the Polynesians. Acta Anatomica Nipponica 41: 139-141 (in Japanese).
Shima, G., 1968: Das Verhältnis der Polynesier zu den Mikronesiern in Betracht der Kephaldimensionen und Kephalindizes. Osaka City Medical Journal 14:3148.

Shima, G. \& M. Suzuki, 1967 : Problems of race formation of the Maori and Moriori in terms of skulls. Osaka City Medical Journal 13:9-54.
Snow, C. E., 1974: Early Hawaiians. University Press of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky.

## Explanations of Plates

Plate 1 No. 2 calvaria (A~D) and No. 1 calvaria (E~H).
Plate 2 Mandibles. No. 1 (A), No. 2 (B), No. 3 (C), No. 4 (D) and No. 5 (E).
Plate 3 Skeletons of the upper limb. Humeri (H-1~H-5), ulnae (U-1~U-6) and radii ( $\mathrm{R}-1 \sim \mathrm{R}-7$ ).
Plate 4 Skeletons of the lower limb. Femora (F-1~F-6), tibiae (T-1~T-4) and fibula ( $\mathrm{Fb}-1$ ).
Plate 5 Osteoarthritic changes at the left elbow joint (A), the cervical vertebra (B-a), the right fibula ( $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{b}$ ) and the right talus ( $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{c}$ ).
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[^0]:    ＊This study was reported to Mr．B．Eritaia，Cultural Officer，Ministry of Home Affairs and Decentraliza－ tion，Republic of Kiribati in March， 1985.
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